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## WARRANTY AND ASSISTANCE

This equipment is warranted by CAMPBELL SCIENTIFIC (CANADA) CORP. ("CSC") to be free from defects in materials and workmanship under normal use and service for twelve (12) months from date of shipment unless specified otherwise. ***** Batteries are not warranted. ***** CSC's obligation under this warranty is limited to repairing or replacing (at CSC's option) defective products. The customer shall assume all costs of removing, reinstalling, and shipping defective products to CSC. CSC will return such products by surface carrier prepaid. This warranty shall not apply to any CSC products which have been subjected to modification, misuse, neglect, accidents of nature, or shipping damage. This warranty is in lieu of all other warranties, expressed or implied, including warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. CSC is not liable for special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages.

Products may not be returned without prior authorization. To obtain a Return Merchandise Authorization (RMA), contact CAMPBELL SCIENTIFIC (CANADA) CORP., at (780) 454-2505. An RMA number will be issued in order to facilitate Repair Personnel in identifying an instrument upon arrival. Please write this number clearly on the outside of the shipping container. Include description of symptoms and all pertinent details.

CAMPBELL SCIENTIFIC (CANADA) CORP. does not accept collect calls.
Non-warranty products returned for repair should be accompanied by a purchase order to cover repair costs.


## Assistance

Products may not be returned without prior authorization. The following contact information is for Canadian and international clients residing in countries served by Campbell Scientific (Canada) Corp. directly. Affiliate companies handle repairs for clients within their territories. Please visit www.campbellsci.ca to determine which Campbell Scientific company serves your country.

To obtain a Returned Materials Authorization (RMA), contact CAMPBELL SCIENTIFIC (CANADA) CORP., phone (780) 454-2505. After a measurement consultant determines the nature of the problem, an RMA number will be issued. Please write this number clearly on the outside of the shipping container. Campbell Scientific's shipping address is:

## CAMPBELL SCIENTIFIC (CANADA) CORP.

RMA\#
14532131 Avenue NW
Edmonton, Alberta T5L 4X4
Canada

For all returns, the client must fill out a "Statement of Product Cleanliness and Decontamination" form and comply with the requirements specified in it. The form is available from our web site at www.campbellsci.ca/repair. A completed form must be either emailed to repair@campbellsci.ca or faxed to (780) 454-2655. Campbell Scientific (Canada) Corp. is unable to process any returns until we receive this form. If the form is not received within three days of product receipt or is incomplete, the product will be returned to the client at the client's expense. Campbell Scientific (Canada) Corp.f reserves the right to refuse service on products that were exposed to contaminants that may cause health or safety concerns for our employees.

## Precautions

DANGER - MANY HAZARDS ARE ASSOCIATED WITH INSTALLING, USING, MAINTAINING, AND WORKING ON OR AROUND TRIPODS, TOWERS, AND ANY ATTACHMENTS TO TRIPODS AND TOWERS SUCH AS SENSORS, CROSSARMS, ENCLOSURES, ANTENNAS, ETC. FAILURE TO PROPERLY AND COMPLETELY ASSEMBLE, INSTALL, OPERATE, USE, AND MAINTAIN TRIPODS, TOWERS, AND ATTACHMENTS, AND FAILURE TO HEED WARNINGS, INCREASES THE RISK OF DEATH, ACCIDENT, SERIOUS INJURY, PROPERTY DAMAGE, AND PRODUCT FAILURE. TAKE ALL REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS TO AVOID THESE HAZARDS. CHECK WITH YOUR ORGANIZATION'S SAFETY COORDINATOR (OR POLICY) FOR PROCEDURES AND REQUIRED PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT PRIOR TO PERFORMING ANY WORK.
Use tripods, towers, and attachments to tripods and towers only for purposes for which they are designed. Do not exceed design limits. Be familiar and comply with all instructions provided in product manuals. Manuals are available at www.campbellsci.ca or by telephoning (780) 454-2505 (Canada). You are responsible for conformance with governing codes and regulations, including safety regulations, and the integrity and location of structures or land to which towers, tripods, and any attachments are attached. Installation sites should be evaluated and approved by a qualified personnel (e.g. engineer). If questions or concerns arise regarding installation, use, or maintenance of tripods, towers, attachments, or electrical connections, consult with a licensed and qualified engineer or electrician.

General

- Prior to performing site or installation work, obtain required approvals and permits.
- Use only qualified personnel for installation, use, and maintenance of tripods and towers, and any attachments to tripods and towers. The use of licensed and qualified contractors is highly recommended.
- Read all applicable instructions carefully and understand procedures thoroughly before beginning work.
- Wear a hardhat and eye protection, and take other appropriate safety precautions while working on or around tripods and towers.
- Do not climb tripods or towers at any time, and prohibit climbing by other persons. Take reasonable precautions to secure tripod and tower sites from trespassers.
- Use only manufacturer recommended parts, materials, and tools.

Utility and Electrical

- You can be killed or sustain serious bodily injury if the tripod, tower, or attachments you are installing, constructing, using, or maintaining, or a tool, stake, or anchor, come in contact with overhead or underground utility lines.
- Maintain a distance of at least one-and-one-half times structure height, 6 meters (20 feet), or the distance required by applicable law, whichever is greater, between overhead utility lines and the structure (tripod, tower, attachments, or tools).
- Prior to performing site or installation work, inform all utility companies and have all underground utilities marked.
- Comply with all electrical codes. Electrical equipment and related grounding devices should be installed by a licensed and qualified electrician.

Elevated Work and Weather

- Exercise extreme caution when performing elevated work.
- Use appropriate equipment and safety practices.
- During installation and maintenance, keep tower and tripod sites clear of un-trained or nonessential personnel. Take precautions to prevent elevated tools and objects from dropping.
- Do not perform any work in inclement weather, including wind, rain, snow, lightning, etc.

Maintenance

- Periodically (at least yearly) check for wear and damage, including corrosion, stress cracks, frayed cables, loose cable clamps, cable tightness, etc. and take necessary corrective actions.
- Periodically (at least yearly) check electrical ground connections.

WHILE EVERY ATTEMPT IS MADE TO EMBODY THE HIGHEST DEGREE OF SAFETY IN ALL CAMPBELL SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTS, THE CLIENT ASSUMES ALL RISK FROM ANY INJURY RESULTING FROM IMPROPER INSTALLATION, USE, OR MAINTENANCE OF TRIPODS, TOWERS, OR ATTACHMENTS TO TRIPODS AND TOWERS SUCH AS SENSORS, CROSSARMS, ENCLOSURES, ANTENNAS, ETC.

## PLEASE READ FIRST

## About this manual

Please note that this manual was originally produced by Campbell Scientific Inc. (CSI) primarily for the US market. Some spellings, weights and measures may reflect this origin.

Some useful conversion factors:

| Area: | $1 \mathrm{in}^{2}$ (square inch) $=645 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Length: | $1 \mathrm{in}$. (inch) $=25.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  | $1 \mathrm{ft}($ foot $)=304.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  | 1 yard $=0.914 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | 1 mile $=1.609 \mathrm{~km}$ |
|  | 1 oz. (ounce) $=28.35 \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Mass: | 1 lb (pound weight) $=0.454 \mathrm{~kg}$ |
|  | 1 psi (lb/in2) $=68.95 \mathrm{mb}$ |
| Pressure: | 1 US gallon $=3.785 \mathrm{litres}$ |

In addition, part ordering numbers may vary. For example, the CABLE5CBL is a CSI part number and known as a FIN5COND at Campbell Scientific Canada (CSC). CSC Technical Support will be pleased to assist with any questions.

## About sensor wiring

Please note that certain sensor configurations may require a user supplied jumper wire. It is recommended to review the sensor configuration requirements for your application and supply the jumper wire is necessary.
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## EasyFlux ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DL

## 1. Introduction

EasyFlux ${ }^{\text {TM }} D L$ is a CRBasic program that enables a CR3000 datalogger to collect fully corrected fluxes of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, latent heat $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, sensible heat, ground surface heat flux (optional), and momentum from a Campbell Scientific openpath eddy-covariance (EC) system with optional energy balance sensors. The program processes the EC data using commonly used corrections in the scientific literature. Specifically, the program supports data collection and processing from the following sensors.

## Gas analyzer and sonic anemometer (qty 1)

Supports one combination of gas analyzer and sonic anemometer.

- EC150 with CSAT3A
- IRGASON


## Fine-wire thermocouple (optional, qty 0 to 1 )

- FW05
- FW1
- FW3


## Biometeorology (biomet) and energy balance sensors (optional)

- Temperature/Relativity Humidity (RH) Probe (qty 0 to 1 )
- HC 2 S 3
- HMP155A
- Radiation measurements
- Option 1
- NR-LITE2 Net Radiometer (qty 0 to 1 )
- CS300 or LI200X Pyranometer (qty 0 to 1 )
- LI190SB Quantum Sensor (qty 0 to 1 )
- SI-111 Infrared Radiometer (qty 0 to 1 )
- Option 2
- NR01 or CNR4 4-Way Radiometers (qty 0 to 1 )
- TE525MM Rain Gage (qty 0 to 1 )
- TCAV Soil Thermocouple Probe (qty 0 to 2 )
- Soil Water Content Reflectometer (qty 0 to 2 )
- CS616
- CS650
- CS655
- Soil Heat Flux Plates
- Option 1: HFP01 plates (qty 0 to 4)
- Option 2: HFP01SC self-calibrating plates (qty 0 to 4 )

NOTE It may be possible to customize the program for other sensors or quantities that are not described here. Contact an application engineer at Campbell Scientific for more information.

NOTE In this manual, "IRGA" refers to either the EC150 or the IRGASON gas analyzer, "sonic anemometer" refers to either the CSAT3A or IRGASON sonic anemometer, and "FW" refers to a FW05, FW1, or FW3 fine-wire thermocouple.

## 2. Precautions

- EasyFlux DL requires the CR3000 to have operating system version 28.0 or newer.
- The program applies the most common open-path EC corrections to fluxes. However, the user should determine the appropriateness of the corrections for their site.
- Campbell Scientific ${ }^{\circledR}$, Inc. always recommends saving time-series data in the event reprocessing of raw data is warranted. Furthermore, the user should determine the quality and fitness of any and all data for publication, regardless of whether said data were processed by EasyFlux DL or another tool.
- As EasyFlux DL is not encrypted, users have the ability to view and edit the code. Campbell Scientific ${ }^{\circledR}$, Inc. does not guarantee the function of a program that has been altered.


## 3. Installation

### 3.1 Wiring

Installation of sensors and system components should be done according to the respective product manuals. When wiring the sensors to the datalogger, the default wiring schemes, along with the number of instruments EasyFlux DL supports, should be followed if the standard version of the program is being used. TABLES 3-1 through 3-10 present the wiring schemes.

An IRGA with an associated sonic anemometer are the only required sensors for the program. The additional sensors described in the following tables are optional. If one or more of the optional sensors are not used, the datalogger terminals assigned to those sensor wires should be left unwired.

NOTE If the standard datalogger program is modified, the wiring presented in TABLE 3-1 may no longer apply. In such cases, refer directly to the program code to determine proper wiring.

### 3.1.1 IRGA and Sonic Anemometer

An open-path IRGA and sonic anemometer must be connected to the EC100 electronics, and the EC100 must be wired to a CR3000 datalogger for EasyFlux DL to be functional. The default wiring for these sensors is shown in TABLE 3-1.

| TABLE 3-1. Default Wiring for IRGA and Sonic Anemometer |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| IRGASON or <br> EC150/CSAT3A <br> (from EC100) | 1 | SDM Data | Green | SDM-C1 |
|  |  | SDM Clock | White | SDM-C2 |
|  |  | SDM Enable | Red / Brown | SDM-C3 |
|  | Signal Ground | Black | G |  |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\perp$ |

### 3.1.2 Fine-Wire Thermocouple

Several fine-wire thermocouple sensors are available that can be integrated with the IRGA and sonic anemometer. The EasyFlux DL can support from zero to one fine-wire thermocouple along with the IRGA and sonic anemometer. Shown in TABLE 3-2 are the available types and default wiring for adding a fine-wire thermocouple.

| TABLE 3-2. Default Wiring for Fine-Wire Thermocouple |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| FW05, FW1, or <br> FW3 | 0 or 1 | Signal | Purple | Diff 5H |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Red | Diff 5L |
|  | Shield | Clear | $\doteq$ |  |

### 3.1.3 Temperature and Relative Humidity Probe

The EasyFlux DL can support from zero to one temperature and relative humidity probe with the IRGA and sonic anemometer. The default wiring for the HC2S3/HMP155A is shown in TABLE 3-3.

NOTE There are two options for a temperature and relative humidity probe, the HC2S3 and the HMP155A. For details and specifications of these probes, visit www.campbellsci.com. The physical wiring to the CR3000 datalogger is the same for each sensor. The colors of the wires, however, are different. The wire colors for the HMP155A sensor are noted by an * in TABLE 3-3.

| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC2S3 / <br> HMP155A | 0 or 1 | Temp Signal | Brown / Yellow* | SE 27 (Diff 14H) |
|  |  | RH Signal | White / Blue* | SE 28 (Diff 14L) |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Yellow / White* | G |
|  |  | Shield | Clear / Clear* | $\stackrel{ }{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Power | Green / Red* | +12 V |
|  |  | Power Ground | Grey / Black* | G |

### 3.1.4 Radiation Measurements Option 1

There are two options for making radiation measurements with EasyFlux DL. The program can support any combination of the four sensors described in TABLE 3-4. Or it can support one of the two types of four-way radiometers described in TABLE 3-5. The default wiring for Option 1 is detailed in TABLE 3-4. The default wiring for Option 2 is detailed in TABLE 3-5.

| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NR-LITE2 Net Radiometer | 0 or 1 | Radiation Signal | Red | Diff 6H |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Blue ${ }^{1}$ | Diff 6L ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | Shield | Black | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
| CS300 or LI200X <br> Pyranometer | 0 or 1 | Signal | Red | Diff 7H |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Black | Diff 7L ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | Signal Ground | White (no white for CS300) | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LI190SB } \\ \text { Quantum Sensor } \end{gathered}$ | 0 or 1 | Signal | Red | Diff 8H |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Black | Diff 8L ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
| SI-111 Infrared Radiometer | 0 or 1 | Target Temp Signal | Red | Diff 9H |
|  |  | Target Temp Reference | Black | Diff 9L |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Sensor Temp Signal | Green | SE 19 (Diff 10L) |
|  |  | Sensor Temp Reference | Blue | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Voltage Excitation | White | VX1 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Jumper to $\stackrel{\text { with user-supplied wire. }}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |

### 3.1.5 Radiation Measurements Option 2

There are two models of four-way radiometers that are compatible with the program, however, only one can be used at a time due to channel limitations. The default wiring for each of the four-way radiometers is shown in TABLE 3-5.

| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NR01 4-Way <br> Radiometer | 0 or 1 | Pyranometer Up Signal | Red | Diff 6H |
|  |  | Pyranometer Up Reference | Blue ${ }^{1}$ | Diff 6L ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | Pyranometer Down Signal | White | Diff 7H |
|  |  | Pyranometer Down Reference | Green ${ }^{1}$ | Diff 7L ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | Pyrgeometer Up Signal | Brown | Diff 8H |
|  |  | Pyrgeometer Up Reference | Yellow ${ }^{1}$ | Diff 8L ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | Pyrgeometer Down Signal | Purple | Diff 9H |
|  |  | Pyrgeometer Down Reference | Grey ${ }^{1}$ | Diff 9L ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | PT100 Signal | White | Diff 10H |
|  |  | PT100 Reference | Green | Diff 10L |
|  |  | Current Excite | Red | IX1 |
|  |  | Current Return | Blue | IXR |
|  |  | Shields | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
| CNR4 4-Way Radiometer | 0 or 1 | Pyranometer Up Signal | Red | Diff 6H |
|  |  | Pyranometer Up Reference | Blue ${ }^{1}$ | Diff 6L ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | Pyranometer Down Signal | White | Diff 7H |
|  |  | Pyranometer Down Reference | Black ${ }^{1}$ | Diff 7L ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | Pyrgeometer Up Signal | Grey | Diff 8H |
|  |  | Pyrgeometer Up Reference | Yellow ${ }^{1}$ | Diff 8L ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | Pyrgeometer Down Signal | Brown | Diff 9H |
|  |  | Pyrgeometer Down Reference | Green ${ }^{1}$ | Diff 9L ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | Thermistor Signal | White | SE 19 (Diff 10H) |
|  |  | Thermistor V Excite | Red | VX1 |
|  |  | Thermistor Reference | Black | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Shields | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
| ${ }^{1}$ Jumper to $\stackrel{\text { dith user-supplied wire. }}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |

### 3.1.6 Precipitation Gage

EasyFlux DL can support a single TE525MM tipping rain gage, or a precipitation gage can be omitted. The default wiring for the precipitation gage is shown in TABLE 3-6.

| TABLE 3-6. Default Wiring for Precipitation Gage |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| TE525MM <br> Tipping Rain <br> Gage | 0 or 1 | Pulse Output | Black | P1 |
|  |  | Signal Ground | White | $\perp$ |
|  | Shield | Clear | $\perp$ |  |

### 3.1.7 Soil Temperature

The TCAV is an Averaging Soil Thermocouple Probe used for measuring soil temperature. EasyFlux DL can support up to two TCAV probes. The order of wiring, however, is important. If only one TCAV sensor is used, it must be wired as described for TCAV \#1 in TABLE 3-7. An additional TCAV sensor would be wired according to TCAV \#2 in TABLE 3-7.

## CAUTION

If only one TCAV is being used and it is wired to terminals 12 H and 12 L (leaving terminals 11H and 11L empty), the datalogger will not record any TCAV measurements.

| TABLE 3-7. Default Wiring for Soil Thermocouple Probes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| TCAV \#1 | 1 | Signal | Purple | Diff 11H |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Red | Diff 11L |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\perp$ |
|  |  | Signal | Purple | Diff 12H |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Red | Diff 12L |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\perp$ |

NOTE The CS650 or CS655 sensors also measure soil temperature. If the CS650 or CS655 sensors are used but no TCAV probes are used, EasyFlux DL will use soil temperature from the CS650 or CS655 to compute ground surface heat flux. If available, soil temperature from the TCAV probe is preferred since it provides a better spatial average. See wiring details for these sensors in TABLE 3-8.

### 3.1.8 Soil Water Content

EasyFlux DL supports one of three models of soil water content sensors: CS616, CS650, or CS655; up to two of the model used are supported. A soil water content sensor can also be omitted. The default wiring for each is shown in TABLE 3-8.

CAUTION If only one soil water content sensor is being used, wire it according to the first probe as described in TABLE 3-8. If only one sensor is being used and it is wired according to the second sensor, EasyFlux DL will not record any measurements from the soil water content sensor.

| TABLE 3-8. Default Wiring for Soil Water Content Probes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| CS616 \#1 | 1 | Power | Red | +12 V |
|  |  | Signal Output | Green | SE 25 (Diff 13H) |
|  |  | Enable | Orange | C4 |
|  |  | Signal Ground | Black | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Power Ground | Clear | G |
| CS616 \#2 | 1 | Power | Red | $+12 \mathrm{~V}$ |
|  |  | Signal Output | Green | SE 26 (Diff 13L) |
|  |  | Enable | Orange | C4 |
|  |  | Signal Ground | Black | $\stackrel{\perp}{\square}$ |
|  |  | Power Ground | Clear | G |
| CS650/CS655 \#1 | 1 | SDI-12 Data | Green | C5 |
|  |  | SDI-12 Power | Red | $+12 \mathrm{~V}$ |
|  |  | SDI-12 Reference | Black | G |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | G |
|  |  | Not Used | Orange | G |
| CS650/CS655 \#2 | 1 | SDI-12 Data | Green | C5 |
|  |  | SDI-12 Power | Red | $+12 \mathrm{~V}$ |
|  |  | SDI-12 Reference | Black | G |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | G |
|  |  | Not Used | Orange | G |

### 3.1.9 Soil Heat Flux Plates

EasyFlux DL can support from zero to four standard (non-self-calibrating) soil heat flux plates. The default wiring for the standard soil heat flux plates is shown in TABLE 3-9.

| TABLE 3-9. Default Wiring for Non-Calibrating Soil Heat Flux Plates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| HFP01 \#1 | 1 | Signal | White | Diff 1H |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Green | Diff 1L |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{=}$ |
| HFP01 \#2 | 1 | Signal | White | Diff 2H |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Green | Diff 2L |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
| HFP01 \#3 | 1 | Signal | White | Diff 3H |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Green | Diff 3L |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{\perp}{\square}$ |


| TABLE 3-9. Default Wiring for Non-Calibrating Soil Heat Flux Plates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| HFP01 \#4 | 1 | Signal | White | Diff 4H |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Green | Diff 4L |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\doteq$ |

### 3.1.10 Self-Calibrating Soil Heat Flux Plates

A user can also choose from zero to four of the self-calibrating soil heat flux plates described in TABLE 3-10. However, due to channel limits of the CR3000, if choosing the self-calibrating heat plates, the measurements will be made in a single-ended mode. The default wiring for the self-calibrating soil heat flux plates is shown in TABLE 3-10.

| TABLE 3-10. Default Wiring for Soil Heat Flux Plates (Self Calibrating) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| HFP01SC \#1 | 1 | Signal | White | SE 1 (Diff 1H) |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Green | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Heater Signal | Yellow | SE 5 (Diff 3H) |
|  |  | Heater Reference | Purple | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Heater Power | Red | SW12-1 |
|  |  | Power Reference | Black | G |
| HFP01SC \#2 | 1 | Signal | White | SE 2 (Diff 1L) |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Green | $\stackrel{\perp}{\square}$ |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{=}$ |
|  |  | Heater Signal | Yellow | SE 6 (Diff 3L) |
|  |  | Heater Reference | Purple | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{=}$ |
|  |  | Heater Power | Red | SW12-1 |
|  |  | Power Reference | Black | G |
| HFP01SC \#3 | 1 | Signal | White | SE 3 (Diff 2H) |
|  |  | Signal Reference | Green | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{=}$ |
|  |  | Heater Signal | Yellow | SE 7 (Diff 4H) |
|  |  | Heater Reference | Purple | $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | Shield | Clear | $\stackrel{1}{=}$ |
|  |  | Heater Power | Red | SW12-1 |
|  |  | Power Reference | Black | G |


| TABLE 3-10. Default Wiring for Soil Heat Flux Plates (Self Calibrating) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensor | Quantity | Wire Description | Color | Datalogger Terminal |
| HFP01SC \#4 | Signal | White | SE 4 (Diff 2L) |  |
|  | 1 | Signal Reference | Green | $\doteq$ |
|  |  | Clear | $\doteq$ |  |
|  |  | Yellow | SE 8 (Diff 4L) |  |
|  |  | Purple | $\doteq$ |  |
|  | Shield | Clear | $\doteq$ |  |
|  |  | Heater Power | Red | SW12-1 |
|  |  | Power Reference | Black | G |

## 4. Operation

### 4.1 Set Constants in CRBasic Editor and Load Program

Before operating the station, the values for some configuration constants should be verified in the program code.

Open the program in CRBasic Editor or another text editor. Search the program for the word "unique". This will locate all lines of code containing constants that need to be verified. Constants can also be identified by text that is all capitalized. Look for the text comments on the right side of the code for more explanation of each constant. Generally, the constants fall into four categories:

1. Sensor Selection Constants

The value for these constants is set to TRUE if the system includes the sensor. For example, if a system has a fine-wire thermocouple, the constant SENSOR_FW should be set to TRUE. When set to TRUE, the wiring in TABLE 3-10 will apply to the sensor and the data from that sensor will be included in the data output tables.

If a sensor is not used, make sure the constant is set to FALSE.

## 2. Sensor Quantity Constants

The value for these constants indicates the number of each type of sensor in the system. For example, if four soil heat flux (SHF) plates were being used, the constant NMBR_SHF would be set to 4.
3. Sensor Calibration Constants

Some sensors have unique multipliers or offsets that should be applied to their raw measurements. Typically, these values are found on the calibration sheet from the sensor's original manufacturer. For example, if an NR-LITE2 net radiometer is being used, a unique multiplier is set in the following line of code: Const NRLITE_CAL $=\mathbf{1 0 0 0} / \mathbf{1 6}$. The comments in the code explain that the number in the denominator (in this example: 16) is the sensor sensitivity value provided in the NR-LITE2 calibration sheet.

## 4. Program Function Constants

These are constants that determine the timing of code execution, frequency of writing to output tables, memory allocation, etc. In most cases, these constants may be left with their default values.

One program function constant that should be mentioned specifically is the ONE_FULL_TABLE constant. If this is set to TRUE, all of the intermediate and auxiliary measurements will be included as data fields in the main FLUX output table, rather than being in a separate output table called FLUX_NOTES. For more information, see Section 4.4, Output Tables (p. 16).

After all constants are verified, the program should be saved. It is suggested to save the program under a new or modified file name to keep track of different program versions. Finally, send the program to the CR3000 using LoggerNet, $P C 400$, or $P C 200 W$ user-interface software.


FIGURE 4-1. Example screen from CRBasic Editor showing sensor selection constants

### 4.2 Enter Site-Specific Variables with Datalogger Keypad

After the eddy-covariance station is installed and the datalogger is running the program, a custom menu for the CR3000 keyboard display is displayed (FIGURE 4-2). Use this menu to enter and view station-specific variables. Use the up and down arrow buttons to navigate to different variables. Press Enter to select a variable or to set a new value after typing it. Press Esc to return to the previous menu.

FIGURE 4-2 depicts the structure of the custom menu. The custom menu can be bypassed such that the user can interact directly with the datalogger through the datalogger default menus. To bypass the custom menus, select $<$ System Menu>.


FIGURE 4-2. Custom keypad menu; arrows indicate submenus

Before fluxes are processed correctly, the user must go through each of the station variables and set or confirm the assigned values. TABLE 4-1 gives short descriptions of each station variable.

| TABLE 4-1. Station Variables with Descriptions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Variable | Units | Default | Description |
| Surf Type | unitless | BARELAND | Type of surface at the measurement site. Options are CROP, GRASS, FOREST, SHRUB, BARELAND, and WATER. This is used to estimate displacement height (see Appendix D.6.1, Aerodynamic Height (p. D-8) and roughness length (see Appendix G.1.3, Programmatic Approach (p. G-6)). |
| d | m | 0 (Auto) | Displacement height. Set to zero (0) for program to auto-calculate. See Appendix D.6.1, Aerodynamic Height (p. D-8), for details. |
| z0 | m | 0 (Auto) | Roughness length. Set to zero (0) for program to autocalculate. See Appendix G.1.3, Programmatic Approach (p. G-6), for details. |
| Meas Height | m | 3 | The height of the center of the eddy-covariance sensors measurement volumes above ground. |
| Canopy Height | m | 0 | The average height of the canopy. |
| Bulk Density | $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | 1300 | Average bulk density of soil. If energy balance sensors are not used, this variable is omitted. |
| C_dry_soil | $\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | 870 | Specific heat of dry mineral soil. If energy balance sensors are not used, this variable is omitted. |
| HFP Depth | m | 0.08 | Depth of the soil heat flux plates. If energy balance sensors are not used, this variable is omitted. |
| IRGA Coord x | m | $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { for } \\ \text { IRGASON; } \\ 0.04066 \text { for } \\ \text { EC150 } \end{gathered}$ | Distance along the sonic x -axis between the sonic sampling volume and the gas analyzer sampling volume. If an IRGASON is used, this should be set to 0 . If an EC150 with CSAT3A is used, this defaults to 0.04066 , which corresponds to the EC150 mounting position closest to the CSAT3A sonic measurement volume. |
| IRGA Coord y | m | $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { for } \\ \text { IRGASON; } \\ 0.02905 \text { for } \\ \text { EC150 } \end{gathered}$ | Distance along the sonic y -axis between the sonic sampling volume and the gas analyzer sampling volume. If an IRGASON is used, this should be set to 0 . If an EC150 with CSAT3A is used, this defaults to 0.02905 , which corresponds to the EC150 mounting position closest to the CSAT3A sonic measurement volume. |
| FW Coord x | m | 0.005870 | Distance along the sonic x -axis between the sonic sampling volume and fine-wire thermocouple. If no fine-wire thermocouple is being used, this variable is omitted. |
| FW Coord y | m | 0.03259 | Distance along the sonic y -axis between the sonic sampling volume and the fine-wire thermocouple. If no fine-wire thermocouple is being used, this variable is omitted. |


| TABLE 4-1. Station Variables with Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Station Variable | Units | Default | Description |  |  |


| TABLE 4-1. Station Variables with Descriptions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Variable |  | Units | Default | Description |
| Footprint Dist of Interest | $\begin{gathered} \leq 60 \\ \text { or } \\ \geq 300 \end{gathered}$ | m | $100 z$ | The upwind distance of interest from the station when the mean horizontal wind is blowing from the sector of 0 to 60 and 300 to 360 degrees in the sonic coordinate system (wind blowing into sonic head). <br> Note: The program will report the percentage of cumulative footprint from within this distance. The default value is 100 times the aerodynamic height, $z$. Recall that $z$ is the difference between the measurement height and displacement height. |
| Footprint Dist of Interest | $\begin{gathered} >60 \\ \& \\ \leq 170 \end{gathered}$ | m | $100 z$ | The upwind distance of interest from the station when the mean horizontal wind is blowing from the sector of 60 to 170 degrees in the sonic coordinate system (wind blowing from left and behind sonic head). |
| Footprint Dist of Interest | $\begin{gathered} >170 \\ \& \\ <190 \end{gathered}$ | m | $100 z$ | The upwind distance of interest from the station when the mean horizontal wind is blowing from the sector of 170 to 190 degrees in the sonic coordinate system (wind blowing from behind sonic head). |
| Footprint Dist of Interest | $\begin{gathered} \geq 190 \\ \& \\ <300 \end{gathered}$ | m | $100 z$ | The upwind distance of interest from the station when the mean horizontal wind is blowing from the sector of 190 to 300 degrees in the sonic coordinate system (wind blowing from right and behind sonic head). |
| Pressure Source | Select <br> Source | unitless | EB | Used to select the barometer to use for meausrements of ambient pressure. Set to "EB" for EC100 enhanced barometer. Set to "BB" for the EC100 on-board basic barometer. |
| Pressure Source | Set Source | unitless | FALSE | If the variable "Select Source" has been changed, this variable must be set to "TRUE" to enable the change. The program will return "Set Source" to "FALSE" once the change has been applied. |
| ${ }^{1}$ Leave all planar fit alpha and beta angles set to 0 to use Tanner and Thurtell (1969) method of double coordinate rotations. |  |  |  |  |

Planar fit alpha and beta angles must be determined by post-processing time series data with an appropriate PC software package. Once the angles are determined, they can be entered into EasyFlux DL, and then the planar-fit coordinate rotations will be automatically applied. In contrast, the angles for Tanner and Thurtell (1969) double coordinate rotations can be determined automatically by EasyFlux $D L$ for each averaging interval (e.g., 30 minutes).

After all of the station variables have been verified, it is recommended to do an on-site zero and span of the gas analyzer before leaving the station. If a span is not possible, it is still strongly recommended to do a zero. Using the Zero Air Generator, pn 31022, is a convenient way to provide a portable source of zero air, especially for remote sites. Follow the zero and span procedure outlined in the IRGASON or EC150 manuals. TABLE 4-2 gives descriptions of the variables in the "On-Site Zero \& Span" keypad menu, which may be used to carry out the zero-and-span procedure described in the manuals.

| TABLE 4-2. On-Site Zero and Span Variables |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| On-Site Zero \& Span Variable |  | Units | Default | Description |
| Span <br> Concentrations | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | ppm | 0 | This is the concentration of span gas flowing through the zero/span shroud. It should be on a basis of dry air. |
|  | Td | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | This is the dewpoint temperature of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ span gas generated from a dewpoint generator. It should match the dewpoint temperature setting on the generator. |
| Do Zero |  | unitless | FALSE | Set this to TRUE after flowing zero gas through the zero/span shround and reaching equilibrium. |
| Do $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Span}$ |  | unitless | FALSE | Set this to TRUE after flowing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ span gas through the zero/span shroud and reaching equilibrium. |
| Do $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Span |  | unitless | FALSE | Set this to TRUE after flowing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ span gas through the zero/span shround and reaching equilibrium. |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ _mixratio |  | ppm | - | This is a real-time measurement of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molar mixing ratio (dry basis) as measured by the gas analyzer. This can be monitored to know when equilibrium has been reached. |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ _mixratio |  | ppth | - | This is a real-time measurement of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molar mixing ratio (dry basis) as measured by the gas analyzer. This can be monitored to know when equilibrium has been reached. |
| Td deg C |  | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | - | This is a real-time measurement of dewpoint temperature as measured by the gas analyzer. |
| Td_probe deg C |  | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | - | This is a real-time measurement of dewpoint temperature derived from measurements by the temp/RH probe. It is ommitted if there is no temp/RH probe being used. This value could potentially be input for dewpoint temperature, Td above, if a dewpoint generator is unavailable and performing a rough $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ span is helpful (for example, during troubleshooting). |

### 4.3 Data Retrieval

The program stores a very limited amount of data to the internal CPU of the datalogger, so a CompactFlash® (CF) card, along with an
NL115/NL116/CFM100 card module, should be used with the CR3000.
TABLE $4-3$ shows the number of days of data a 2 GB and 16 GB will typically hold before the memory is full and data starts to be overwritten. In cases where real-time remote monitoring is desired, various telemetry options (for example, cellular, radio, etc.) are available to transmit the processed flux data. Certain conditions may also allow remote transmittal of time series data. Contact Campbell Scientific for more details.

| TABLE 4-3. CF Card Fill Times |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CompactFlash® card <br> size | Fill time with gas analyzer <br> and sonic only | Fill time with gas analyzer, sonic, FW, <br> and biomet/energy balance sensors) ${ }^{1}$ |
| 2 GB | 43 days | 40 days |
| 16 GB | 353 days | 327 days |
| 1 Biomet and energy balance ensors used for this fill time estimate include the following: HMP 155A, NR- <br> LITE2, CS300, LI200X, LI190SB, SI-111, TE525MM, TCAV (qty 2), CS616 (qty 2), and HFP01 (qty 4) |  |  |

NOTE CF cards from various manufacturers may have slightly different memory sizes on their 2 G and 16 G cards, respectively. Also, as a card ages some of its sectors may become unusable, decreasing the available memory. Fill time estimates given in TABLE 4-3 are approximations for new cards.

### 4.4 Output Tables

Besides the standard Public, Status, and TableInfo tables that every datalogger reports, the program has four output tables. TABLE 4-4 gives the names of these output tables, along with a short description, the frequency at which a record is written to the table, and the amount of memory allocated from the CPU and CF card for each table. Note that if the user would prefer to have the data fields contained in the Flux_Notes table appended to the end of the Flux table rather than being placed in a separate output table, this is possible by changing the constant ONE_FULL_TABLE from FALSE to TRUE (see Section 4.1, Set Constants in CRBasic Editor and Load Program (p.9), on changing constants).

| TABLE 4-4. Data Output Tables |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table Name | Description | Recording Interval | Memory on <br> CR3000 CPU | Memory on <br> CF Card |  |
| Time_Series | Time series data <br> (aligned to account for <br> electronic delays) | SCAN_INTERVAL <br> (default 100 ms) | Auto-Allocate <br> (typically less than 1 <br> hour) | Time_Series is <br> broken up into 1 <br> day files (see <br> TABLE 4-3) |  |
| Diagnostic | Reports most recent <br> diagnostic flags from <br> gas analyzer and sonic <br> anemometer | SCAN_INTERVAL <br> (default 100 ms) | 1 record (most <br> recent scan) | 0 records |  |

TABLES 4-5 through 4-8 give a description of all data fields that are found in each data output table and when each data field is included in the table. Note that prior to coordinate rotations, the orthogonal wind components from the sonic anemometer are denoted as $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y}}$, and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{z}}$. Following coordinate rotations, the common denotation of $u, v$, and $w$ is used, respectively.

NOTE
Variables with _R denote that the value was computed after coordinate rotations were done. Variables with a _F denote that the value was calculated after frequency corrections were applied. Similarly, _SND and _WPL refer to variables that have had the SND correction or the WPL correction applied, respectively.

| TABLE 4-5. Data Fields in the Time_Series Data Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| Ux | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Wind speed along sonic x -axis | Always |
| Uy | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Wind speed along sonic y -axis | Always |
| Uz | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Wind speed along sonic z -axis | Always |
| Ts | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Sonic temperature | Always |
| diag_sonic | unitless | Raw sonic diagnostic value ( 0 indicates no diagnostic flags set) | Always |
| CO2 | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density | Always |
| H2O | $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | Water vapor density | Always |
| diag_irga | unitless | Raw gas analyzer diagnostic value ( 0 indicates no diagnostic flags set) | Always |
| Tc | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Air temperature derived from sonic temperature corrected for humidity and pressure | If IRGASON is used |
| amb_tmpr | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Air temperature measured by the EC100 temperature probe | Always |
| amb_press | kPa | Ambient pressure | Always |
| CO2_sig_strgth | ratio | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ signal strength | Always |
| H2O_sig_strgth | ratio | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal strength | Always |
| FW | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Air temperature measured by fine-wire thermocouple | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |

TABLE 4-6. Data Fields in the Diagnostic Output Table

| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| sonic_amp_l_f | unitless | Amplitude low diagnostic flag | Always |
| sonic_amp_h_f | unitless | Amplitude high diagnostic flag | Always |
| sonic_sig_lck_f | unitless | Signal lock diagnostic flag | Always |
| sonic_del_T_f_f | unitless | Delta Temp diagnostic flag | Always |
| sonic_aq_sig_f | unitless | Acquiring signal diagnostic flag | Always |
| sonic_cal_err_f | unitless | Calibration error diagnostic flag | Always |


| TABLE 4-6. Data Fields in the Diagnostic Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| irga_bad_data_f | unitless | Any gas analyzer diagnostic flag is set | Always |
| irga_gen_fault_f | unitless | General system fault diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_startup_f | unitless | Startup diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_motor_spd_f | unitless | Motor speed diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_tec_tmpr_f | unitless | Thermoelectrical cooler (TEC) temperature diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_src_pwr_f | unitless | Source power diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_src_tmpr_f | unitless | Source temperature diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_src_curr_f | unitless | Source current diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_off_f | unitless | Gas head power down diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_sync_f | unitless | Synchronization diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_amb_tmpr_f | unitless | Ambient temperature probe diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_amb_press_f | unitless | Ambient pressure diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_CO2_I_f | unitless | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ I signal diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_CO2_Io_f | unitless | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Io signal diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_H2O_I_f | unitless | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ I signal diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_H2O_Io_f | unitless | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Io signal diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_CO2_Io_var_f | unitless | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Io variation diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_H2O_Io_var_f | unitless | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Io variation diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_CO2_sig_strgth_f | unitless | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ signal strength diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_H2O_sig_strgth_f | unitless | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal strength diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_cal_err_f | unitless | Calibration file read error flag | Always |
| irga_htr_ctrl_off_f | unitless | Heater control off diagnostic flag | Always |


| TABLE 4-7. Data Fields in the Flux Data Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| Fc_molar | $\mu \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Final corrected $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ flux | Always |
| Fc_mass | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Final corrected $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ flux | Always |
| Fc_qc_grade | grade | Overall quality grade for Fc_molar and <br> Fc_mass following Foken et al. 2012 | Always |
| Fc_samples_Tot | count | The total number of time series <br> samples used in calculation of Fc | Always |
| LE | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Final corrected latent heat flux | Always |
| LE_qc_grade | grade | Overall quality grade for LE following <br> Foken et al. 2012 | Always |
| LE_samples_Tot | count | The total number of time series <br> samples used in calculation of LE | Always |


| TABLE 4-7. Data Fields in the Flux Data Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| H | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Final corrected sensible heat flux derived from sonic sensible heat flux | Always |
| H_qc_grade | grade | Overall quality grade for Hs following Foken et al. 2012 | Always |
| H_samples_Tot | count | The total number of time series samples used in calculation of H | Always |
| H_FW | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Final corrected sensible heat flux derived from fine-wire thermocouple measurements | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| H_FW_samples_Tot | count | The total number of time series samples used in calculation of H_FW | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| Rn | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Average net radiation (corrected for wind) | If NR-LITE2, NR01, or CNR4 is used |
| G_surface | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Heat flux at the ground surface | If energy balance sensors are used |
| energy_closure | ratio | The ratio of sensible and latent heat fluxes over surface heat flux plus net radiation | If energy balance sensors are used |
| Bowen_ratio | ratio | The ratio of final sensible heat flux over final latent heat flux | Always |
| tau | $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Final corrected momentum flux | Always |
| tau_qc_grade | grade | Overall quality grade for tau following Foken et al. 2012 | Always |
| u_star | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Friction velocity after coordinate rotations and frequency corrections | Always |
| T_star | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Scaling temperature after coordinate rotations, frequency corrections, and SDN correction | Always |
| TKE | $\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ | Specific turbulence kinetic energy after coordinate rotations | Always |
| amb_tmpr_Avg | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average ambient temperature from EC100 temperature probe | Always |
| Tc_Avg | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average air temperature derived from corrected sonic temperature | If IRGASON is used |
| Td | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average dewpoint temperature derived from gas analyzer and sonic measurements | Always |
| RH_Avg | \% | Average relative humidity derived from gas analyzer measurements | Always |
| e_sat_Avg | kPa | Average saturation vapor pressure derived from gas analyzer measurements | Always |
| e_Avg | kPa | Average vapor pressure derived from gas analyzer measurements | Always |


| TABLE 4-7. Data Fields in the Flux Data Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| amb_press_Avg | kPa | Average ambient air pressure | Always |
| VPD_air | kPa | Vapor pressure deficit | Always |
| Ux_Avg | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Average $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | Always |
| Ux_Std | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Standard deviation of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | Always |
| Uy_Avg | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Average $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | Always |
| Uy_Std | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Standard deviation of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | Always |
| Uz_Avg | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Average $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | Always |
| Uz_Std | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Standard deviation of $\mathrm{U}_{z}$ | Always |
| Ts_Avg | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average sonic temperature | Always |
| Ts_Std | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Standard deviation of sonic temperature | Always |
| sonic_azimuth | deg | Compass direction in which the sonic negative x -axis points | Always |
| wnd_spd | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Average wind speed | Always |
| rslt_wnd_spd | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Average horizontal wind speed | Always |
| wnd_dir_sonic | deg | Average wind direction in the sonic coordinate system | Always |
| wnd_dir_Std | deg | Standard deviation of wind direction | Always |
| wnd_dir_compass | deg | Average compass wind direction | Always |
| CO2_molfrac_Avg | $\mu \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | Average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ mole fraction (wet basis) | Always |
| CO2_mixratio_Avg | $\mu \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | Average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ dry molar mixing ratio | Always |
| CO2_Avg | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | Average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ mass density | Always |
| CO2_Std | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | Standard deviation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ mass density | Always |
| H2O_molfrac_Avg | $\mathrm{mmol} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | Water vapor mole fraction (wet basis) | Always |
| H2O_mixratio_Avg | $\mathrm{mmol} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | Water vapor dry molar mixing ratio | Always |
| H2O_Avg | $\mathrm{mmol} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | Water vapor mass density | Always |
| H2O_Std | $\mathrm{mmol} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | Standard deviation of water vapor mass density | Always |
| CO2_sig_strgth_Min | ratio | Minimum $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ signal strength | Always |
| H2O_sig_strgth_Min | ratio | Minimum $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal strength | Always |
| FW_Avg | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average fine-wire thermocouple temperature | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| FW_Std | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Standard deviation of fine-wire thermocouple temperature | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| T_probe_Avg | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average ambient temperature measured by temp/RH probe | If HC2S3 or HMP155A is used |
| e_probe_Avg | kPa | Average water vapor pressure derived from temp/RH probe | If HC2S3 or HMP155A is used |


| TABLE 4-7. Data Fields in the Flux Data Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| e_sat_probe_Avg | kPa | Average saturation water vapor pressure derived from temp/RH probe | If HC2S3 or HMP155A is used |
| Td_probe_Avg | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average dewpoint temperature derived from temp/RH probe | If HC2S3 or HMP155A is used |
| H2O_probe _Avg | $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | Average water vapor density derived from temp/RH probe measurements | If HC2S3 or HMP155A is used |
| RH_probe_Avg | \% | Average relative humidity from temp/RH probe | If HC2S3 or HMP155A is used |
| rho_a_probe_Avg | $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | Average air density derived from temp/RH probe | If HC2S3 or HMP155A is used |
| rho_d_probe_Avg | $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | Average dry air density derived from temp/RH probe | If HC2S3 or HMP155A is used |
| Precipitation_Tot | mm | Total precipitation | If TE525MM is used |
| Rn_meas_Avg | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Average net radiation (raw, not corrected for wind) | If NR-LITE2 is used |
| albedo_Avg | unitless | Average albedo | If CNR4 or NR01 is used |
| R_SW_in_Avg | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Average incoming short wave radiation | If CNR4 or NR01 is used |
| R_SW_out_Avg | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Average outgoing short wave radiation | If CNR4 or NR01 is used |
| R_LW_in_Avg | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Average incoming long wave radiation | If CNR4 or NR01 is used |
| R_LW_out_Avg | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Average outgoing long wave radiation | If CNR4 or NR01 is used |
| T_nr_Avg | K | Average sensor body temperature | If CNR4 or NR01 is used |
| R_LW_in_meas_Avg | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Average raw incoming long wave radiation | If CNR4 or NR01 is used |
| R_LW_out_meas_Avg | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Average raw outgoing long wave radiation | If CNR4 or NR01 is used |
| R_pyran_Avg | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Average radiation measured by pyranometer | If LI200X or CS300 is used |
| PAR_density_Avg | $\mu \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ | Average density of photosynthetic active radiation | If LI190SB is used |
| T_SI111_targeted_Avg | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average temperature of targeted object | If SI111 is used |
| T_SI111_body_Avg | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average temperature of sensor body | If SI111 is used |
| Tsoil_Avg(i) | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average soil temperature for each TCAV sensor; i identifies which TCAV sensor | If TCAV is used |
| soil_wtr_T_Avg(i) | ratio | Average volumetric soil water content with temperature correction for each CS616; i identifies which CS616 sensor | If CS616 and TCAV are used |


| TABLE 4-7. Data Fields in the Flux Data Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| soil_wtr_Avg(i) | ratio | Average volumetric soil water content without temperature correction | If CS616 is used without TCAV |
| CS616_wcr_Avg(i) | $\mu \mathrm{s}$ | Average water content reflectometer period for each CS616; i identifies which CS616 sensor | If CS616 is used |
| CS65x_wc_Avg(i) | ratio | Average volumetric soil water content for each sensor; i identifies which CS650 or CS655 sensor | If CS650 or CS655 is used |
| CS65x_ec_Avg(i) | $\mathrm{dS} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-1}$ | Average electrical conductivity for each sensor; i identifies which CS650 or CS655 sensor | If CS650 or CS655 is used |
| CS65x_tmpr_Avg(i) | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average soil temperature for each sensor; i identifies which CS650 or CS655 sensor | If CS650 or CS655 is used |
| shf_plate_Avg(i) | $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Average soil heat flux; i identifies which sensor | If HFP01 or HFP01SC is used |
| shf_plate_cal(i) | unitless | Coefficients found from the HFP01SC self-calibration and used to calculate shf_plate_Avg(i); i indicates which sensor | If HFP01SC is used |
| upwnd_dist_interest | m | Upwind distance of interest for the average wind direction | Always |
| FP_dist_interest | \% | Percentage of footprint from within the upwind range of interest | Always |
| FP_max | m | Distance upwind where the maximum contribution to the footprint is found | Always |
| FP_40 | m | Upwind distance that contains $40 \%$ of cumulative footprint | Always |
| FP_55 | m | Upwind distance that contains $55 \%$ of footprint | Always |
| FP_90 | m | Upwind distance that contains $90 \%$ of footprint. If NAN is returned, integration of the model never reached $90 \%$ within the allowable distance of integration. See Appendix G, Footprint (p. G-l), for more details. | Always |
| FP_equation | text | Returns either Kljun or KormannMeixner. The model of Kljun et al. (2004) is used for applicable atmospheric conditions, else the model of Kormann \& Meixner (2001) is used. | Always |


| TABLE 4-8. Data fields in the Flux_Notes Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| UxUy_cov | $\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ | Covariance of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | Always |
| UxUz_cov | $\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ | Covariance of $U_{x}$ and $U_{z}$ | Always |
| UyUz_cov | $\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ | Covariance of $U_{y}$ and $U_{z}$ | Always |
| TsUx_cov | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | Always |
| TsUy_cov | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | Always |
| TsUz_cov | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | Always |
| u_star_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Friction velocity after coordinate rotations | Always |
| u_Avg_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Mean streamwise wind speed after coordinate rotations | Always |
| $u_{\sim}$ Std_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Standard deviation of streamwise wind after coordinate rotations | Always |
| $v_{-}$Avg_R $^{\text {R }}$ | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Average crosswind speed after coordinate rotations | Always |
| v_Std_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Standard deviation of crosswind after coordinate rotations | Always |
| w_Avg_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Average vertical wind speed after coordinate rotations | Always |
| w_Std_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Standard deviation of vertical wind after coordinate rotations | Always |
| uv_cov_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of streamwise and crosswind after coordinate rotations | Always |
| uw_cov_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of streamwise and crosswind after coordinate rotations | Always |
| vw_cov_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of crosswind and vertical wind after coordinate rotations | Always |
| uTs_Cov_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of streamwise wind and sonic temperature after coordinate rotations | Always |
| vTs_Cov_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of crosswind and sonic temperature after coordinate rotations | Always |
| wTs_Cov_R | $\mathrm{m} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of vertical wind (after coordinate rotations) and sonic temperature | Always |
| uw_Cov_R_F | $\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ | Covariance of streamwise and vertical wind after coordinate rotations and frequency corrections | Always |
| vw_Cov_R_F | $\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ | Covariance of cross and vertical wind after coordinate rotations and frequency corrections | Always |
| wTs_Cov_R_F | $\mathrm{m} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of vertical wind and sonic temperature after coordinate rotations and frequency corrections | Always |


| TABLE 4-8. Data fields in the Flux_Notes Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| wTs_Cov_R_F_SND | $\mathrm{m}^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of vertical wind and sonic temperature after coordinate rotations, frequency corrections, and SND correction | Always |
| sonic_samples_Tot | count | Number of raw sonic samples in averaging period without diagnostic flags | Always |
| no_sonic_head_Tot | count | Number of sonic samples where no sonic head was detected | Always |
| no_new_sonic_data_Tot | count | Number of scans where no sonic data were received | Always |
| sonic_amp_1_f_Tot | count | Number of sonic samples with amplitude low diagnostic flag | Always |
| sonic_amp_h_f_Tot | count | Number of sonic samples with amplitude high diagnostic flag | Always |
| sonic_sig_lck_f_Tot | count | Number of sonic samples with signal lock diagnostic flag | Always |
| sonic_del_T_f_f_Tot | count | Number of sonic samples with delta temp diagnostic flag | Always |
| sonic_aq_sig_f_Tot | count | Number of sonic samples with acquiring signal diagnostic flag | Always |
| sonic_cal_err_f_Tot | count | Number of sonic samples with calibration error diagnostic flag | Always |
| UxCO2_Cov | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2 \cdot} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density | Always |
| UyCO2_Cov | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y}}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density | Always |
| UzCO2_Cov | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2 \cdot} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density | Always |
| UxH2O_Cov | $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and water vapor density | Always |
| UyH2O_Cov | $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y}}$ and water vapor density | Always |
| UzH2O_Cov | $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $U_{z}$ and water vapor density | Always |
| uCO2_Cov_R | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of streamwise wind and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density after coordinate rotations | Always |
| vCO2_Cov_R | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of crosswind and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density after coordinate rotations | Always |
| wCO2_Cov_R | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of vertical wind and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density after coordinate rotations | Always |
| uH2O_Cov_R | $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of streamwise wind and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ density after coordinate rotations | Always |
| vH2O_Cov_R | $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of crosswind and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ density after coordinate rotations | Always |
| wH2O_Cov_R | $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of vertical wind and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ density after coordinate rotations | Always |


| TABLE 4-8. Data fields in the Flux_Notes Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| wCO2_Cov_R_F | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2 \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}$ | Covariance of vertical wind and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density after coordinate rotations and frequency corrections | Always |
| wH2O_Cov_R_F | $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of vertical wind and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ density after coordinate rotations and frequency corrections | Always |
| CO2_E_WPL_R_F | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2 \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ flux WPL correction term due to water vapor flux after coordinate rotations and frequency corrections | Always |
| CO2_T_WPL_R_F | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2 \cdot} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ flux WPL correction term due to sensible heat flux after coordinate rotations and frequency corrections | Always |
| H2O_E_WPL_R_F | $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ flux WPL correction term due to water vapor flux after coordinate rotations and frequency corrections | Always |
| H2O_T_WPL_R_F | $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ flux WPL correction term due to sensible heat flux after coordinate rotations and frequency corrections | Always |
| CO2_samples_Tot | count | Number of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ samples without diagnostic flags and within thresholds for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ signal strength (set in code to default of 0.6 , see Section 4.1, Set Constants in CRBasic Editor and Load Program (p.9)) and the factory calibrated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ measurement range ( 0 to 1000 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) | Always |
| H2O_samples_Tot | count | Number of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ samples without diagnostic flags and within thresholds for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal strength (set in code to default of 0.7 , see Section 4.1, Set Constants in CRBasic Editor and Load Program (p.9)) and the factory calibrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ measurement range ( 0 to 72 $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) | Always |
| no_irga_head_Tot | count | Number of samples where no gas analyzer head was detected | Always |
| no_new_irga_data_Tot | count | Number of scans where no gas analyzer data were received | Always |
| irga_bad_data_f_Tot | count | Number of IRGA samples with any IRGA diagnostic flag set high | Always |
| irga_gen_fault_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with general system fault diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_startup_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with startup diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_motor_spd_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with motor speed diagnostic flag | Always |


| TABLE 4-8. Data fields in the Flux_Notes Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| irga_tec_tmpr_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with TEC temperature diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_src_pwr_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with source power diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_src_tmpr_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with source temperature diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_src_curr_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with source current diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_off_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with gas head power down diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_sync_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with synchronization diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_amb_tmpr_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with ambient temperature probe diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_amb_press_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with ambient pressure diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_CO2_1_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with $\mathrm{CO}_{2} 1$ signal diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_CO2_Io_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o}}$ signal diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_H2O_I_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ I signal diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_H2O_Io_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{I}_{0}$ signal diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_CO2_Io_var_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o}}$ variation diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_H2O_Io_var_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o}}$ variation diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_CO2_sig_strgth_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ signal strength diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_H2O_sig_strgth_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal strength diagnostic flag | Always |
| irga_cal_err_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with calibration file read error flag | Always |
| irga_htr_ctrl_off_f_Tot | count | Number of gas analyzer samples with heater control off diagnostic flag | Always |
| UxFW_cov | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $U_{x}$ and fine-wire thermocouple temperature | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| UyFW_cov | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $U_{y}$ and fine-wire thermocouple temperature | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| UzFW_cov | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and fine-wire thermocouple temperature | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |


| TABLE 4-8. Data fields in the Flux_Notes Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| uFW_cov_R | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of streamwise wind and finewire thermocouple temperature after coordinate rotations | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| vFW_cov_R | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of crosswind and fine-wire thermocouple temperature after coordinate rotations | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| wFW_cov_R | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of vertical wind and finewire thermocouple temperature after coordinate rotations | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| wFW_cov_R_F | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Covariance of vertical wind and finewire thermocouple temperature after coordinate rotations and frequency corrections | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| FW_samples_Tot | count | The number of valid fine-wire thermocouple measurements in the averaging period from which covariances may be calculated | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| alpha | deg | Alpha angle used for coordinate rotations (regardless of planar fit or double rotation method, angle convention of Wilczak et al. 2001 used) | Always |
| beta | deg | Beta angle used for coordinate rotations (regardless of planar fit or double rotation method, angle convention of Wilczak et al. 2001 used) | Always |
| gamma | deg | Gamma angle used for coordinate rotations (regardless of planar fit or double rotation method, angle convention of Wilczak et al. 2001 used) | Always |
| height_measurement | m | User entered measurement height of EC sensors | Always |
| height_canopy | m | User entered canopy height | Always |
| surface_type_text | m | User entered surface type | Always |
| displacement_user | m | User entered displacement height; 0 for auto calculation | Always |
| d | m | Displacement height used in calculations; it will equal displacement_user if user entered a nonzero value; if displacement_user is zero, program will auto calculate | Always |
| z0 | m | Roughness length | Always |
| z | m | Aerodynamic height | Always |
| L | m | Obukhov length | Always |
| stability_zL | $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-1}$ | Atmospheric surface layer stability | Always |


| TABLE 4-8. Data fields in the Flux_Notes Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| iteration_FreqFactor | count | Number of iterations for recalculating Obukhov length and frequency factors | Always |
| latitude | deg | Latitude; positive for Nothern hemisphere, negative for Southern hemisphere | Always |
| longitude | deg | Longitude; positive for Eastern hemisphere, negative for Western hemisphere | Always |
| separation_x_irga | m | Separation between sonic and gas analyzer with respect to sonic x -axis | Always |
| separation_y_irga | m | Separation between sonic and gas analyzer with respect to sonic $y$-axis | Always |
| separation_lat_dist_irga | m | Separation distance between sonic and gas analyzer along the axis perpendicular to oncoming wind | Always |
| separation_lag_dist_irga | m | Separation distance between sonic and gas analyzer along the axis parallel to oncoming wind | Always |
| separation_lag_scan_irga | scans | Number of scans to lag gas analyzer data relative to sonic data to account for separation along the axis of oncoming wind and wind velocity | Always |
| separation_x_FW | m | Separation between sonic and fine-wire thermocouple with respect to sonic x axis | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| separation_y_FW | m | Separation between sonic and fine-wire thermocouple with respect to sonic $y$ axis | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| FW_diameter | m | Effective diameter of fine-wire thermocouple junction | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| separation_lat_dist_FW | m | Separation distance between sonic and fine-wire thermocouple along axis perpendicular to oncoming wind | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| separation_lag_dist_FW | m | Separation distance between sonic and fine-wire thermocouple along axis parallel to oncoming wind | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| separation_lag_scan_FW | m | Number of scans to lag fine-wire thermocouple data relative to sonic data to account for separation along axis of oncoming wind and wind velocity | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |
| time_const_FW | m | Calculated time constant of the fine-wire thermocouple | If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used |


| TABLE 4-8. Data fields in the Flux_Notes Output Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Data Field Name | Units | Description | Data Field Included |
| MAX_LAG | scans | Maximum number of scans to lag gas <br> analyzer or fine-wire thermocouple data <br> with respect to sonic data when doing <br> cross correlation for covariance <br> maximization. For example, if <br> MAX_LAG = 2, the program will <br> consider lags of -2, -1, 0, +1, and +2. | Always |
| lag_irga | scans | The lag applied to gas analyzer data with <br> respect to sonic data that maximizes <br> covariance | Always |
| lag_FW | scans | The lag applied to fine-wire <br> thermocouple data with respect to sonic <br> data that maximizes covariance | Always |
| FreqFactor_uw_vw | number | Frequency correction factor applied to <br> momentum fluxes | Always |
| FreqFactor_wTs | number | Frequency correction factor applied to <br> wTs covariance | Always |
| process_time_Avg | number | Mas | Always |
| mumber | Frequency correction factor applied to <br> wCO | Always |  |
| the buffer |  |  |  |

### 4.5 Program Sequence of Measurement and Corrections

The main correction procedures and algorithms implemented into the program are listed below. For more information on the sequence of measurements and corrections, refer to Appendix I, EasyFlux DL Process Flow Diagram (p. I-1).

The appendices of this manual will give addition information on each major correction and its implementation in the program.

1. Despike and filter 10 Hz data using sonic and gas analyzer diagnostic codes and signal strength and measurement output range thresholds.
2. Coordinate rotations with an option to use the double rotation method (Tanner and Thurtell 1969), or planar fit method (Wilczak et al. 2001).
3. Lag $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ measurements against sonic wind measurements for maximization of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ fluxes (Horst and Lenschow 2009; Foken et al. 2012), with additional constraints to ensure lags are physically possible.
4. Frequency corrections using commonly used cospectra (Moore 1986; van Dijk 2002a; Moncrieff et al. 1997) and transfer functions of block averaging (Kaimal et al. 1989), line/volume averaging (Moore 1986; Moncrieff et al. 1997; Foken et al. 2012; van Dijk 2002a), time constants (Montgomery 1947; Shapland et al. 2014; Geankoplis 1993), and sensor separation (Horst and Lenschow 2009; Foken et al. 2012).
5. A modified SND correction (Schotanus et al. 1983) to derive sensible heat flux from sonic sensible heat flux following the implementation as outlined in van Dijk 2002b. Additionally, fully corrected real sensible heat flux computed from fine-wire thermometry may be provided.
6. Correction for air density changes using WPL equations (Webb et al. 1980).
7. Data quality qualifications based on steady state conditions, surface layer turbulence characteristics, and wind directions following Foken et al. 2012.
8. If energy balance sensors are used, calculation of energy closure based on energy balance measurements and corrected sensible and latent heat fluxes.
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# Appendix A. Vapor Pressure and Dewpoint Temperature 

IRGAs require an occasional span (i.e., field calibration) of water vapor. When doing a span, the humidity of the span gas must be known and entered using the On Site Zero \& Span menu on the datalogger keypad (or alternatively, it is entered into ECMon, the IRGA's user-interface software). Although this humidity may be expressed in various units, dew point temperature is used since the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ span gas is typically generated with a dew point generator. Because dew point temperature is used, it is sometimes desirable to convert the water vapor density measurements of the IRGA to dew point temperature, especially as it provides comparability with the span gas before and after the span. Accordingly, the program converts water vapor density to dew point temperature using the algorithms described in this appendix.

## A. 1 Equations to Calculate Dewpoint Temperature from Water Vapor Density

An EC system measures and reports water vapor density ( $\rho_{w}$ in $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ ), air temperature $\left(T\right.$ in $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and total atmospheric pressure $(P$ in kPa$)$. Using the ideal gas equation, vapor pressure ( $e$ in kPa ) can be calculated using:
$e=\rho_{w} R_{v}(T+273.15)$
where:
$R_{v}$ is the gas constant for water vapor $\left.\left(4.61495 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{kPa} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right)\right)$
In this equation, if $e$ were saturation water vapor pressure ( $e_{s}$ in kPa ), $T$ would be dew point temperature $\left(T_{d}\right)$. However, since the air is unlikely to be saturated, other equations are needed to estimate the dew point temperature.

Buck (1981) developed equations to relate saturation water vapor pressure to dew point temperature in moist air. The equations were designed to be easily implemented in a computer program for conversion of saturation water vapor pressure to dew point temperature, or vice versa. The general model of equations was:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{s}=f_{w}\left(T_{d}, P\right) e_{w s}\left(T_{d}\right) \tag{A-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$e_{s}$ is saturation vapor pressure, and $e_{\mathrm{ws}}\left(T_{d}\right)$ is saturation vapor pressure of pure water at pressure of the sea level, given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{w s}=a \exp \left(\frac{b T_{d}}{T_{d}+c}\right) \tag{A-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $a, b$, and $c$ are parameters, and $f_{w}\left(T_{d}, P\right)$ is the enhancement factor that is the ratio of vapor pressure of moist air to that of pure water vapor, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{w}\left(T_{d}, P\right)=\frac{e_{s}}{e_{w s}}=A+P\left[B+C\left(T_{d}+D+E P\right)^{2}\right] \tag{A-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$A, B, C, D$, and $E$ are parameters. In Buck (1981), Figure 1 and Table 2 show results for $e_{\mathrm{ws}}\left(T_{d}\right)$ from model (3), and Figure 3 and Table 3 show results for $f_{w}\left(T_{d}, P\right)$ from model (4). Combing the saturation water vapor pressure equation, which has an error of $\pm 0.05 \%$ in a temperature range of -40 to +50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and within a normal range of surface layer pressures, with the enhancement factor, which has an equivalent error of $\pm 0.05 \%$ in the same temperature range, generates the following water vapor pressure equation for moist air:

$$
e_{s}\left(T_{d}, P\right)= \begin{cases}0.61121 \exp \left(\frac{17.368 T_{d}}{T_{d}+238.88}\right) f_{w}\left(T_{d}, P\right) & T_{d} \geq 0  \tag{A-5}\\ 061121 \exp \left(\frac{17.966 T_{d}}{T_{d}+247.15}\right) f_{w}\left(T_{d}, P\right) & T_{d}<0\end{cases}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{w}\left(T_{d}, P\right)=1.00041+P\left[3.48 \times 10^{-5}+7.4 \times 10^{-9}\left(T_{d}+30.6-0.38 P\right)^{2}\right] \tag{A-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given measured water vapor pressure and total pressure from an EC system, the only unknown variable in equations (A-5) and (A-6) is dew point temperature. However, analytically solving the equations for $T_{d}$ is not feasible due to complication from the quadratic term in equation (A-6). Fortunately, the enhancement factor is a very weak function of $T_{d}$, which is why Buck (1981) recommended that "a rough approximation of $T_{d}$ will serve nicely in calculating $f_{w}\left(T_{d}, P\right)$ ". A question then emerges concerning what should be considered reasonable for a rough approximation. In the case that relative humidity is high, the air temperature measured by an EC system may be close enough to be a rough estimation of $T_{d}$, however this may be considered unreasonable in the sense of a numerical analysis because an error range is unknown. And in case when relative humidity is low, this approximation could differ from the true dew point temperature by more than $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, making it even more unreasonable in terms of atmospheric physics. Thus another approach is proposed by Buck (1981) for calculating a more accurate approximation of $T_{d}$ as described below.

## A. 2 Approach to Approximation of $\mathrm{T}_{d}$ for the Enhancement Factor

For general use to calculate dew point temperature ( $T_{d-g u}$ where subscript $g u$ indicates general use), Buck (1981) recommended the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{s}\left(T_{d_{-} g u}, P\right)=0.61121 \exp \left(\frac{17.502 T_{d_{-} g u}}{T_{d_{-} g u}+240.97}\right) f_{w}(P) \tag{A-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{w}(P)=1.0007+3.46 \times 10^{-5} P \tag{A-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unlike $f_{w}\left(T_{d}, P\right)$ in equation (A-5), $f_{w}(P)$ in equation (A-7) does not include a quadratic term of dew point temperature, $T_{d-g u}$ can be analytically expressed in terms of saturation water pressure and total pressure as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{d_{-} g u}=\frac{240.97\left\{\ln e_{s}-\ln \left[0.61121 f_{w}(P)\right]\right\}}{17.502-\left\{\ln e_{s}-\ln \left[0.61121 f_{w}(P)\right]\right\}} \tag{A-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because in equation (A-7) the saturation water vapor equation for pure water has an error limit of $\pm 0.2 \%$ in a temperature range of -20 to $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a normal range of surface-layer pressures [see Figure 1 and Table 1 in Buck (1981)], and because the enhancement factor also has an error limit of $\pm 0.2 \%$ in a temperature range of -40 to $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [see Figure 3 and Table 3 in Buck (1981)], the dew point temperature for general use $\left(T_{d \_g u}\right)$ as calculated using equation (10) has known an error limit and can be considered a relatively accurate approximation for $T_{d}$ in equation (A-6).

## A. 3 Dewpoint Temperature Equation

Now that a good approximation for $T_{d}$ is found, $T d \_g u$ from equation (A-9) may be substituted for $T_{d}$ into equation (A-6). The resulting enhancement factor can then be used along with measured water vapor pressure and total pressure to give a more accurate dew point temperature $\left(T_{d}\right)$ :

$$
T_{d}= \begin{cases}\frac{238.88\left\{\ln e_{s}-\ln \left[0.61121 f_{w}\left(T_{d_{d} g u}, P\right)\right]\right\}}{17.368-\left\{\ln e_{s}-\ln \left[0.61121 f_{w}\left(T_{d_{-} g u}, P\right)\right]\right\}} & T_{d_{-} g u} \geq 0  \tag{A-10}\\ \frac{247.15\left\{\ln e_{s}-\ln \left[0.61121 f_{w}\left(T_{d_{-g u}}, P\right)\right]\right\}}{17.966-\left\{\ln e_{s}-\ln \left[0.61121 f_{w}\left(T_{d_{-g u}}, P\right)\right]\right\}} & T_{d_{-g u}}<0\end{cases}
$$

Note that in this equation, the variable of $T_{d g u}$ instead of $T_{d}$ in eq. 5 is used to judge the boundary for use of two sub-equations, although using either variable for the boundary should yield nearly the same result since according to Buck (1981), $T_{d}$ and $T_{d \_g u}$ should be within $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of each other as long as the magnitude of dew point temperature is less than $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Furthermore, the two sub-equations in equation (5) have the same accuracy around $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dew point temperature for a range of -1 to $+1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [see Figure 1 in Buck (1981)] and are effectively interchangeable from -1 to $+1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Because the two sub-equations in equation (A-10) are simply rearrangements of the two sub-equations in equation (A-5), respectively, the two sub-equations in equation (A-10) also must be interchangeable from -1 to $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## A. 4 Online Flux Program

The datalogger program calculates $T_{d}$ by first converting measured water vapor density to water vapor pressure, $e$ (equation A-1). Because dew point temperature is the temperature at which $e$ becomes the saturated vapor
pressure, $e_{s}$, we use the value of $e$ from the IRGA in place of $e_{s}$ in equation (A10).

## A. 5 Reference

Buck, A. L.: 1981, "New equations for computing vapor pressure and enhancement factor", J. Applied Meteorol., 20: 1527-1532.

# Appendix B. Coordinate Rotations: Double Rotation Method 

The covariance of vertical wind with a scalar (for example, heat, water vapor, or $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) yields a scalar flux. The covariance of vertical wind with horizontal wind along with air density, gives momentum flux. If the measured vertical wind is not truly normal to the surface of interest, the flux estimates are in error (Kaimal and Haugen 1969). Flow velocities measured by a three-dimensional anemometer are defined in an instrument coordinate system. Although the instrument coordinate system is defined accurately in the manufacturing process by precision machining, and field mounting may be done carefully to align the sensor's vertical axis ( $z_{m}$-axis) to be perpendicular to the field surface, it is almost impossible for the $z_{m}$-axis to be aligned perfectly. Some degree of leveling errors will be present and surface undulation may occur. Tilts of the order of a degree could cause errors in excess of $100 \%$ for momentum flux (Kraus 1968). Kaimal and Haugen (1969) further confirmed that large errors can occur in the measurement of momentum flux unless the sensors are vertically aligned and horizontally leveled with a great accuracy (at least $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ ). The errors caused by tilt in estimates of flux can be corrected using the mathematical method of coordinate transforms based on the physical process of turbulent flows (mean vertical velocity of dry air is zero).

## B. 1 Matrix Transformation of Instrument to Flow Coordinate System

Let us define a 3D right-handed orthogonal instrument coordinate system where $u_{m}, v_{m}$, and $w_{m}$ are the orthogonal components of the 3 D wind vector reported by the sonic anemometer. Now suppose that it is more convenient to report the same vector but using components on another orthogonal coordinate system that we will call the flow coordinate system, where the $u$ axis is parallel to the mean wind vector over some period of time (i.e., the streamwise vector), $v$ is the mean crosswind component, and $w$ is the vertical component. This is possible using the matrix transformation presented in B-1. This transformation performs the following functions: 1) the instrument coordinate system is rotated about the $w_{m}$-axis by a counterclockwise angle $\gamma$ as viewed against the $w_{m}$ direction to the $1^{\text {st }}$ rotated coordinate system. If components are reported at this intermediary stage, $u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}$ are used, where subscript " 1 " indicates the value of variable after the $1^{\text {st }}$ rotation; 2 ) next the coordinate system is rotated about the $v_{1}$-axis by a counterclockwise angle $\alpha$ as viewed down the $v_{1}$ axis. This results in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ rotated system, where $u_{2}, v_{2}$, and $w_{2}$ are the components of the wind vector after the $2^{\text {nd }}$ rotation; 3 ) finally the $2^{\text {nd }}$ rotated system is rotated about the $u_{2}$-axis by a counterclockwise angle $\beta$ as viewed against $u_{2}$ axis, resulting in the final flow coordinate system $(u, v, w)$.

NOTE The angle rotations from the instrument coordinate system to natural flow coordinate system are used inconsistently in the literature. Tanner and Thurtell (1969) used counterclockwise rotations about vertical and stream-wise axes and clockwise rotation about lateral axis. Wilczak et al (2001) used clockwise rotations for all of the three axes. The online flux program uses the rotation convention of Wilzcak et al (2001) regardless of whether the double rotation or the planar fit method is used.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v \\
w
\end{array}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \beta & \sin \beta \\
0 & -\sin \beta & \cos \beta
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \alpha & 0 & -\sin \alpha \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\sin \alpha & 0 & \cos \alpha
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \gamma & \sin \gamma & 0 \\
-\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
u_{m} \\
v_{m} \\
w_{m}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{U}(\beta) \mathbf{V}(\alpha) \mathbf{W}(\gamma)\left(\begin{array}{l}
u_{m} \\
v_{m} \\
w_{m}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{U}(\beta) \mathbf{V}(\alpha)\left(\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
v_{1} \\
w_{1}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{U}(\beta)\left(\begin{array}{l}
u_{2} \\
v_{2} \\
w_{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{B-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\mathbf{U}(\beta), \mathbf{V}(\alpha)$, and $\mathbf{W}(\gamma)$ are the three $3 \times 3$ matrices shown in the first equation of (B-1). The rotations are performed sequentially as shown in the second equation of ( $\mathrm{B}-1$ ). The $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ rotation angles are defined with respect to the coordinates after the preceding rotation.

## B. 2 Natural Wind Coordinated System

A 3D right-handed natural wind coordinate system has the $u$-axis parallel to the mean or streamwise flow; thus the mean wind components along $v$-axis ( $\bar{v}$ ) and w-axis $(\bar{w})$ are zero, as shown in FIGURE B-1.


FIGURE B-1. As viewed down the $z_{m}$ and $z$ axes and assuming the vertical wind component is zero, horizontal wind components $v_{m}$ and $u_{m}$ are measured in the instrument coordinate system and then rotated by angle $\gamma$, yielding the streamwise wind velocity vector, $u$. The $u$ and $v$ axes of the flow coordinate system are also shown.

Because velocity in the $v$ direction (orthogonal to $u$ direction) is zero and flow is horizontally homogenous, the tilt in the $v$ direction causes less error than in the $u$ direction. Additionally, the calculation of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ rotation angle assumes the following:

$$
w_{2}^{\prime} v_{2}^{\prime}=0
$$

which may not necessarily be true in field conditions and introduces more uncertainties; therefore, the third rotation is not recommended (Wilczak et al. 2001). The algorithm for the first two rotations is given as follows:
angle $\gamma$ in FIGURE B-1 can be approximated by:
$\gamma=\arctan \left(\frac{\bar{v}_{m}}{\bar{u}_{m}}\right)$

This angle is the mean wind direction of 0 to $360^{\circ}$ that is output from the CRBasic instruction of WindVector used in the datalogger. The anticlockwise angle $\alpha$ around the $v_{l}$-axis is given by:
$\alpha=-\arctan \frac{\bar{w}_{1}}{\bar{u}_{1}}=-\arctan \frac{\bar{w}_{m}}{\bar{u}_{m} \cos \gamma+\bar{v}_{m} \sin \gamma}$
The CRBasic function ATN2() is used to calculate (B-3) and return an angle in the range of $\pm 180^{\circ}$. The result, however, must be further constrained to the range of $\pm 90^{\circ}$ since relative to $\gamma$, the range of this angle is narrower and should be within $\pm 90^{\circ}$.

According to equation (B-1), the first two rotations are expressed as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{2}  \tag{B-4}\\
v_{2} \\
w_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \alpha \cos \gamma & \cos \alpha \sin \gamma & -\sin \alpha \\
-\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 \\
\sin \alpha \cos \gamma & \sin \alpha \sin \gamma & \cos \alpha
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{m} \\
v_{m} \\
w_{m}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{m} \\
v_{m} \\
w_{m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## B.2.1 Covariance of Momentum Variables after Coordinate Rotation

Using matrix operations, the covariance of the momentum variables can be reasonably found as follows:

From (B-4), the mean terms can be written as:
$\left[\begin{array}{c}\bar{u}_{2} \\ \bar{v}_{2} \\ \bar{w}_{2}\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}\bar{u}_{m} \\ \bar{v}_{m} \\ \bar{w}_{m}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}\cos \alpha\left(\bar{u}_{m} \cos \gamma+\bar{v}_{m} \sin \gamma\right)-\bar{w}_{m} \sin \alpha \\ 0 \\ \sin \alpha\left(\bar{u}_{m} \cos \gamma+\bar{v}_{m} \sin \gamma\right)+\bar{w}_{m} \cos \alpha\end{array}\right]$

And the fluctuation terms can be written as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{B-6}\\
v_{2}^{\prime} \\
w_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{m}^{\prime} \\
v_{m}^{\prime} \\
w_{m}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Self-multiplication generates:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{B-7}\\
v_{2}^{\prime} \\
w_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
u_{2}^{\prime} & v_{2}^{\prime} & w_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{m}^{\prime} \\
v_{m}^{\prime} \\
w_{m}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
u_{m}^{\prime} & v_{m}^{\prime} & w_{m}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{R}_{2}^{\top}
$$

Applying Reynolds averaging yields:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{u_{2}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{u_{2}^{\prime} v_{2}^{\prime}} & \overline{u_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}}  \tag{B-8}\\
\overline{u_{2}^{\prime} v_{2}^{\prime}} & \overline{v_{2}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{v_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{u_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}} & \overline{v_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}} & \overline{w_{2}^{\prime 2}}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime \prime}} & \overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\top}
$$

See Appendix B.3, Extended Equations (p. b-5), for the expansion of these matrix operations for CRBasic coding.

## B.2.2 Covariance of a Scalar Variable and Momentum Variable After Second Coordinate Rotation

The covariance of a scalar variable, $Q$, and each rotated wind variable is found by multiplying the fluctuation of the scalar, $Q^{\prime}$, to equation (B-6):

$$
Q^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{B-9}\\
v_{2}^{\prime} \\
w_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{2} Q^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{m}^{\prime} \\
v_{m}^{\prime} \\
w_{m}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then by applying Reynolds averaging:

## B. 3 Extended Equations

The extended form of Equation (B-8) is given by:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{u_{2}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{u_{2}^{\prime} v_{2}^{\prime}} & \overline{u_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}}  \tag{B-11}\\
\overline{u_{2}^{\prime} v_{2}^{\prime}} & \overline{v_{2}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{v_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{u_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}} & \overline{v_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}} & \overline{w_{2}^{\prime 2}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \alpha \cos \gamma & \cos \alpha \sin \gamma & -\sin \alpha \\
-\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 \\
\sin \alpha \cos \gamma & \sin \alpha \sin \gamma & \cos \alpha
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \alpha \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma & \sin \alpha \cos \gamma \\
\cos \alpha \sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & \sin \alpha \sin \gamma \\
-\sin \alpha & 0 & \cos \alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

In Equation (B-11), the extended forms of variance terms in the matrix on the left hand side are, expressed in terms of the matrices on the right hand side:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overline{u_{2}^{\prime 2}}=\cos ^{2} \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}} \cos ^{2} \gamma+\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} \sin ^{2} \gamma\right)+\overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}} \sin ^{2} \alpha+\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \cos ^{2} \alpha \sin 2 \gamma-\sin 2 \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \gamma+\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \gamma\right) \\
\overline{v_{2}^{\prime 2}}=\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}} \sin ^{2} \gamma+\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} \cos ^{2} \gamma-\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \sin 2 \gamma  \tag{B-12}\\
\overline{w_{2}^{\prime 2}}=\sin ^{2} \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}} \cos ^{2} \gamma+\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} \sin ^{2} \gamma\right)+\overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}} \cos ^{2} \alpha+\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \sin ^{2} \alpha \sin 2 \gamma+\sin 2 \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \gamma+\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \gamma\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In Equation (B-11), the extended forms of covariance terms in the matrix of left hand side are expressed in terms of the matrices on the right hand side

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overline{u_{2}^{\prime} v_{2}^{\prime}}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}}-\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}}\right) \cos \alpha \sin 2 \gamma+\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \alpha \cos 2 \gamma+\sin \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \gamma-\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \gamma\right) \\
\overline{u_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \alpha\left[\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}} \cos ^{2} \gamma+\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} \sin ^{2} \gamma\right)-\overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}}+\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \sin 2 \gamma\right]+\cos 2 \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \gamma+\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \gamma\right)  \tag{B-13}\\
\overline{v_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}}=-\sin \alpha\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}}-\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}}\right) \sin 2 \gamma-\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \cos 2 \gamma\right]-\cos \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \gamma-\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \gamma\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$
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# Appendix C. Coordinate Rotations: Planar Fit Method 

## C. 1 Planar Fit

The planar fit method of coordinate rotations is based on Wilczak et al. (2001). The method is used to transform the measured wind velocities in the righthanded measurement coordinate system of a sonic anemometer $\left(u_{\mathrm{m}}, v_{m}, w_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, where subscript $m$ indicates measurement coordinate system, to the natural wind coordinate system $(u, v, w)$ if the three rotations are performed.

The first and the second rotations in the planar fit are related to flux; that is, both rotations transform the measured wind velocities to a coordinate system with the horizontal coordinate plane parallel to the natural wind plane. The algorithm used for the planar fit rotations mathematically describes two counterclockwise coordinate rotations, first about the $u_{m}$-axis by an angle $\beta$, and second about the intermediate $v_{l}$-axis by an angle $\alpha$, where the subscript 1 indicates the variable after the $1^{\text {st }}$ rotation. The expression of measured fluxes in this coordinate system avoids the errors in fluxes due to the tilt of the sonic anemometer vertical axis away from the vertical axis of the natural wind flow coordinate system.

The angle $\alpha$ is the angle between the instrument $u_{m}$-axis and the $u$-v plane of natural wind (i.e., the tilt angle of the instrument vertical $w_{m}$-axis away from the natural wind vertical axis in the instrument $u_{m}-w_{m}$ plane), where $\alpha$ increases clockwise in the $360^{\circ}$ domain, which means a clockwise rotation for angle $\alpha$ is positive and a counterclockwise rotation is negative. The angle $\beta$ is the angle between the instrument $v_{m}$-axis and the $u$ - $v$ plane (i.e., the tilt angle of the $w_{m}$ axis away from the natural wind vertical axis in the instrument $v_{m}-w_{m}$ plane), where $\beta$ increases counterclockwise in the $360^{\circ}$ domain, which means a clockwise rotation for angle $\beta$ is negative and a counterclockwise rotation is positive.

Even if the sonic anemometer is well secured and leveled in the field, wind may force the mounting structure and sonic anemometer to tilt, especially if the tower is tall (greater than 3 m , for example). The degree of the inclination depends on the momentum load determined mainly by wind speed. Furthermore, the natural $u-v$ plain relative to a fixed plain varies if the field undulates and has a slope that varies from different directions. Therefore, in a given field station, the two coordinate rotation angles should be defined as a function of wind direction and wind speed. However, it is not practical to determine the angles for every possible wind direction and speed, so instead, the angles are defined for certain sectors of wind direction using data averaged over a time interval (for example, 30 min ), and the dependence on wind speed is not considered.

For the online planar fit algorithm, four sectors or ranges of wind direction in the instrument coordinate system are used. The boundaries of sectors match the boundaries of sectors in "horizontal orientation of the sonic anemometer" for data quality classification by Foken et al. (2012). Using statistically sufficient data [see models (37) to (48) in Wilczak et al. (2001)] from the four direction sectors, a user can calculate the two angles for the four sectors, respectively.

The four ranges are given below along with the angle names used in the program:

Sector 1: $[0,60]$ and $[300,360]$
a_60_300
$\beta$ _60_300

Sector 2: $(60,170]$
$\alpha \_60 \_170$
$\beta \_60 \_170$
Sector 3: $(170,190)$
$\alpha$ _170_190
$\beta$ _170_190
Sector 4: $[190,300)$
a_190_300
$\beta$ _190_300


FIGURE C-1. Wind direction sectors for which planar fit angles are found by the user and entered into the program.

## C. 2 Algorithm

## C.2.1 Variables and Model

To use the planar fit method, the user must independently (using postprocessing software and time-series data for an appropriate length of time) determine the angles for each wind sector and enter these values with the datalogger keypad (see Section 4.2, Enter Site-Specific Variables with Datalogger Keypad (p. 10)). The online flux program will then select the appropriate angles based on the mean wind direction during the averaging interval. The CRBasic code that corresponds to this is as follows:

If (wind direction $\leq 60$ ) OR (wind direction $\geq 300$ ) then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\alpha \_60 \_300 \\
& \beta=\beta \_60 \_300
\end{aligned}
$$

If $(60<$ wind direction $\leq 170)$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\alpha \_60 \_170 \\
& \beta=\beta \_60 \_170
\end{aligned}
$$

If $(170<$ wind direction $<190)$ then:
$\alpha=\alpha \_170 \_190$
$\beta=\beta \_170 \_190$
If $(190<$ wind direction $<300)$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\alpha \_190 \_300 \\
& \beta=\beta \_190 \_300
\end{aligned}
$$

Given a pitch angle of $\alpha$ and a roll angle of $\beta$, the three orthogonal wind velocities $(u, v, w)$ after the two rotations can be expressed in terms of the two angles $(\alpha, \beta)$ and three directly measured wind velocities $\left(u_{m}, v_{m}, w_{m}\right)$ as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
u  \tag{C-1}\\
v \\
w
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \alpha & 0 & -\sin \alpha \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\sin \alpha & 0 & \cos \alpha
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \beta & \sin \beta \\
0 & -\sin \beta & \cos \beta
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{m} \\
v_{m} \\
w_{m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Further:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
u  \tag{C-2}\\
v \\
w
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \sin \beta & -\sin \alpha \cos \beta \\
0 & \cos \beta & \sin \beta \\
\sin \alpha & -\cos \alpha \sin \beta & \cos \alpha \cos \beta
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{m} \\
v_{m} \\
w_{m}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{m} \\
v_{m} \\
w_{m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the $3 \times 3$ matrix in (C-2), and subscript $p$ indicates the planar fit approach for the rotations.

## C.2.2 Covariance of Momentum Variables After Two Coordinate Rotations

Using matrix operations, the covariance of the momentum variables can be reasonably found as follows.

Using equation (C-2), we can express the mean terms as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{u}  \tag{C-3}\\
\bar{v} \\
\bar{w}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{P}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{u}_{m} \\
\bar{v}_{m} \\
\bar{w}_{m}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{u}_{m} \cos \alpha+\sin \alpha\left(\bar{v}_{m} \sin \beta-\bar{w}_{m} \cos \beta\right) \\
\bar{v}_{m} \cos \beta+\bar{w}_{m} \sin \beta \\
\bar{u}_{m} \sin \alpha-\cos \alpha\left(\bar{v}_{m} \sin \beta-\bar{w}_{m} \cos \beta\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

and fluctuation terms as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
u^{\prime}  \tag{C-4}\\
v^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{m}^{\prime} \\
v_{m}^{\prime} \\
w_{m}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Self-multiplication generates:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
u^{\prime}  \tag{C-5}\\
v^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
u^{\prime} & v^{\prime} & w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{m}^{\prime} \\
v_{m}^{\prime} \\
w_{m}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
u_{m}^{\prime} & v_{m}^{\prime} & w_{m}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\top}
$$

Applying Reynolds averaging yields:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{u^{\prime 2}} & \overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}} & \overline{u^{\prime} w^{\prime}}  \tag{C-6}\\
\overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}} & \overline{v^{\prime 2}} & \overline{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}} \\
\overline{u^{\prime} w^{\prime}} & \overline{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}} & \overline{w^{\prime 2}}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{p}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\top}
$$

These matrix operations can then be expanded to be used in the online flux program. See Appendix C.3, Extended Equations (p. C-6), for the coding.

## C.2.3 Covariance of a Scalar Variable with Momentum Variable After Planar Fit Coordinate Rotation

The covariance of a scalar variable, $Q$, and each rotated wind variable is found by multiplying the fluctuation of the scalar, $Q^{\prime}$, to equation (C-4):
$Q^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{c}u^{\prime} \\ v^{\prime} \\ w^{\prime}\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}} Q^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{c}u_{m}^{\prime} \\ v_{m}^{\prime} \\ w_{m}^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$

Applying Reynolds averaging yields:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\overline{Q^{\prime} u^{\prime}} \\
\overline{\overline{Q^{\prime} v^{\prime}}} \\
\overline{Q^{\prime} w^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\overline{Q^{\prime} u_{m}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{Q^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{Q^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\overline{Q^{\prime} u_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \alpha+\sin \alpha\left(\overline{Q^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \beta-\overline{Q^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \beta\right) \\
\overline{Q^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \beta+\overline{Q^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \beta \\
\left.\overline{Q^{\prime} u^{\prime}} \sin \alpha-\cos \alpha \overline{Q_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \beta-\overline{Q^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \beta\right)
\end{array}\right] \text { (C-8) }
$$

## C. 3 Extended Equations

The extended form of Equation (C-6) is given by:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{u^{\prime 2}} & \overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}} & \overline{u^{\prime} w^{\prime}}  \tag{C-9}\\
\overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}} & \overline{v^{\prime 2}} & \overline{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}} \\
\overline{u^{\prime} w^{\prime}} & \overline{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}} & \overline{w^{\prime 2}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \sin \beta & -\sin \alpha \cos \beta \\
0 & \cos \beta & \sin \beta \\
\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{\sin _{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} & -\cos \alpha \sin \beta & \overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} & \overline{\cos \alpha \cos \beta}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \alpha & 0 & \sin \alpha \\
\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{w_{m}^{\prime}} & \overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sin \alpha \sin \beta & \cos \beta & -\cos \alpha \sin \beta \\
-\sin \alpha \cos \beta & \sin \beta & \cos \alpha \cos \beta
\end{array}\right]
$$

In equation (C-9), the extended forms of variance terms in the matrix on the left hand side are expressed in terms of the matrices on the right hand side:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overline{u^{\prime 2}}=\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}} \cos ^{2} \alpha+\sin ^{2} \alpha\left(\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} \sin ^{2} \beta-\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin 2 \beta+\overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}} \cos ^{2} \beta\right)+\sin 2 \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \beta-\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \beta\right) \\
\overline{v^{\prime 2}}=\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} \cos ^{2} \beta+\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin 2 \beta+\overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}} \sin ^{2} \beta  \tag{C-10}\\
\overline{w^{\prime 2}}=\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}} \sin ^{2} \alpha+\cos ^{2} \alpha\left(\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} \sin ^{2} \beta-\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin 2 \beta+\overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}} \cos ^{2} \beta\right)-\sin 2 \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \beta-\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \beta\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In equation (C-9), the extended forms of covariance terms in the matrix of the left hand side are expressed in terms of the matrices on the right hand side:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}=\sin \alpha\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}}-\overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}}\right) \sin 2 \beta-\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos 2 \beta\right]+\cos \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \beta+\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \beta\right) \\
\overline{u^{\prime} w^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime 2}}-\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}} \sin ^{2} \beta-\overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}} \cos ^{2} \beta+\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin 2 \beta\right)-\cos 2 \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \beta-\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \beta\right)  \tag{C-11}\\
\overline{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}=-\cos \alpha\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{v_{m}^{\prime 2}}-\overline{w_{m}^{\prime 2}}\right) \sin 2 \beta-\overline{v_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \cos 2 \beta\right]+\sin \alpha\left(\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} v_{m}^{\prime}} \cos \beta+\overline{u_{m}^{\prime} w_{m}^{\prime}} \sin \beta\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$
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Appendix C. Coordinate Rotations: Planar Fit Method

## Appendix D. Frequency Corrections

## D. 1 Introduction

The flux of any scalar (e.g., heat, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) or momentum is a summed amount of the scalar or momentum through a unit area per unit time (e.g., $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) that is transported by eddies of various frequencies (i.e., various sizes and velocities). The relative contribution of flux as a function of eddy frequency results in a cospectrum for covariance. The total or net flux is found by integrating over this cospectrum. In order to generate an accurate cospectrum, a measurement system must be able to measure and process fluctuations at all frequencies that contribute to the flux. In practice, however, sensor measurements and digital processing methods cannot fully capture the instantaneous changes at all frequencies. The uncaptured changes related to larger eddies results in low frequency losses, and the uncaptured changes related to smaller eddies results in high frequency losses. Accounting for these frequency losses requires the corrections described herein.

## D. 2 Frequency Loss

## D.2.1 High Frequency Loss

High frequency loss is caused by sensor response delay, signal averaging over the measurement path or volume (line/volume averaging), sensor separation, and low-pass filtering. A brief description of each of these causes is provided below.

Response delay: A sensor requires a finite amount of time to measure a stimulus. When fluctuations of a scalar or wind occur faster than this time, high frequency losses occur. The response delay is described using a time constant defined as the time the sensor requires to respond to $63.2 \%$ of a change in the input stimulus.

Line/volume averaging: Most sensors measure the change in a variable of interest over a linear measurement path (CSAT3) or measurement volume (KH20) and report its spatially averaged value over that path or volume at the measurement time. Such a sensor cannot accurately report a change in the variable at a scale of eddies smaller than the dimension of the path or volume, which attenuates the signal at high frequencies.

Separation: A covariance of wind velocity with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentration is measured using two sensors: a sonic anemometer and an infrared gas analyzer (IRGA). In most two-sensor combinations, except for the IRGASON which integrates both sensors into a single head, the wind velocities and gas concentrations are measured separately in different measurement volumes. This means that a single eddy may be measured at different times by the two sensors when the eddy dimension is smaller than the separation, or when a large eddy boundary moves between the two sensors during measurement. This results in signal attenuation at high frequencies because the cross correlation of wind velocities to scalar variable decreases with increases in separation (Kaimal and Finnigan 1994). Another example of two separated sensors is to use a sonic anemometer and a fine-wire thermocouple (for example, FW05, FW1, and FW3) for sensible heat flux to measure covariance of wind velocity with air temperature.

Low-pass filtering: A low-pass filter of Finite Impulse Response (FIR) improves the data quality for spectral analysis by removing the aliasing effect on the pass-frequency band due to signals at higher frequencies (i.e., frequency stop-band), but sharply attenuates the signal beyond the user-selected bandwidth (i.e., frequency pass-band; Campbell Scientific, 2014). This attenuation helps reduce unwanted aliasing effect on the frequency pass-band, but it may also result in the loss of high frequency fluxes depending on the sampling rate and frequency pass-band.

NOTE
The EC100 electronics used with the IRGASON and the EC150/CSAT3A has five options for bandwidth (i.e., pass-band): $5,10,12.5,20$, or 25 Hz . For each option, the filter attenuates the signals at frequencies beyond the bandwidth.

## D.2.2 Low Frequency Loss

Fluxes are typically calculated by taking a block average of the covariance and other related variables over a 30-minute or longer interval. The bock averaging is a high-pass filter, which causes low-frequency loss (Kaimal et al., 1989).

## D. 3 Model for Frequency Loss Corrections

The frequency loss of covariance is determined by the frequency losses of each variable from which the covariance is calculated. The correction for this loss is described using a general correction model for covariance of any two variables.

Suppose the measured covariance is given by:
$\overline{\left(\alpha^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r}}$

Where $\alpha$ can represent $T$ for temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), $\rho_{\mathrm{c} 02}$ for partial $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density ( mg . $\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ ), $\rho_{\mathrm{h} 2 \mathrm{o}}$ for partial $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ density $\left(\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$, or $u$ (or $v$ ) for horizontal wind speed $\left(\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right) ; w$ is vertical wind speed $\left(\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$; prime is the departure of variable from its mean; over-bar denotes the block time average; and subscript $r$ represents a variable after coordinate rotation correction. Then, the frequency-corrected covariance is given by:
$\overline{\left(\alpha^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r f}}$
where subscript $f$ indicates a variable after frequency correction and is defined as [eq. 1 in Moore (1986)]:
$\overline{\left(\alpha^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r f}}=\overline{\left(\alpha^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r}}\left\{\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} C_{\alpha w}(f) d f}{\int_{0}^{\infty} T_{\alpha w}(f) C_{\alpha w}(f) d f}\right\}$
where other variables are defined as follows:
$f$ - cyclic frequency
$C_{\alpha w}(f)$ - cospectrum of $\alpha$ with $w$, which is the distribution of covariance of $\alpha$ and $w$ as a function of frequency.
$T_{\alpha w}(f)$ - transfer function, defined as the relative response to a measured signal of wind or scalar at $f$, ranging from 0 for no response to 1 for full response. The transfer function here is the total transfer function that is the combined system response of all sensors and digital processors to report the signals of covariance of $\alpha$ with $w$. It is a product of all subtransfer functions (see Appendix D.8, Sub-Transfer Functions (p. D-12)).
The term in the curly brackets is defined as a frequency correction factor. Evaluating this factor requires determination of the total transfer function and the cospectrum within the integration. The total transfer function is a multiplication of sub-transfer functions (see Appendix D.8, Sub-Transfer Functions (p. D-12). A sub-transfer function is covariance-specific, depending on flow aerodynamics, sensor configuration, and data processing method. The cospectrum is also covariance-specific, depending on aerodynamic height, wind speed, and atmospheric stability in the surface layer (see Appendix D.6, Surface Layer Atmospheric Stability (p. D-8)).

## D. 4 Covariance Variables Requiring Frequency Corrections

This section lists the covariances of variables that require frequency corrections.

## D.4.1 Momentum Covariance

Rotated wind components including $u, v$, and $w$ are derived from the sonic anemometer. The covariance of these variables shown below require frequency correction:
$\overline{\left(v^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r}}$ and $\overline{\left(u^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r}}$
Note that both of these covariances are used for calculating friction velocity (a scaling parameter in the surface layer).

## D.4.2 Sonic Temperature Related Covariance

The following covariances are from measurements from the sonic anemometer and IRGA and require frequency correction:

$$
\overline{\left(T_{s}^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r}} \text { and } \overline{\left(T_{c}^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r}}
$$

Where $T_{\mathrm{s}}$ is sonic temperature and $T_{c}$ is air temperature calculated from sonic temperature, water vapor density, and pressure. The datalogger program calculates sonic buoyancy flux, $\overline{\left(T_{s}^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r}}$, each half hour and then applies the sonic sensible heat flux (SND) correction to convert the result to buoyancy flux. The SND correction requires inputs such as the mean water vapor as measured by the IRGA and air density, which requires mean air temperature. The mean air temperature may come from the EC100's temperature probe in the case of the EC150/CSAT3A, or from $T_{c}$ in the case of the IRGASON since the colocated measurements allow for time series calculation of $T_{c}$ from $T_{\mathrm{s}}$ and water vapor density.

NOTE $\quad T_{c}$ is considered the most accurate since it does not suffer from solar heating or radiative cooling. However, $T_{c}$ can only be calculated using an IRGASON since the sonic temperature and water vapor density measurements must be made in the exact same volume. Pressure in the sample volume is also required, but it is assumed that there is negligible difference between the pressure in the sample volume and the pressure measured by the EC100's barometer.

## D.4.3 Air Temperature Related Covariance

Similar to sonic temperature, covariances from measurements of air temperature from a fine-wire thermocouple such as the FW05, FW1, and FW3 (hereafter referred as FW), also should be corrected.

$$
\left(\overline{T_{F W}^{\prime} w^{\prime}}\right)_{r}
$$

where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{FW}}$ is air temperature and subscript FW indicates a fine-wire thermocouple measurement.

## D.4.4 $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Related Covariance

Covariances of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (measured by the IRGA) with vertical wind (measured by the sonic anemometer) must be corrected.
$\left(\overline{\rho_{\mathrm{CO} 2}^{\prime} w^{\prime}}\right)_{r}, \quad\left(\overline{\rho_{\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}}^{\prime} w^{\prime}}\right)_{r}$
where $\rho_{\mathrm{CO} 2}$ is the mass density of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and $\rho_{H 2 O}$ is mass density of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## D. 5 Sensor Configuration and Separation Variables

Sensor configuration variables, which are required for determining frequency corrections used in sub-transfer functions that lead to the overall transfer function in Equation D-1, are described in this section and include descriptors such as the measurement path dimensions of the sonic anemometer and gas analyzer, sensor separation between the sonic anemometer and gas analyzer, and the diameter of the fine-wire thermocouple.

All of these configuration variables are set inside the program. Some of the variables, such as those dealing with sensor separation, depend on how the sensors are installed at a site; following installation, the variables should be measured, recorded, and entered into the program through the datalogger keypad (see Section 4.2, Enter Site-Specific Variables with Datalogger Keypad (p. 10)).

## D.5.1 Path Length Variables

For the CSAT3A or IRGASON sonic anemometer, the path length, $l_{p t_{-} C S A T,}$ is equal to 0.11547 m . The subscript pt indicates path.

For the EC150 or IRGASON gas analyzer, the path length, $l_{p t_{-} \text {IRGA, }}$ is equal to 0.1531 m .

## D.5.2 Separation Variables

In order to find the separation variables, which are entered into the program through the datalogger keypad (see Section 4.2, Enter Site-Specific Variables with Datalogger Keypad (p.10)), the center of the gas analyzer measurement path relative to the sonic coordinate system (see FIGURES D-1 and D-2) must be known. Determination of each of these variables is described below.

IRGA Coord $x$ (reported as the datafield separation_x_irga in the Flux_Notes output table) is the abscissa (x-coordinate) of the center of the gas analyzer optical path in the sonic coordinate system. It should be set to 0 m for the IRGASON and ranges from 0.041 to 0.091 m for the EC150 and CSAT3A, depending on the EC150's position in its standard mounting bracket. When an EC150 and CSAT3A are used, the program assumes a default value of 0.041 m , which corresponds to the furthest forward position of the EC150 in its standard mounting bracket.

IRGA Coord y (reported as the datafield separation_y_irga in the Flux_Notes output table) is the ordinate ( y -coordinate) of the center of the gas analyzer optical path in the sonic coordinate system. It should be set to 0 m for the IRGASON and ranges from 0.029 to 0.033 for the configuration of EC150 and CSAT3A, depending on the EC150's position in its standard mounting bracket. When an EC150 and CSAT3A are used, the program assumes a default value of 0.029 m , which corresponds to the furthest forward position of the EC150 in its standard mounting bracket.


FIGURE D-1. The sonic coordinate system is shown with positive $x, y$, and $z$ axes. Note that the origin of the coordinate system should be exactly in the center of the sonic volume; as shown, the origin has been moved slightly downwards for convenience in displaying the positive $z$-axis.


FIGURE D-2. The x and y spatial separations between a CSAT3A and EC150.

## D.5.3 Fine-Wire Thermocouple

If a fine wire thermocouple is used, additional configuration and separation variables must be entered into the program using the datalogger keypad (see Section 4.2, Enter Site-Specific Variables with Datalogger Keypad (p. 10)). These variables are described below.

## Configuration Variable FW Dim

Depending on the fine wire thermocouple model being used, one of the following constants should be selected as the value for FW Dim, the configuration variable for dimension or diameter of the fine wire:

FW05_DIA $=1.27 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$ for the diameter of the FW05
$F W 1 \_D I A=2.54 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$ for the diameter of the FW1
$F W 3 \_D I A=7.62 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$ fir the diameter of the FW3

## Separation variables

FW Coord $x$ (reported as the datafield separation_x_FW in the Flux_Notes output table) is the abscissa (x-coordinate) of fine-wire thermocouple junction in the sonic coordinate system (see FIGURES D-1 and D-2).

FW Coord $y$ (reported as the datafield separation_y_FW in the Flux_Notes output table) is the ordinate (y-coordinate) of fine-wire thermocouple junction in the sonic coordinate system.

The values for $F W$ Coord $x$ and $F W$ Coord $y$ are defaulted to 0.006 m and 0.03259 m , respectively, for the IRGASON and CSAT3A. These correspond to the standard mounting and lengths of the FW05, FW1, and FW3. These values may be easily edited at the site using the datalogger keypad (see Section 4.2, Enter Site-Specific Variables with Datalogger Keypad (p. 10)).

## D. 6 Surface Layer Atmospheric Stability

The cospectrum in model (D-1) depends on surface layer stability. The stability is defined as the ratio of aerodynamic height $(z)$ to Obukhov length $(L)$. This ratio is greater than 0 if surface layer is stable, 0 if neutral, and less than 0 if unstable. The cospectrum has different model forms for neutral/unstable and stable conditions (Kaimal et al., 1972). The stability is used as a variable in the cospectrum model for stable conditions, but not in the models for neutral or unstable conditions. The sub-sections below describe how the program calculates values for aerodynamic height and Obukhov length in order to determine stability. Stability during each averaging interval is reported in the Flux_Notes output table as stability_zL.

## D.6.1 Aerodynamic Height

Aerodynamic height is the measurement height $\left(z_{m}\right)$ minus zero displacement height, given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=z_{m}-d \tag{D-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the zero displacement height.

1. Measurement height is the height of the center of the measurement volume of the eddy-covariance sensors above the surface of the ground. It is entered into the program as the variable Meas Height through the datalogger keypad (see Section 4.2, Enter Site-Specific Variables with Datalogger Keypad (p. 10)) following installation and whenever the sensor height is adjusted. In the Flux_Notes output table, the last value to be entered is reported as height_measurement.
2. Displacement height is the mean height at which the momentum flux is balanced by the momentum absorption into rough surface elements such as plants or the ground surface. In the case of flat, bare land, the height of aerodynamic ground surface is effectively zero. Inside a canopy, the aerodynamic surface is elevated to some height above the ground surface. This elevated height is defined as the displacement height.

The displacement height can be provided through three options:
a. Provided by user and input through the datalogger keypad (see Section 4.2, Enter Site-Specific Variables with Datalogger Keypad (p. 10)) as the variable $d$. The last value to be entered is recorded as displacement_user in the Flux_Notes output table.
b. If the user leaves the displacement height variable, $d$, as zero and the surface type is a crop or grass canopy, the displacement height is estimated in the program using equation 4.5 on page 138 in Rosenberg, et al. (1983):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=10^{0.979 \log _{10} h_{c}-0.154} \tag{D-2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{c}$ is canopy height that is measured periodically by the user throughout the growing season and entered into the program with the datalogger keypad (see Section 4.2, Enter Site-Specific Variables with Datalogger Keypad (p. 10)). It should be set to a constant in the non-growing season.

For surface stypes other than crop, grass, or forest, the $3 / 4$ rule, or $d=3 h_{c} / 4$, is an alternative [p. 71 in Kaimal and Finnigan (1994)].
c. If eddy-covariance variables are measured above a forest canopy, the $2 / 3$ rule (page 116, Oke, 1987) will be used in the program as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=2 h_{c} / 3 \tag{D-2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the program, whenever the canopy height and surface type are re-entered (see Section 4.2, Enter Site-Specific Variables with Datalogger Keypad (p. 10)), the zero displacement height is recalculated [Equations (D-2a) and (D-2b)] unless the user entered a specific value. Aerodynamic height (Equation D-2) is also recalculated. In the Flux_Notes output table, the value for displacement height used during each averaging period is reported as $d$.

## D.6.2 Obukhov Length (L)

Obukhov length, as given by Rebmann et al. (2012) is an indication of surface layer depth, in which both shear and buoyancy drive the turbulent flows, generating turbulence kinetic energy. It is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=-\frac{u_{*}^{3}}{k \cdot\left(g_{0} / \bar{T}_{s}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{w^{\prime} T_{s}^{\prime}}\right)_{r f}} \tag{D-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\quad k=$ von Karman constant (0.41)
$g_{0}=$ acceleration due to gravity at the sea level $\left(9.81 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)$

$$
\bar{T}_{s}=\text { sonic temperature (K) }
$$

$u_{*}-$ friction velocity $\left(\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$, given by [p. 67 in Stull (1988) and p. 384 in Wallace and Hobbs (2006)]:
$u_{*}=\left(\left(\overline{u^{\prime} w^{\prime}}\right)_{r f}^{2}+\overline{\left(v^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r f}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$

NOTE

NOTE For stable conditions, only the initial calculation of Obukhov length is required; recalculation is not needed because Obukhov length is not used in the equations for cospectra under stable conditions and the sign of Obukhov does not change after recalculations of correction for covariance values used to calculate Obukhov length (see Appendix D.7, Cospectra (p. D-10)).

## D. 7 Cospectra

This section contains mathematical descriptions of the cospectra functions used in Equation (D-1) for various covariance variables in different stabilities.

## D.7.1 Cospectra for $\mathbf{z} / \mathrm{L} \boldsymbol{>} \mathbf{0}$ (stable surface layer)

For covariances $\overline{\left(u^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r}}$ or $\overline{\left(v^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r}}$ :
[from equation 21 in Moore (1986) and eq. 2.80 and Table 2.1 in van Dijk (2002b)]
$f S_{u w}(f)=\frac{\frac{z}{\bar{u}} f}{A_{u w}+B_{u w}\left(\frac{z}{\bar{u}} f\right)^{2.1}}$
$A_{u w}=0.124\left(1+7.9 \frac{z}{L}\right)^{0.75}$
$B_{u w}=23.252\left(1+7.9 \frac{z}{L}\right)^{-0.825}$
where $\bar{u}$ is the total mean velocity, or the mean horizontal velocity after rotation about $w$-axis.

[from equation 21 in Moore (1986), equations 12 and 13 in Moncrieff et al. (1997), and equation 2.80 and Table 2.1 in van Dijk (2002b)]
$f S_{s w}(f)=\frac{\frac{z}{\bar{u}} f}{A_{s w}+B_{s w}\left(\frac{z}{\bar{u}} f\right)^{2.1}}$
$A_{s w}=0.284\left(1+6.4 \frac{z}{L}\right)^{0.75}$
$B_{s w}=9.3447\left(1+6.4 \frac{z}{L}\right)^{-0.825}$
where subscript $s$ is used to represent a scalar variable such as $T_{s}, T_{c}, T_{f w}, \rho_{\mathrm{co} 2}$, $\rho_{c 02_{-} L I}, \rho_{h 2 o}, \rho_{h 2 o_{-} k}$, or $\rho_{h 2 o \_L I}$.

## D.7.2 Cospectra for $z / L \leq 0$ (neutral to unstable)

For covariances $\overline{\left(u^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r}}$ or $\overline{\left(v^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r}}$ :
[from equation 21 in Moore (1986) and eq. 2.80 and Table 2.1 in van Dijk (2002b)]

$$
f S_{u v}(f)= \begin{cases}\frac{20.78 \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f}{\left(1+31 \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f\right)^{1.575}} & \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f<0.24  \tag{D-7}\\ \frac{12.66 \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f}{\left(1+9.6 \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f\right)^{2.4}} & \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f \geq 0.24\end{cases}
$$


[from equation 25 in Moore (1986), equations 15 and 16 in Moncrieff. et al. (1997) and eq. 2.84 and Table 2.1 in van Dijk (2002b)]

Similar to stable conditions, the cospectrum of temperature with vertical velocity in neutral or unstable conditions presented below may be used as the cospectrum of other individual scalars with vertical velocity.

$$
f S_{s w}(f)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{12.92 \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f}{\left(1+26.7 \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f\right)^{1.375}} & \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f<0.54  \tag{D-8}\\
\frac{4.378 \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f}{\left(1+3.78 \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f\right)^{2.4}} & \frac{z}{\bar{u}} f \geq 0.54
\end{array}\right.
$$

## D. 8 Sub-Transfer Functions

The total transfer function found in Equation (D-1) consists of the products of all sub-transfer functions of each variable used to calculate a covariance. Subtransfer functions account for block averaging, line averaging, sensor volume averaging (negligible in the cases of the IRGASON and EC150), electronic data filtering, sensor time response (for example, air temperature measured using FW sensors), and sensor separation (for example, the $x$ and $y$ separations of CSAT3A and EC150). These sub-transfer functions are described in the following sections.

## D.8.1 Finite Time Block Averaging

The sub-transfer function for finite time block averaging is derived from equation 4 in Kaimal et al. (1989) and equation 3 in Massman (2000).

Every covariance is an average covariance over a finite block of time as defined by the user (e.g., 30 or 60 minutes). Having a finite time block leads to
attenuation of low frequencies, and therefore, all covariance variables require a sub-transfer function to account for this. The sub-transfer function $\left[T_{s w_{B} B A}(f\right.$, $\left.T_{b a}\right)$ ] due to a finite block averaging period $\left(T_{b a}\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s w_{B A} B}\left(f, T_{b a}\right)=1-\frac{\sin ^{2}\left(\pi T_{b a} f\right)}{\left(\pi T_{b a} f\right)^{2}} \tag{D-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where subscript $B A$ or $b a$ indicates block $\boldsymbol{a}$ veraging and $T_{b a}=1800$ seconds if a period of 30 minutes is used.

## D.8.2 Line Averaging

## Sub-transfer functions for variances of individual variables

The attenuation of variance of vertical velocity ( $w$ ) from line average is described using equation 9 in Moore (1986), page 610 in Moncrieff (1997), and equation 4.10 in Foken et al (2012).

The resulting sub-transfer function applied to vertical wind variance $\left[T_{w w_{-} L A}(f\right.$, $\left.\left.l_{p t \_c s a t}, u\right)\right]$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{w w_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} \text {csat }} \bar{u}\right)=\frac{4}{\left(2 \pi l_{p t_{-} c s a t} / \bar{u}\right) f}\left(1+\frac{\exp \left(-\frac{\left.2 \pi l_{p t_{-} \text {csat }} f\right)}{\bar{u}} f\right)}{2}-\frac{1.5\left[1-\exp \left(-\frac{2 \pi l_{p t_{-} \text {csst }}}{\bar{u}} f\right)\right]}{\left(2 \pi l_{p t_{-} c s a t} / \bar{u}\right) f}\right) \tag{D-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sub-transfer function for horizontal velocity variance $\left[T_{u u_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t \_c s a t}, u\right)\right]$ has not been well defined for sonic anemometry [page 22 in Moore (1986) and page 46 in van Dijk (2002b)]. Therefore, the sub-transfer function used by van Dijk (2002b) for horizontal wind is adopted as an approximation [eq. 2.70 in van Dijk (2002b)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{u u_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s a t}, \bar{u}\right)=\left[\frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi l_{p t_{-} c s a t}}{\bar{u}} f\right)}{\frac{\pi l_{p t_{-} c s a t}}{\bar{u}} f}\right]^{2} \tag{D-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the variance of a scalar, $s$, measured by a gas analyzer, the sub-transfer function, $T_{s s_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} i r g a}, \bar{u}\right)$, is given as follows [eq. 7 in Moore (1986) and eq. 2.68 in van Dijk (2002b), eq. 4.12 in Foken et al (2012)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s s_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} i r g a}, \bar{u}\right)=\frac{1}{\frac{2 \pi l_{p t_{-} i r g a}}{\bar{u}} f}\left(3+\exp \left(-\frac{2 \pi l_{p t_{-} i r g a}}{\bar{u}} f\right)-\frac{4\left[1-\exp \left(-\frac{2 \pi l_{p t_{-} i r g a}}{\bar{u}} f\right)\right]}{\frac{2 \pi l_{p t_{-} i r g a}}{\bar{u}} f}\right) \tag{D-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Sub-transfer functions for covariance due to line averaging

Sub-transfer functions for covariance of certain scalars with vertical wind are given below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{u^{\prime} w^{\prime}} \text { or } \overline{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}} \\
& T_{u w_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s t t} \bar{u}\right)=\sqrt{T_{u u_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} s s t u}, \bar{u}\right) T_{w w_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s t a}, \bar{u}\right)} \tag{D-13}
\end{align*}
$$

$\overline{s^{\prime} w^{\prime}}$ (where $s$ is a variable from the IRGA)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s w_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} I R G A}, l_{p t_{-} \text {csat }}, \bar{u}\right)=\sqrt{T_{s s_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} I R G A}, \bar{u}\right) T_{w w_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s t}, \bar{u}\right)} \tag{D-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\overline{T_{s}^{\prime} w^{\prime}}$ (from equations 5 to 8 in van Dijk, 2002a)
In cylindrical coordinates, the sub-transfer function for line averaging of buoyancy flux measured using Campbell sonic anemometer is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{T_{s} w_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} \operatorname{css} t}, \bar{u}\right)=\frac{91}{180 \pi k^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} K \sqrt{k^{2}+K^{2}} \frac{k^{2}+K^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}{k^{2}+K^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sin \mathrm{c} \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{l}_{i}}{2} d \theta d K \tag{D-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the wave number in the streamwise direction given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{2 \pi f}{\bar{u}} \tag{D-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $k$ is the wave number vector in cylindrical coordinates given by:

$$
k=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
k & K \sin \theta & K \cos \theta \tag{D-17}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and where $l_{i}(i=1,2$, or 3$)$ is a path vector that expresses a path length as three components in three dimensions, given by:

$$
\mathbf{I}_{1}=l_{p t_{\text {csat }}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\sin 30^{\circ}  \tag{D-18}\\
0 \\
\cos 30^{\circ}
\end{array}\right], \mathbf{I}_{2}=\frac{l_{p t_{2} \text { csat }}}{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sin 30^{\circ} \\
\sqrt{3} \sin 30^{\circ} \\
2 \cos 30^{\circ}
\end{array}\right] \text {, and } \mathbf{I}_{3}=\frac{l_{p t_{-} \text {csat }}}{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sin 30^{\circ} \\
-\sqrt{3} \sin 30^{\circ} \\
2 \cos 30^{\circ}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The integration of equation (D-15) requires much computation, so its numerical form in Table 1 of van Dijk (2002a) is used (see TABLE D-1).

## TABLE D-1. Numerical form (transfer function values versus normalize frequencies) of sub-transfer function of buoyancy flux measured by a CSAT3

| Normalized <br> Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub-transfer function <br> values | $<0.01$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Normalized <br> frequency | 1.0000 | 0.9992 | 0.9976 | 0.9900 | 0.9670 | 0.9550 | 0.9417 | 0.9274 |
| Sub-transfer function <br> values | 0.9122 | 0.8962 | 0.8797 | 0.8626 | 0.8452 | 0.8274 | 0.8096 | 0.7201 |
| Normalized <br> frequency | 5.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 20.0 |
| Sub-transfer function <br> values | 0.6353 | 0.5588 | 0.4922 | 0.4355 | 0.3879 | 0.3481 | 0.2445 | 0.1700 |
| Normalized <br> frequency | 30.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 60.0 | 70.0 | 80.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 |
| Sub-transfer function <br> values | 0.1134 | 0.08503 | 0.06802 | 0.05668 | 0.04859 | 0.04251 | 0.03779 | 0.03401 |

For normalized frequencies between the values listed in the table, the subtransfer function is linearly interpolated between two neighboring independent normalized frequencies. For normalized frequencies less than or equal to 0.01 Hz , the transfer function value is set to 1 . For normalized frequencies greater than 100 Hz , trends from the first and second order numerical derivatives show that this sub-transfer function becomes nearly constant beyond 300 Hz at a value of $1.155511 \times 10^{-4}$. Therefore, for normalized frequencies from 300 Hz to $10,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$, the sub-transfer function is set to this value, and for frequencies falling between 100 Hz and 300 Hz , the sub-transfer function is estimated by linear interpolation.

## D.8.3 Volume Averaging

Volume averaging is considered negligible in the cases of an IRGASON and EC150 due to the very small diameter to path length ratio, however the subtransfer function for volume averaging is briefly described here for completeness. A sensor such as a krypton hygrometer (KH20) has a much higher diameter-path length ratio, so the volume averaging must be taken into account. Its optical beam diameter is 8 mm , and its path length is 12 to 15 mm (see the calibration document for the unique path length for a particular KH20). Andreas (1981) derived an exact transfer function for volume averaging [equation (18) in Andreas (1981)]. This transfer function includes a first-order Bessel function of the first kind, which makes the integration of the subtransfer function over the frequency domain in need of significant computation time.

Later, Moene (2003) used a simpler function to approximate equation (18) of Andreas (1981) for Krypton hygrometers. His approximation was developed using the transfer function of Andreas (1981) for a diameter-length ratio between 0.5 and 1.0 when the ratio of the Kolmogorov microscale ( 1 mm in atmosphere) to the path length is 0.1 [Fig. 2 in Andreas (1981)]. The approximation equation [see page 650 in Moene (2003)] is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{h 2 o_{-} K H_{-} V A}\left(f, p_{K H}, \bar{u}\right)=\exp \left[-2\left(\frac{p_{K H}}{\bar{u}} f\right)^{2}\right] \tag{D-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{\text {KH }}$ is the path length of KH 20 .

## D.8.4 FIR Filtering

The sub-transfer function for the various data filters available on the EC100 electronics (the EC100 is the electronics module used with the EC150, CSAT3A, and IRGASON) has not been developed yet. However, it is assumed to be negligible compared to other sub-transfer functions, assuming the bandwidth has been appropriately selected for flux measurements.

## D.8.5 Time Constant

If a fine wire thermocouple is used, a sub-transfer function describing the frequency response of the thermocouple should be used and is described by a simple first order gain function [Square of equation 2 in Moore (1986)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{T T_{-} T C}\left(f, \tau_{F W}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\left(2 \pi \tau_{F W} f\right)^{2}} \tag{D-20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{F W}$ is the time constant of the FW.
The value of $\tau_{F W}$ depends on the physical properties of air, physical properties of the thermocouple, the diameter of the thermocouple wire ( $D$, entered by a user), and the Nusselt number (Nu). It is given by [equation 3 in Moore (1986) and equation 4 in Shapland et al. (2014)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{F W}=\gamma \frac{\rho_{F W} C_{F W}}{k_{a} \mathrm{Nu}} D^{2} \tag{D-21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\quad \gamma$ is the shape coefficient. It is 0.25 for cylindrical and 0.167 for spherical sensors. For a FW, a cylindrical shape is assumed since heat can be conducted through two paths around the junction, each having a cylindrical shape.
$\rho_{F W}$ is the material density of the thermocouple $\left\{[8920(\right.$ constantan $)+8730($ chromel $)] / 2=8825 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, Omega product literature (undated)\}
$C_{F W}$ is the specific heat of the thermocouple materials $\{[0.094$ (constantan) +0.107 (chromel) $] / 2=0.1005 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{g}$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=420.7734 \mathrm{~J} /\left(\mathrm{kg}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, Omega product literature (undated) \}
$k_{a}$ is the thermal conductivity of air $\left[7.038086 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~T}\right.$ $+2.42507 \times 10^{-2}$ in $\mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, where $T$ is air temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Table 1 in Montgomery (1947)]

Nu is the Nusselt number. The Nusselt number for a sphere is used because the aerodynamics around the junction are
influenced by its 3D dimensions [equation 4 in Moore (1986)]. It is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N u}=2.00+0.18 \mathbf{R e}^{0.67} \tag{D-22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Re is Reynolds' number [equation 3.1-2 in Geankoplis (1993)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}=\frac{2 \rho_{a} D \bar{u}}{\mu} \tag{D-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where: $\quad \rho_{a}$ is air density (calculated online by the datalogger),
$\bar{u}$ is horizontal wind speed (use sonic data),
$\mu$ is viscosity of air $\left[4.9821 \times 10^{-8} T+1.7168 \times 10^{-5}\right.$ in
$\mathrm{kg} /(\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s})$, see Table 1 in Montgomery (1947)]

## D.8.6 Spatial Separation

For eddy covariance measurements that use two spatially-separated sensors for measurements of wind velocity and a scalar, a passing eddy may be measured by the two sensors at different times if the sensors are mounted along the mean wind direction, creating a time lag in the measurements of the downstream sensor relative to the upstream one. Alternatively, it is possible that the eddy will be measured by only one of the two sensors if the sensors are mounted with a separation perpendicular to the mean wind direction (for example, a lateral separation with sensors mounted in a cross-wind orientation) and the eddy is smaller than the separation distance, or if the boundary of the eddy passing through one sensor's measurement volume does not reach the measurement volume of the other sensor. Accordingly, we define the separation along the wind direction as lag distance and the separation in crosswind direction as lateral distance.

The magnitude of covariance is decreased due to both the lag distance and the lateral distance (Horst and Lenschow 2009). In data processing, the loss of covariance due to the lag distance may be significantly or largely recovered by realigning the data with various time lags in order to maximize the covariance (such as, lag maximization), and the loss of covariance due to lateral distance may be recovered using a frequency correction (Foken et al. 2012). For both of these corrections, the physical lag and lateral distances are needed.

In the installation of sensors, the horizontal coordinates of the center of the measurement volume of the scalar sensor relative to the sonic coordinate system (see FIGURE D-1) should be recorded. The coordinates can be expressed as a separation vector $[x, y]$.

When wind comes from a direction of zero degrees (i.e., against the direction in which the sonic anemometer points), the $x$ component of the vector is the lag distance and the $y$ component of the vector is lateral distance. When the wind direction is not zero degrees, the separation vector may be projected onto the wind and cross-wind axes by knowing the wind direction $\left(\theta_{w}\right)$ relative to the sonic coordinate system and using a coordinate rotation. The resulting projection along the wind direction is lag distance $\left(d_{l a g}\right)$ and the projection in cross-wind direction is lateral distance ( $d_{l a t}$ ).

These are given by:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
d_{\text {lag }}  \tag{D-24}\\
d_{\text {lat }}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta_{w} & \sin \theta_{w} \\
-\sin \theta_{w} & \cos \theta_{w}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]
$$

The lag distance ( $d_{\text {lag }}$ ) along with wind speed will be used by the datalogger for lag maximization by applying an appropriate lag of measurement scans to align the sensors (see lag maximization) and the lateral distance is used in a subtransfer function of frequency response due to sensor separation, $T_{s w S P}\left(f, d_{l a t}, \bar{u}\right)$, which is given as follows [equation 4.8 in Foken, et. al. (2012)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s v_{-} S P}\left(f, d_{l a t}, \bar{u}\right)=\exp \left[-9.9\left(\frac{f d_{l a t}}{\bar{u}}\right)^{1.5}\right] \tag{D-25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## D.8.7 Total Transfer Function

A composite or total transfer function is given by the product of the appropriate sub-transfer functions for a particular covariance. The total transfer functions used by the datalogger are given in this section (FIR correction currently not included).

For $u^{\prime} w^{\prime}$ or $v^{\prime} w^{\prime}$ :
$T_{u w}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s a t}, T_{b a}, \bar{u}\right)=T_{u w_{-} B A}\left(f, T_{b a}\right) T_{u w_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s a t}, \bar{u}\right)$

For $\overline{T_{s}^{\prime} w^{\prime}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{T_{s} w}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s a t}, T_{b}, \bar{u}\right)=T_{T_{s} w_{-} B A}\left(f, T_{b a}\right) T_{T_{s} w_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s a t}, \bar{u}\right) \tag{D-27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $s w$ (where s is a variable measured by the IRGA) :

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{s w}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s a t}, l_{p t_{-} I R G A}, d_{l a t}, T_{b a}, \bar{u}\right)=T_{s w_{-} B A}\left(f, T_{b a}\right) T_{s w_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s a t}, l_{p t_{-} I R G A}, \bar{u}\right) T_{s w_{-} S P}\left(f, d_{l a t}, \bar{u}\right)  \tag{D-28}\\
\text { For } \overline{T_{F W}^{\prime} w^{\prime}}: \\
T_{T_{r p} w}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s a t}, d_{l a t}, \tau_{F W}, T_{b a}, \bar{u}\right)=T_{T_{p s w_{-} B A}}\left(f, T_{b a}\right) \sqrt{T_{w w_{-} L A}\left(f, l_{p t_{-} c s t}, \bar{u}\right) T_{r T_{-} T C}\left(f, \tau_{F W}\right)} T_{s w_{-} S P}\left(f, d_{l a t}, \bar{u}\right) \tag{D-29}
\end{gather*}
$$

## D. 9 Working Model

Evaluating the correction factor in Equation (D-1) in the datalogger program requires numerical integration. Because the cospectrum changes exponentially faster at low frequencies than at high frequencies, the interval of integration can be exponentially increased throughout the integration in order to save computation time without significantly reducing accuracy. Accordingly, $\ln f$ is used as an integration variable that increases at equal logarithmic intervals of
frequency $(f)$, which effectively increments the frequency interval exponentially for fast integration over the integration domain. This results in a working formula that is used to find the covariance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left(\alpha^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)_{r f}}=\left(\overline{\alpha^{\prime} w^{\prime}}\right)_{r}\left\{\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[f S_{\alpha w}(f)\right] d(\ln f)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} T_{\alpha w}(f)\left[f S_{\alpha w}(f)\right] d(\ln f)}\right\} \tag{D-30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that even though simple notation for $T_{\alpha w}(f)$ has been used, this is an overall transfer function that is comprised of the sub-transfer functions presented in the sections above and includes independent variables such as wind speed ( $\bar{u}$ ), block average period, measurement path length, and/or sensor time constant and/or lateral separation distance. Accordingly, the components of the combined transfer function for different variables of vectors and scalars are unique due to sensor specifications and measurement installations.

## D. 10 Programmatic Approach to Computations for Correction Factors

The correction factor in model (D-30) has a numerator and denominator. Its numerator is found from a normalized spectrum, and its denominator is determined by numerical integration. For both normalized and non-normalized cospectra, we numerically integrate both the numerator and denominator. Moore (1986) used the Composite Simpson's rule to estimate this denominator. In his estimation, 19 equal integration intervals at a scale of natural logarithm from $10^{-5}$ to 5 Hz were used, which resulted in an integration error smaller than $1 \%$. Currently, this can be further improved due to faster computational speeds in Campbell dataloggers compared to micro-computers at that time. By increasing the integration range and decreasing the integration intervals, the datalogger can more accurately account for frequency attenuation, particularly in the lower frequencies of the block averaging sub-transfer function. Specifically, we extend the integration range from $10^{-6}$ to $10^{4} \mathrm{~Hz}$ and divide the range into 100 frequency bins. It is believed that the integration error should be significantly smaller than Moore (1986) and the accuracy sufficient.

Because the cospectrum may change exponentially and dramatically in lower frequencies, the logarithm scale of cyclic frequency may be used for a numerical integration interval (bin width). For 100 bins from $10^{-6}$ to $10^{4} \mathrm{~Hz}$, the base frequency interval ( $\Delta f$ ) is 1.258925 since $10^{-6} \times 1.258925^{100}=10000$. The lower frequency boundary of the $j$ th interval is indexed as the $(j-1)^{\text {th }}$ frequency and the right boundary frequency is indexed as the $j^{\text {th }}$ frequency. The interval of integration (bin width) at natural logarithm scale $[\Delta \ln (f)]$ is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \ln (f) & =\ln \left(10^{-6} \Delta f^{j}\right)-\ln \left(10^{-6} \times \Delta f^{j-1}\right) \\
& =\ln \frac{10^{-6} \Delta f^{j}}{10^{-6} \Delta f^{j-1}}=\ln \Delta f \tag{D-31}
\end{align*}
$$

The Composite Simpson's rule writes the integration for the numerator in model (8.1) as (Page 186 in Burden and Faires (1993):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[f S_{\alpha w}(f)\right] d(\ln f) \approx \int_{10^{-6}}^{10000}\left[f S_{\alpha w}(f)\right] d(\ln f) \\
&= \frac{\ln (\Delta f)}{3}\left\{\left[10^{-6} S_{\alpha w}\left(10^{-6}\right)\right]+4 \sum_{k=1}^{50}\left[10^{-6} \Delta f^{2 k-1} S_{\alpha w}\left(10^{-6} \Delta f^{2 k-1}\right)\right]\right.  \tag{D-32}\\
&\left.+2 \sum_{k=1}^{49}\left[10^{-6} \Delta f^{2 k} S_{\alpha w}\left(10^{-6} \Delta f^{2 k}\right)\right]+\left[10^{4} S_{\alpha w}\left(10^{4}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

With an error term as describe on page 186 (Burden and Fares (1993):

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Error } & =\frac{10^{4}-10^{-6}}{180} \times(\ln \Delta f)^{4} \frac{2}{100} \sum_{j=1}^{50}\left[\xi_{j} S_{\alpha w}\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right]^{(4)}  \tag{D-33}\\
& \approx \frac{20}{18} \times(\ln \Delta f)^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{50}\left[\xi_{j} S_{\alpha w}\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right]^{(4)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta$ is a value of frequency that can maximize the value in the square bracket. The evaluation of this term requires more complicated calculations because the $4^{\text {th }}$ order derivative of the integrated function is needed. We do not evaluate this term now, but equation (D-33) can show how the error can be reduced by adding the number of integration intervals and narrowing the width of the interval.

Similarly, the denominator with Simpson's rule applied becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} T_{\alpha w}(f)\left[f S_{\alpha w}(f)\right] d(\ln f) \approx \int_{10^{-6}}^{10000} T_{\alpha w}(f)\left[f S_{\alpha w}(f)\right] d(\ln f) \\
& =\frac{\ln (\Delta f)}{3}\left\{\left[T_{\alpha w}\left(10^{-6}\right) 10^{-6} S_{\alpha w}\left(10^{-6}\right)\right]+4 \sum_{k=1}^{50} T_{\alpha w}\left(10^{-6} \Delta f^{2 k-1}\right)\left[10^{-6} \Delta f^{2 k-1} S_{\alpha w}\left(10^{-6} \Delta f^{2 k-1}\right)\right]\right.  \tag{D-34}\\
& \left.\quad+2 \sum_{k=1}^{49} T_{\alpha w}\left(10^{-6} \Delta f^{2 k}\right)\left[10^{-6} \Delta f^{2 k} S_{\alpha w}\left(10^{-6} \Delta f^{2 k}\right)\right]+T_{\alpha w}\left(10^{4}\right)\left[10^{4} S_{\alpha w}\left(10^{4}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The error term of this numerical integration can be calculated using equation (D-33) if the term $\xi_{j} S_{\alpha w}\left(\xi_{j}\right)$ is replaced with $T_{o w}\left[\xi_{j}\right] \xi_{j} S_{\alpha w}\left(\xi_{j}\right)$.

The code in the program used to calculate the correction factor of one covariance (Cor_factor) is outlined below:

Cor_factor $=0$
for $j=0$ to $100 \quad$ (100 steps)
$f=10^{-6} \times 1.258925^{\mathrm{J}} \quad$ (Calculation of frequency)
$m=2+4 \times(j \operatorname{MOD} 2)-\mathrm{ABS}(j=0)-\mathrm{ABS}(j=100)$

NOTE $\quad \mathrm{M}=1$ for $j=0$ and $j=100$. For all other $j, m=4$ if $j$ is odd and $m$ $=2$ if $j$ is even).

Numerator $=$ Numerator $+m \times\left[f S_{\alpha \beta}(f)\right]$
Denominator $=$ Denominator $+m \times T_{\alpha \beta}(f)\left[f S_{\alpha \beta}(f)\right]$

Next $j$
Cor factor $=$ Numerator/Denominator
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## Appendix E. WPL Corrections

Due to the vertical gradient of air temperature in the atmospheric surface layer, rising air parcels have different temperatures and densities than descending air parcels. For example, in the case of upward (positive) heat flux when the air closest to the ground is warmest, rising air parcels on average will be warmer and less dense than descending ones. If we suppose in this same case that the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ fluxes are zero (rising and descending parcels carry the same amount of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), the measurements from an open-path eddycovariance system will still report negative (downward) fluxes simply because of the correlation between rising air parcels and lower air density. This is explained by mass conservation or air continuity; the decrease in air density due to the increase in air temperature while the total pressure in surface layer changes very little, forces air to expand upwards in the atmospheric surface layer. This expansion generates an upward (positive) flux of air at the measurement point and leads to a slightly positive mean vertical velocity. Thus the downward $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ flux measured by open-path eddy covariance system may be explained by the upward flux of air from a net upward vertical velocity.

Depending on whether the temperature profile increases or decreases with height, the mean vertical velocity may be negative or positive. Typically it ranges from -0.75 to $1.5 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ when sensible heat flux is between -200 and $600 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ [Fig. 1 in Webb et al. (1980)]. This change in vertical velocity due to change in air density is too small to be measured by a 3D sonic anemometer with sufficient accuracy.

Since typical applications of the open-path eddy-covariance method do not account for fluxes associated with non-zero mean flows ( $\bar{w} \neq 0$ ), an appropriate correction for the vertical velocity due to heat and water vapor transfer is needed.

## E. 1 Basic Considerations

Air density $\left(\rho_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ is a sum of partial densities: dry air density $\left(\rho_{d}\right)$, water vapor density ( $\rho_{v}$ ), and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density ( $\rho_{c o 2}$ ), given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{a}=\rho_{d}+\rho_{v}+\rho_{c o 2} \tag{E-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution of $\rho_{\mathrm{CO}}$ relative to $\rho_{d}$ and $\rho_{v}$ vapor is very small, and thus can be considered negligible to the total air density. The equation therefore becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{a}=\rho_{d}+\rho_{v} \tag{E-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The individual gas laws give the partial pressures of dry air $\left(p_{d}\right)$, water vapor $\left(p_{v}\right)$, and ( $p_{c o z}$ ) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{d}=\frac{R^{*}}{m_{d}} \rho_{d} T \\
& p_{v}=\frac{R^{*}}{m_{v}} \rho_{v} T  \tag{E-3}\\
& p_{C O 2}=\frac{R^{*}}{m_{C O 2}} \rho_{C O 2} T
\end{align*}
$$

where subscripts $d$, $v$, and CO2 denote dry air, water vapor, and carbon dioxide, respectively, and are used throughout; $m$ is molecular mass; $R^{*}$ is the universal gas constant $\left[8.3143 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right.$, page 467 of Wallace and Hobbs (2006)], and $T$ is absolute temperature.

The total air pressure $\left(p_{a}\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{a}=p_{d}+p_{v}+p_{C O 2} \tag{E-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The air pressure, dry-air density, water-vapor density, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density are measured and calculated in an eddy covariance system. Although the partial pressures of the different gas components are normally not measured, the other measured variables may be used to derive the partial pressures. Submitting equation (E-3) into equation (E-4) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{a}}{R^{*} T}=\frac{\rho_{d}}{m_{d}}+\frac{\rho_{v}}{m_{v}}+\frac{\rho_{C O 2}}{m_{C O 2}} \approx \frac{\rho_{d}}{m_{d}}+\frac{\rho_{v}}{m_{v}} \tag{E-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (E-5) describes the basic relationship of air and water-vapor densities to temperature and atmospheric pressure. If the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{T}=\frac{1}{\left(\bar{T}+T^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{1}{\bar{T}\left(1+\frac{T^{\prime}}{\bar{T}}\right)} \tag{E-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is expended in a power series of $T^{\prime} / \bar{T}$, the partial densities in equation (E-5) can be written in forms of instantaneous, mean, and fluctuation variables as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\rho_{d}}{m_{d}}+\frac{\rho_{v}}{m_{v}}=\frac{p_{a}}{R^{*} \bar{T}}\left\{1-\frac{T^{\prime}}{\bar{T}}+\left(\frac{T^{\prime}}{\bar{T}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{T^{\prime}}{\bar{T}}\right)^{3}+\ldots .\right\} \\
\frac{\overline{\rho_{d}}}{m_{d}}+\frac{\overline{\rho_{v}}}{m_{v}}=\frac{p_{a}}{R^{*} \bar{T}}\left\{1+\frac{\overline{T^{\prime 2}}}{\bar{T}^{2}}-\frac{\overline{T^{\prime 3}}}{\bar{T}^{3}}+\ldots\right\} \\
\frac{\rho_{d}^{\prime}}{m_{d}}+\frac{\rho_{v}^{\prime}}{m_{v}}=\frac{p_{a}}{R^{*} \bar{T}}\left\{-\frac{T^{\prime}}{\bar{T}}+\frac{T^{\prime 2}-\overline{T^{\prime 2}}}{\bar{T}^{2}}-\frac{T^{\prime 3}-\overline{T^{\prime 3}}}{\bar{T}^{3}}+\ldots .\right\} \tag{E-7}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Equation (E7b) can further be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{a}}{R^{*} \bar{T}}=\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}_{d}}{m_{d}}+\frac{\bar{\rho}_{v}}{m_{v}}\right)\left\{1+\frac{\overline{T^{\prime 2}}}{\bar{T}^{2}}-\frac{\overline{T^{\prime 3}}}{\bar{T}^{3}}+\ldots\right\}^{-1} \tag{E-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (E-8) into equation (E7c) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho_{d}^{\prime}}{m_{d}}+\frac{\rho_{v}^{\prime}}{m_{v}}=\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}_{d}}{m_{d}}+\frac{\bar{\rho}_{v}}{m_{v}}\right)\left\{-\frac{T^{\prime}}{\bar{T}}+\frac{T^{\prime 2}-\overline{T^{\prime 2}}}{\bar{T}^{2}}-\frac{T^{\prime 3}-\overline{T^{\prime 3}}}{\bar{T}^{3}}+\ldots .\right\}\left\{1+\frac{\overline{T^{\prime 2}}}{\bar{T}^{2}}-\frac{\overline{T^{\prime 3}}}{\bar{T}^{3}}+\ldots\right\}^{-1} \tag{E-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By dropping the second order term $\left(<10^{-4}\right)$ of absolute temperature, the fluctuation of dry air density can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{d}^{\prime} & =-\frac{m_{d}}{m_{v}} \rho_{v}^{\prime}-\bar{\rho}_{d}\left(1+\frac{m_{d}}{m_{v}} \frac{\bar{\rho}_{v}}{\bar{\rho}_{d}}\right) \frac{T^{\prime}}{\bar{T}}  \tag{E-10}\\
& =-\mu \rho_{v}^{\prime}-\bar{\rho}_{d}(1+\mu \sigma) \frac{T^{\prime}}{\bar{T}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu$ is the molecular weight ratio of dry air to water vapor, and $\sigma$ is the mean water vapor mixing ratio.

## E. 2 Governing Constraint and Mean Vertical Velocity

The governing constraint that the mean vertical flux of dry air constituent should be zero is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{w \rho_{d}}=0 \tag{E-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (E-11) is equivalent to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{w \rho_{d}}=\overline{\left(\bar{w}+w^{\prime}\right)\left(\bar{\rho}_{d}+\rho_{d}^{\prime}\right)}=\overline{\bar{w} \bar{\rho}_{d}+\bar{w} \rho_{d}^{\prime}+w^{\prime} \bar{\rho}_{d}+w^{\prime} \rho_{d}^{\prime}}=\bar{w} \bar{\rho}_{d}+\overline{w^{\prime} \rho_{d}^{\prime}} \tag{E-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (E-11) and (E-12) give:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}=-\frac{\overline{w^{\prime} \rho_{d}^{\prime}}}{\bar{\rho}_{d}} \tag{E-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Submitting equation (E-10) into this equation yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}=\mu \frac{\overline{w^{\prime} \rho_{v}^{\prime}}}{\bar{\rho}_{d}}+(1+\mu \sigma) \frac{\overline{w^{\prime} T^{\prime}}}{\bar{T}} \tag{E-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## E. 3 Eddy Covariance Measurements

## E.3.1 $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$

The flux of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{C O 2}=\overline{w \rho_{C O 2}}=\overline{w^{\prime} \rho_{C O 2}^{\prime}}+\bar{w} \bar{\rho}_{C O 2} \tag{E-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing the mean vertical velocity $(\bar{w})$ in the equation with equation (E-14) yields:
$F_{\mathrm{CO} 2}=\overline{w^{\prime} \rho_{\mathrm{CO} 2}^{\prime}}+\left[\mu \frac{\overline{\rho_{\mathrm{CO} 2}}}{\bar{\rho}_{d}} \overline{w^{\prime} \rho_{v}^{\prime}}+(1+\mu \sigma) \overline{\left.\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{CO2}}}{\bar{T}} \overline{w^{\prime} T^{\prime}}\right]}\right.$

The term in the rectangle bracket is the WPL correction. The first term is due to water flux and the second is due to heat flux.
$\bar{\rho}_{C O 2}$ is the mean $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density measured by an IRGA
$\bar{\rho}_{d}$ is the mean dry air density calculated from air temperature, pressure, and water vapor density
$\bar{T}$ is the mean air temperature in Kelvin
$\mu$ is 1.60802 [the ratio of dry air molecular weight ( $\mathrm{md}=28.97$ $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{kmol}^{-1}$ ) to water molecular weight ( $\mathrm{mv}=18.016 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{kmol}^{-1}$ ), page 466 in Wallace and Hobbs (2006)]
$\sigma$ is the mean water vapor mixing ratio [ratio of mean water vapor density $\left(\bar{\rho}_{v}\right)$ to mean dry air density $\left(\bar{\rho}_{d}\right)$.
$\overline{w^{\prime} \rho_{v}^{\prime}}$ is the water vapor flux measured using a sonic anemometer and IRGA.
$\overline{w^{\prime} T^{\prime}}$ is the heat flux (after rotations, frequency, and SND corrections) calculated from a sonic anemometer and optionally with a fine-wire thermocouple.

## E.3. $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

The flux of water vapor can be written as:
$E=\overline{w \rho_{v}}=\overline{w^{\prime} \rho_{v}^{\prime}}+\bar{w} \overline{\rho_{v}}$

Replacing the mean vertical velocity ( $\bar{w}$ ) in the equation with eq. (2.4) yields:


The term in the rectangle bracket is the WPL correction term. The first term is due to water flux itself and the second is due to heat flux.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\rho}_{v} \text { is the mean water vapor density measured by IRGA } \\
& \overline{w^{\prime} \rho_{v}^{\prime}} \text { is the water vapor flux (after rotation and frequency } \\
& \text { corrections) measured using sonic anemometer and IRGA. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Appendix F. Data Quality Grading

Data quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) are recommended for EC measurements because of complex calculation procedures (Foken et al., 2012). A number of publications on QA and QC for EC measurements are available (for example, Foken and Wichura 1996; Vickers and Mahurt 1997; Shearman 1992; Moncrieff et al. 1997; Aubinet et al. 2000; Foken et al 2004; 2012).

In the case of this datalogger program, QA is accomplished using diagnostic outputs from the measurement system's sonic anemometer and infrared gas analyzer. Specifically, the datalogger only uses raw data for flux calculations when the diagnostic values from both sensors are zero (i.e., sensors work normally and measurements are in their reasonable ranges), when the measurements are within the calibrated range of the sensors, and when the signal strengths are adequate (i.e. nothing is blocking the optical path). Even when raw data are not used for flux calculations, they are still stored in the time series data table. More details on diagnostics may be found in the manual of the respective sensor. Regarding QC, the datalogger program follows the method presented in Foken at al. (2012) to grade the relative quality of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, latent heat, sensible heat, and momentum fluxes. Specifically, the following three variables are calculated and used to grade the quality of the data:

- Relative Non-stationarity $\left(R N_{\text {cov }}\right)$ to describe the steady state,
- relative Integral Turbulence Characteristics (ITC) to define the developed turbulence condition, and
- horizontal wind angle in the sonic anemometer coordinate system.

Sections F. 1 through F. 3 give more information on each of these variables and how a quality grade for each is assigned. Section F. 4 then describes how an overall quality grade is found. Finally, Section F. 5 describes how this is implemented into the datalogger program.

## F. 1 Relative Non-stationarity (RNcov) for Steady State

Turbulence flux measurement theory is valid under the steady state conditions of turbulent flows. In such conditions, the surface layer turbulent flow structure is independent of time within an averaging interval (e.g., 30 min ). The extent to which conditions conform to a steady state may be described using a variable called relative non-stationarity, $R N_{\text {cov }}$, which is defined as the relative difference between the averaged 5 -minute and 30 -minute covariance values, given by [equations (4.36) to (4.38) in Foken, et al. (2012)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R N_{\mathrm{cov}}=100 \times\left|\frac{\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{6}\left(\overline{s^{\prime} w^{\prime}}\right)_{r i}-\left(\overline{s^{\prime} w^{\prime}}\right)_{r}}{\left(\overline{s^{\prime} w^{\prime}}\right)_{r}}\right| \tag{F-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ can be $T_{\mathrm{s}}$ for sonic temperature, $T_{c}$ for corrected temperature, $\rho_{\mathrm{co} 2}$ for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density, $\rho_{\mathrm{h} 2 \mathrm{o}}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ density, or $u$ or $v$ for horizontal wind speed; $w$ represents vertical wind velocity; subscript $r$ indicates the variable after coordinate rotation; subscript $i$ (for example, $1,2, \ldots$, or 6 if a 30 min averaging period is used) indicates the covariance of the $i^{\text {th }} 5 \mathrm{~min}$ interval within an averaging period; and the numerical number of 100 converts the relative nonstationarity into percent. Based on the calculated value of $R N_{c o v}$, the steady state is classified into nine grades. Grade 1 is most steady and indicates highest data quality, whereas grade 9 is least steady and indicates relatively lower data quality (see TABLE F-1).

| TABLE F-1. Grades of relative non-stationarity, relative integral turbulence characteristics, and wind direction in the sonic instrument coordinate system. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relative <br> [model (2 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cov }}$ <br> n-stationarity <br> ) in Foken et al. 2012)] | $I T C_{s w}$ and $I T C_{t a u}$ <br> Relative integral turbulence characteristics [model (2.5) in Foken et al. (2012)] |  | wnd_dir_sonic Wind direction |  |
| Grade | Range (\%) | Grade | Range (\%) | Grade | Range |
| 1 (highest) | $[0,15)$ | 1 (highest) | $[0,15)$ | 1 (highest) | $\left[0-150^{\circ}\right],\left[210-360^{\circ}\right]$ |
| 2 | [15, 30) | 2 | $[15,30)$ | 2 | [150-170 ${ }^{\circ}$ ], [190-210 $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ |
| 3 | [30, 50) | 3 | [30, 50) | 3 (lowest) | [170-190 $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ |
| 4 | [50, 75) | 4 | [50, 75) |  |  |
| 5 | [75, 100) | 5 | [75, 100) |  |  |
| 6 | [100, 250) | 6 | [100, 250) |  |  |
| 7 | [250, 500) | 7 | [250, 500) |  |  |
| 8 | [500, 1000) | 8 | [500, 1000) |  |  |
| 9 (lowest) | $\geq 1,000 \%$ | 9 (lowest) | > 1,000\% |  |  |

## F. 2 Turbulent Conditions

Turbulence conditions are characterized using a term called integral turbulence characteristics (ITC), which is defined as a standard deviation of a fluctuating variable (e.g., momentum variance or temperature variance) normalized by a scaling factor, for example, friction velocity or scaling temperature (Tilmann 1972). In a surface layer with fully developed turbulence, a given ITC term is a constant or at least follows a universal function of the scaling factor.

The most commonly used scaling factor is stability, defined as the ratio of aerodynamic height ( $z$, sensor sensing height minus zero displacement height) to the Obukhov length ( $L$, Stull 1988; Kaimal and Finnigan 1994), or $z / L$. The other scaling factor used is (Thomas and Foken 2002):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{+} f}{u_{*}} \tag{F-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the Coriolis parameter in $\mathrm{s}^{-1}, u_{*}$ is friction velocity in $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, and $z_{+}$is a constant in m that was introduced and set to " 1 " to make the scaling factor dimensionless. For a given site, the Coriolis parameter can be calculated using:

$$
f=2 \Omega \sin \phi
$$

where $\Omega$ is angular velocity ( $7.292 \times 10-5 \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) and $\phi$ is latitude (positive in the north hemisphere and negative in the south hemisphere).
$I T C$ values have been accurately simulated using a well-known model (i.e., a function of the scaling factor) in conditions of fully developed turbulence. ITC may also be measured and then compared to the modeled ITC to show the degree to which turbulence has developed at that moment in time. The relative difference in percentage between the modeled and measured values are noted by $I T C_{\alpha}$, where the subscript $\alpha$ indicates the variable of interest. When $\alpha$ is vertical velocity, $w$, or horizontal wind speed, $u, I T C_{\alpha}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I T C_{\alpha}=100 \times \frac{\left|I T C_{\alpha_{-} \bmod e l}-\left(\frac{\sqrt{\left(\overline{\alpha^{\prime 2}}\right)_{r}}}{u_{*}}\right)_{\text {measured }}\right|}{I T C_{\alpha_{-} \bmod e l}} \tag{F-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $I T C_{\alpha_{-} \text {model }}$ term is evaluated using:
ITC $\alpha_{-}$model $= \begin{cases}c_{\alpha 1} \ln \frac{z_{+} f}{u_{*}}+c_{\alpha 2} & \frac{z}{L}>0 \\ c_{\alpha 1}\left(\frac{z}{|L|}\right)^{c_{\alpha 2}} & \frac{z}{L} \leq 0\end{cases}$
where $c_{\alpha 1}$ and $c_{\alpha 2}$ are parameters that depend on surface-layer stability (see TABLE F-2).

The relative difference in measured and theoretical $I T C$ for temperature $(T)$ is noted by $I T C_{T}$ and is given by [equation (4.41) in Foken et al. (2012)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I T C_{T}=100 \times \frac{\left|I T C_{T_{-} \bmod e l}-\left(\frac{\sqrt{\left(\overline{T^{\prime 2}}\right)_{r}}}{\left|T^{*}\right|}\right)_{\text {measured }}\right|}{I T C_{T_{-} \bmod e l}} \tag{F-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T^{*}$ is scaling temperature, given by [equation (1.25b) in Kaimal and Finnigan (1994)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=-\frac{\overline{T^{\prime} w^{\prime}}}{u_{*}} \tag{F-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $I T C_{T_{-} \text {model }}$ term is evaluated using:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I T C_{T_{-} \bmod e l}=c_{T 1}\left(\frac{z}{|L|}\right)^{c_{T 2}} \tag{F-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\mathrm{T} 1}$ and $c_{\mathrm{T} 2}$ are parameters also depending on surface layer stability (see TABLE F-2).

TABLE F-2. Parameters in the model of integral turbulence characteristics (ITC). ${ }^{1}$

| Variable in variance | $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}_{\alpha \mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{z} / \boldsymbol{L}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Vertical velocity <br> $a=W$ | 0.21 | 3.1 | $0<z / L<0.4$ |
|  | 1.3 | 0 | $-0.032<z / L \leq 0$ |
|  | 2.0 | $1 / 8$ | $z / L \leq-0.032$ |
|  | 0.44 | 6.3 | $0<z / L<0.4$ |
|  | 2.7 | 0 | $-0.032<z / L \leq 0$ |
|  | 4.15 | $1 / 8$ | $z / L \leq-0.032$ |
| Air temperature <br> $a=T$ | 1.4 | $-1 / 4$ | $0.02<z / L<1$ |
|  | 0.5 | $-1 / 2$ | $0.02>z / L>-0.062$ |
|  | 1.0 | $-1 / 4$ | $-0.062>z / L>-1$ |
|  | 1.0 | $-1 / 3$ | $-1>z / L$ |

${ }^{1}$ Summarized from Tables 4.2 and 4.3 in Foken et al. (2012)
Similarly, $I T C_{s w}$ is used to describe the turbulent conditions when measuring the covariance of a scalar, $s$, and vertical wind, $w$. However, instead of an explicit equation for $I T C_{s w}$, its value is conservatively estimated by setting it equal to $I T C_{T}$ or $I T C w$, whichever is greater; that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I T C_{s w}=\max \left(I T C_{T}, I T C_{w}\right) \tag{F-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$I T C_{s w}$ for fully developed turbulence conditions should be close to zero. The greater the value of $I T C_{s w}$ becomes, the less developed the turbulence. Foken et al. (2012) suggested classifying the resulting value into nine grades, where grade 1 indicates conditions of fully developed turbulence, and grade 9 indicates conditions of undeveloped turbulence (see TABLE F-1).

Similarly, for momentum flux, a conservative approach is used:
$I T C_{\text {tau }}= \begin{cases}\max \left(I T C_{u}, I T C_{w}\right) & \text { double rotations used } \\ I T C_{w} & \text { planar fit rotations used }\end{cases}$
It should be noted that the variable $u$ used in calculating $I T C_{u}$ and $I T C_{t a u}$, in the case of Table 4.2 of Foken et al., (2012), is stream-wise wind speed, although
the authors did not explicitly specify this. Accordingly, the raw variable $U_{x}$ from a sonic anemometer, which is rarely a stream-wise wind speed, must undergo coordinate rotations. It becomes the stream-wise wind speed only after the first rotation of Tanner and Thurtell (1969) or the third rotation of Wilczak et al. (2001).

The datalogger program uses either method of coordinate rotations, depending on the selection of the user; however, only the first two rotations of each method are done in order to reduce unnecessary computation time on the third rotation. Accordingly, $u$ and subsequently $I T C_{u}$, are only available to find $I T C_{\text {tau }}$ if the method of Tanner and Thurtell (1969) is selected. If the planar fit method [Wilczak et al (2001)] is used, $I T C_{t a u}$ is simply found from $I T C_{w}$ as an approximation (see equation F-8).

Similar to the other relative turbulence characteristics, the greater the value of $I T C_{\text {tau }}$, the less developed the turbulence. The resulting value is classified into nine grades, where grade 1 indicates fully developed conditions of turbulence, and grade 9 indicates least developed conditions of turbulence (see TABLE F-1).

Further, for stable surface-layer conditions beyond the ranges where parameters are defined in TABLE F-2, the quality grades for $I T C_{\alpha}, I T C_{T}$, and $I T C_{\text {tau }}$ are conservatively assigned as 9 .

## F. 3 Wind Direction in the Sonic Instrument Coordinate System (wnd_dir_sonic)

The sonic anemometer has a boom-mount design that may affect the wind flow when the wind is blowing from behind the boom towards the sonic transducers. Accordingly, Foken et al. (2012) assigned a poorer data quality grade of 3 to wind coming from angles $180 \pm 10^{\circ}$ relative to the sonic coordinate system, a medium grade of 2 to winds outside of this range but within $29^{\circ}$ of $180^{\circ}$, and a good grade of 1 for all other angles (see TABLE F-1).

## F. 4 Overall Quality Grade System

Each covariance variable over the averaging period is assigned an overall quality grade from 1 to 9 based on the individual grades of $R N_{c o v}, I T C_{s w}$, and wnd_dir_sonic (see TABLE F-3). Grade 1 is the highest overall quality, and grade 9 is the poorest.

| TABLE F-3. Overall grades for each flux variable by the grades of relative non-stationary, relative <br> integral turbulence characteristic, and wind direction in sonic instrument coordinate system. ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality <br> grade | $\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{c o v}}$ <br> Relative non- <br> stationarity | Relative integral turbulence <br> characteristic | wnd_dir_sonic <br> Wind direction |
| 1 (best) | 1 | $1-2$ | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | $1-2$ | 1 |
| 3 | $1-2$ | $3-4$ | 1 |
| 4 | $3-4$ | $1-2$ | 1 |

TABLE F-3. Overall grades for each flux variable by the grades of relative non-stationary, relative integral turbulence characteristic, and wind direction in sonic instrument coordinate system. ${ }^{1}$

| Overall quality <br> grade | $\boldsymbol{R N}_{\boldsymbol{c o v}}$ <br> Relative non- <br> stationarity | $\boldsymbol{I T C}_{\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{w}}$ <br> Relative integral turbulence <br> characteristic | wnd_dir_sonic <br> Wind direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $1-4$ | $3-5$ | 1 |
| 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| 7 | 6 | 6 | 2 |
| 8 | $7-8$ | $7-8$ | 2 |
| 9 (worst) | 9 | 9 | 3 |

${ }^{1}$ Simplified Table 4.5 in Foken et al. (2012)

## F. 5 Programmatic Approach

The datalogger program determines an overall quality grade using these steps:

1. Calculate quality variables:
$R N_{c o v}$ for $\overline{u^{\prime} w^{\prime}}, \overline{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}, \overline{T_{s}^{\prime} w^{\prime}}, \overline{\rho_{c o 2}^{\prime} w^{\prime}}$, and $\overline{\rho_{h 2 o}^{\prime} w^{\prime}}$
$I T C_{\text {tau }}$ for momentum flux
$I T C_{\text {Tsw }}$ for sensible heat, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ fluxes
2. Use $R N_{c o v}$ to grade stationarity, $I T C_{t a u}$ and $I T C_{T s w}$ to grade the integral turbulence characteristics, and wind angle in the sonic coordinate system to grade wind direction (see TABLE F-2).
3. Define an array with three elements:

The first element records the best possible quality grade in the overall grade system for a given grade of relative non-stationarity. For example, a grade 2 for $R N_{c o v}$ can be assigned as grade 2 or 3 in the overall grade system. In this case the datalogger will store the value of " 2 " as the first element in the array.

Similarly, the second element in the array is the best possible quality grade in the overall grade system for a given grade of the integral turbulence characteristics.

The third element in the array is the best possible quality grade in the overall grade system for a given grade of wind direction.
4. The maximum value of the three elements is the overall quality grade for the variable being evaluated (such as, flux of $\mathrm{CO} 2, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$, sensible heat, or momentum).
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## Appendix G. Footprint

The percentage of measured scalar flux from an area of interest is a major indicator of appropriate site selection and station design. The upwind range within which the sources/sinks contribute a given percent of total fluxes (for example, 40,55 , and $90 \%$ ) is typically desired by an investigator. Additionally, the location of sources/sinks that contributes most to the measured fluxes is often of interest (Kljun et al. 2004). These footprint characteristics can be calculated using a footprint function of the measured scalar flux.

A footprint function of measured scalar flux, given by $f\left(x, y, z_{m}\right)$ where $x$ and $y$ are horizontal spatial variables with positive $x$-axis pointing into the streamwise direction and $z_{m}$ is measurement height, is a probability spatial distribution of the relative contribution to the fluxes measured at the point ( 0 , $0, z_{m}$ ), assuming that surface sources/sinks in the $x-y$ domain as described by $F(x, y, 0)$ are horizontally homogenous, or in other words $F(x, y, 0)$ is a constant. The footprint, $f\left(x, y, z_{m}\right)$, can be implicitly defined using the measured flux, $F\left(0,0, z_{m}\right)$, and the flux spatial function at the surface, $F(x, y, 0)$, given by:
$F\left(0,0, z_{m}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} F(x, y, 0) f\left(x, y, z_{m}\right) d x d y$

The two functions inside the double integration describe the amount of contribution to the measured flux from sources/sinks across the integrated area. Given the two functions, the proportion of the measured flux from a smaller defined area can also be calculated. For a general case, this equation may be simplified by the assumption that $F(x, y, 0)$ is a constant (in example, sources/sinks of flux are horizontally homogenous). The CRBasic online calculations are designed for this general case. Therefore, $F(x, y, 0)$ is treated as a constant and only the footprint $\left[f\left(x, y, z_{m}\right)\right]$ requires greater characterization.

To calculate the footprint in the CRBasic online flux program, an analytical equation, $f\left(x, y, z_{m}\right)$, is needed. Several studies (Gash, 1986; Schuepp, et al., 1990; Schmid, 1994; Hsieh, et al., 2000; Kormann and Meixner, 2001; Kljun, et al, 2004) provide analytical footprint equations. The equations of Kljun, et al (2004) were developed more recently than the others, and accordingly are used in the CRBasic program. Their application, however, is limited to the following ranges of atmospheric stability, friction velocity, and measurement height:

1. $-200 \leq\left(z_{m}-d\right) / L \leq 1$
2. $u_{*} \geq 0.2$
3. $z_{m}-d \geq 1 \mathrm{~m}$
where $d$ is zero displacement height and $L$ is Obukhov length. For cases outside of the ranges above, the analytical footprint equation of Kormann and Meixner (2001) is used.

## G. 1 Kljun, et. al. (2004) Analytical Footprint Equations

## G.1.1 Models and Parameters

By applying dimensional analysis (Buckingham $\Pi$ method, see Stull 1988) and analyzing numerical simulations, Kljun et al (2004) summarized footprints in field scale for a given roughness length $\left(z_{0}\right)$ and ratio of aerodynamic height to planetary boundary-layer (PBL) height as a dimensionless footprint $\left(F_{*}\right)$ in terms of dimensionless length $\left(X_{*}\right)$ for the range of conditions presented in (G-2). The summarized footprint is represented by the model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{*}\left(X_{*}\right)=k_{1}\left(\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)^{k_{2}} \exp \left[k_{2}\left(1-\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)\right] \tag{G-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ (subscript $i=1,2,3$, and 4 ) is a parameter. If the parameters in the model are given, the dimensionless footprint can be calculated for different dimensionless lengths. These parameters can be statistically estimated using the sampled values of $X_{*}$ and $F_{*}$. The dimensionless length is a combination of vertical wind standard deviation $\left(\sigma_{w}\right)$, friction velocity $\left(u_{*}\right)$, measurement aerodynamic height $(z)$, and stream-wise distance to measurement location $(x)$, given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{*}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{a_{1}} \frac{x}{z} \tag{G-4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}$ is a parameter that was found to be 0.8 by numerical simulations using the software $L P D M-B$. To consider the zero displacement height ( $d$ ) over different surface types, the variable $z$ should be interpreted as the measurement aerodynamic height ( $z=z_{m}-d$ ), which was confirmed by Dr. Kljun per email on November 14, 2014. The dimensionless footprint of $F_{*}$ is a combination of vertical wind standard deviation, friction velocity, planetary boundary-layer height ( $h$ ), measurement aerodynamic height, and streamwise footprint integrated over cross-wind (i.e., marginal streamwise footprint) at a field scale $\left[f_{y}(x, z)\right.$ in $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ ], given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{*}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{a_{2}}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right)^{-1} z f_{y}(x, z) \tag{G-4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2}$ is a parameter that was found to be -0.8 by simulation using the software $L P D M-B$.

For a given case, the values of $\sigma_{w}, u_{*}, h$, and $z$ are known and the value of $f_{y}(x, z)$ can be numerically simulated (Horst and Weil 1992). Given these values, $X_{*}$ and $F_{*}$ can be calculated using (G-4a) and (G-4b). Kljun, et al (2004) then used calculated values of dimensionless footprint and
dimensionless length as samples to statistically estimate the parameters of $k_{1}$ to $k_{4}$ in model (G3) for four roughness lengths and four ratios of aerodynamic height to PBL height as shown in TABLE G-1.

| TABLE G-1. Estimated parameters in dimensionless footprint model (F3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{z} / \mathrm{h}$ | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ | $k_{3}$ | $k_{4}$ | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ | $k_{3}$ | $k_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{z}_{0}=0.01 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{z}_{0}=0.1 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.005 | 0.024 | 3.84 | 31.0 | 18.0 | 0.028 | 2.47 | 22.0 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.075 | 0.024 | 4.11 | 33.0 | 15.0 | 0.027 | 2.87 | 24.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.250 | 0.021 | 3.61 | 35.0 | 12.0 | 0.026 | 3.40 | 27.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.500 | 0.025 | 4.23 | 33.0 | 9.0 | 0.028 | 5.06 | 32.0 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $=0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{z}_{0}=1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |
| 0.075 | 0.042 | 4.06 | 19.0 | 7.00 | 0.052 | 2.40 | 11.0 | 5.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.250 | 0.038 | 4.24 | 21.0 | 7.00 | 0.050 | 3.19 | 14.0 | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.500 | 0.042 | 6.02 | 23.0 | 6.00 | 0.051 | 3.93 | 15.0 | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Even without the PBL height, it is possible to use these parameters. From figure 7 in Kljun et al (2004) it is evident that $k_{1}$ can be well described using a function of the natural logarithm of $z_{0}$, which is independent of $z / h$. This function is given by [left-top panel in figure 7, equation 13 in Kljun et al (2004), and email from Dr. Kljun in Feb 10, 2015].
$k_{1} \approx \frac{0.175}{3.418-\ln z_{0}}$
Parameters $k_{3}$ and $k_{4}$ are both linear functions of $\ln z_{0}$. Parameter $k_{3}$ is given by [left-bottom panel in figure 7 and equation 15 in Kljun et al (2004)].
$k_{3} \approx 4.277 \times\left(3.418-\ln z_{0}\right)$
and parameter $k_{4}$ is given by [right-bottom panel in figure 7 and equation 16 in Kljun et al (2004)].
$k_{4} \approx 1.685 \times\left(3.418-\ln z_{0}\right)$
Note: Although the parameters published in Kljun et al. (2004) had fewer significant digits, email correspondence with Dr. Kljun dated Feb 10, 2015, led to the adoption of three digits after the decimal for parameters in Equation G5a through G-4c.

Parameter $k_{2}$ is independent of $z_{0}$ and is a constant. Determining its value requires taking the integral of $\hat{F}_{*}\left(\hat{X}_{*}\right)$ (the over-hat indicates they are equations with statistically-estimated parameters) over the entire domain of $\hat{X}_{*}$ and setting it equal to one, as shown here [see appendix in Kljun et al. (2004)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{k_{4}}^{\infty} \hat{F}_{*}\left(\hat{X}_{*}\right) d \hat{X}_{*}=k_{1} k_{3} \exp \left(k_{2}\right) k_{2}^{-k_{2}} \Gamma\left(k_{2}\right)=1 \tag{G-5d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (G-5a) and (G-5b) into (G-5d) leads to:
$\exp \left(k_{2}\right) k_{2}^{-k_{2}} \Gamma\left(k_{2}\right)=1.336050$

A numerical solution then generates $k_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}=3.682540 \tag{G-5f}
\end{equation*}
$$

## G.1.2 Application of Analytical Footprint

The marginal stream-wise footprint $\left[f_{y}(x, z)\right]$ in (G-4b) is required to calculate the needed footprint characteristics. However, for many years its analytical form and the resulting cumulative footprint were unavailable for a wide range of stabilities and wind velocity profiles. This motivated several studies to conduct numerical simulations (Hsieh et al. 2000, Kljun et al 2004), which could then be used to develop analytical footprint equations [for example model (G3) and TABLE G-1].

Given an analytical form of $f_{y}(x, z)$, the calculation of footprint characteristics is straight forward. The sections below present equations for footprint characteristics such as the percentage of flux from a defined upwind range of interest and the point of maximum source/sink contribution to the measured flux. These equations relate $f_{y}(x, z), F_{*}\left(X_{*}\right)$, and footprint characteristics.

Percentage of measured scalar flux from the upwind range of interest Given the marginal stream-wise footprint $f_{y}(x, y)$, the percentage of contribution from the sources/sinks within the upwind range of $R,\left[P_{F}(R)\right]$, can be calculated using:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{F}(R)=100 \int_{-R_{k 4}}^{R} f_{y}(x, z) d x \tag{G-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-R_{k 4}$ is the downwind location of starting contribution of sources/sinks to measured fluxes.

Since:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-R_{R 4}}^{R} f_{y}(x, z) d x= & \frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{a_{2}}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right)^{-1} z \int_{-R_{k 4}}^{R} f_{y}(x, z) d x}{\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{a_{2}}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right)^{-1} z}  \tag{G-7}\\
& =\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{-a_{2}} \frac{1}{z}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right) \int_{-R_{k 4}}^{R} \hat{F}_{*}\left(\hat{X}_{*}\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

and because $F_{*}\left(X_{*}\right)$ is defined in the domain of $X_{*}>-k_{4}$, this low limit of integration is the value of $x$ at $X_{*}(x)=-k_{4}$. Thus, $R_{k 4}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k 4}=k_{4} z\left(\frac{u_{*}}{\sigma_{w}}\right)^{a_{1}} \tag{G-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Submitting (G-7) into (G-6) generates:
$p_{F}(R)=100\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{-a_{2}} \frac{1}{z}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right) \int_{-R_{k 4}}^{R} F_{*}\left[X_{*}(x)\right] d x$

Calculation for this is possible using numerical integration of the dimensionless footprint $F_{*}\left[X_{*}(x)\right]$ at discrete, incremental values of $x$, starting at a low value given by $-R_{k 4}$ and increasing until the value for $R$ is reached. Specifically, the value for dimensionless footprint at each value of $x$ is found by using equations (G-3) and (G-4a) with measured variables ( $\sigma_{w}$ and $u *$ ), known variables ( $z$ ), and estimated parameters [ $k_{i}$, given by (G-5a), (G-5b), (G-5c), and (G-5f)], as shown here:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{*}\left[X_{*}(x)\right]=k_{1}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{0.8} \frac{x}{z}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right]^{k_{2}} \exp \left\{k_{2}\left[1-\frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{0.8} \frac{x}{z}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right]\right\} \tag{G-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values for dimensionless footprint at every interval of $x$ may then be summed to estimate the value of the integral term in equation (G-9) for calculation of $p_{F}(R)$.

Upwind location of source/sink that contributes most to the measured flux Differentiating both sides of (G-4b) with respect to $x$ generates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f_{y}(x, z)}{d x}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{-a_{2}}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right) z^{-1} \frac{d F_{*}}{d X_{*}} \frac{d X_{*}}{d x} \tag{G-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The marginal stream-wise footprint $\left[f_{y}(x, z)\right]$ is a bell-shape function with respect to $x$, with a maximum occurring at $x_{\max }$, which follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d f_{y}(x, z)}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{\max }}=0 \tag{G-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For real-world cases in the field, the terms of measured variables in the right-hand-side of (G-11) will never equal zero, therefore, equation (G-12) can only be true when the last two derivative terms are evaluated as zero at $x_{\max }$, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d F_{*}}{d X_{*}} \frac{d X_{*}}{d x}\right|_{x=X_{\max }}=0 \tag{G-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be expanded to the following equation:

$$
\left.\frac{d F_{*}}{d X_{*}} \frac{d X_{*}}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{x \in *}}=\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{k_{3} z}\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{a_{4}}\left[\frac{X_{*}\left(x_{\max }\right)+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right]^{k_{2}-1} \exp \left[k_{2}\left(1-\frac{X_{*}\left(x_{\max }\right)+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)\right]\left[1-\frac{X_{*}\left(x_{\max }\right)+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right]=0
$$

This equation is then solved for $X_{*}\left(x_{\max }\right)>-k_{4}$, resulting in the upwind distance from the measurement station to the location that contributes most to the measured flux being expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\max }=\left(k_{3}-k_{4}\right) z\left(\frac{u_{*}}{\sigma_{w}}\right)^{0.8} \tag{G-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (G-6) and (G-9), the percentage of contribution to the measured flux within an upwind range of $x_{p}$, where subscript $p$ indicates percent and can have a value of 0 to 100 [e.g., $P_{F}\left(x_{10}\right)=10$ and $P_{F}\left(x_{90}\right)=90$ ], can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{F}\left(x_{p}\right)=100\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{0.8} \frac{1}{z}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right) \int_{-R_{k 4}}^{x_{p}} F_{*}\left[X_{*}(x)\right] d x \tag{G-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an increasing monotonic function of $x_{p}$. Given this, for a value of $p_{F}\left(x_{p}\right)$, only one value of $x_{p}$ can be found. The value of $x_{p}$ may be estimated by performing a numerical integration of $p_{F}(R)$ as described in the section above.
Using a subscript $i$ for $x$ indicates the sequential number of numerical integration steps, the two neighbor values of $p_{F}\left(x_{i}\right)$ less than the target value of $p$ (for example, $p=10$ ) and $p_{F}\left(x_{i+1}\right)$ greater than the target value can then be used to interpolate a more precise value of $x_{p}$ where $P_{F}\left(x_{p}\right)=p$.

## G.1.3 Programmatic Approach

## Roughness length

Applying model (G3) requires knowing the roughness length in order to calculate the parameters (G-5a) to (G-5c). The roughness length depends on surface type (for example, bare land, water surface, crops, grasses, trees, and shrubs) and is approximately $0.13 h_{c}$ for crops and grasses, where $h_{c}$ represents canopy height (Tanner and Pelton, 1960; Stanhill, 1969), $0.06 h_{c}$ for forests (Jarvis, et al., 1976; Raupach, et al., 1991), and $0.033 h_{r}$, where $h_{r}$ represents roughness element height for bare land (for example, sands) (Raupach, et al., 1991). A more accurate value of roughness length depends not only on the surface type but also on surface roughness texture (for a canopy, this texture can be described using vegetative surface area per unit volume). However, this texture, the canopy height, and the resulting roughness length may change quickly during certain periods of the growing season. This makes it impractical to input a single roughness length that will be valid for a long time periods.

Accordingly, the roughness length should be updated periodically, which is possible using the well-known equation of a wind profile under neutral conditions [equation (4.2) in Rosenberg et al. (1983)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}=\left(z_{m}-d\right) \exp \left(-\frac{k \sqrt{\bar{u}^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}}}{u_{*}}\right) \tag{G-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is von Karman constant ( 0.41 ) and $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ are the two orthogonal components of mean horizontal wind speeds, respectively. The roughness length is automatically updated by the datalogger at the end of each averaging interval as long as the surface layer stability is under neutral conditions, as defined by the strict criterion of $|z / L|<0.02$ (Hsieh et al. 2000). The updated roughness length will then be used for the calculation of parameters $k_{1}, k_{3}$, and $k_{4}$ using (G-5a) to (G-5c).

Until an averaging period occurs with neutral stability, an initial value for roughness length must be estimated and used. Accordingly, our programmatic approach is to require that the user select, among the options in a menu, the land type that most closely matches the area around their eddy covariance station. The program then uses this input to calculate an initial roughness length as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}=10^{0.997 \log _{10} h_{c}-0.883} \tag{G-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for crops and grasses (Szeicz et al 1969), $0.06 h_{c}$ for forests and shrubs, and 0.01 m for bare land and water surfaces (i.e., corresponds to the parameters for lowest roughness length in TABLE G-1). As soon as measured half-hourly data are available and the stability is under neutral conditions, this initial roughness length will be updated using (G-16).

## Calculation for parameters of $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{1}}$ to $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{4}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1} \approx \frac{0.175}{3.418-\ln z_{0}} \\
& k_{2} \approx 3.682540 \\
& k_{3} \approx 4.277\left(3.418-\ln z_{0}\right) \\
& k_{4} \approx 1.685\left(3.418-\ln z_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculation of planetary boundary-layer height

| TABLE G-2. Relationship of Obukhov length (L) to planetary boundary-layer height (h) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{L}(\mathbf{m})$ | -5 | -30 | -650 | $\infty$ | 1000 | 130 | 84 |
| $\boldsymbol{h}(\mathbf{m})$ | 2000 | 1500 | 1200 | 1000 | 800 | 250 | 200 |

The Obukhov length is calculated in eddy covariance flux measurements, and then it is used to find the PBL height using the data points in TABLE G-2 and linear interpolation.

Upwind location of source/sink that contributes most to the measured flux (maximum location)
The following equation is used in the datalogger:
$x_{\max }=\left(k_{3}-k_{4}\right) z\left(\frac{u_{*}}{\sigma_{w}}\right)^{0.8}$

## Upwind inflection points of footprint

The footprint is a bell-shaped function with one maximum point (turning point) and two inflection points. Because the footprint changes most in the segments from the left inflection point $\left(x_{I L}\right)$ to $x_{\max }$ and from $x_{\max }$ to the right inflection point ( $x_{I R}$ ), these inflection points may be used as boundaries for special numerical integration segments where the integration intervals are smaller to provide greater accuracy. Outside of these special segments, the integration intervals may be larger and thereby decrease the computation required. Specifically, the inflection point located at the left side of $x_{\max }\left(x_{I L}\right)$ is given as:

$$
x_{I L}=\frac{x_{\max }}{k_{3}-k_{4}}\left[k_{3}\left(\frac{\sqrt{k_{2}}-1}{\sqrt{k_{2}}}\right)-k_{4}\right]
$$

and the other is at the right side of $x_{\max }\left(x_{I R}\right)$ :

$$
x_{I R}=\frac{x_{\max }}{k_{3}-k_{4}}\left[k_{3}\left(\frac{\sqrt{k_{2}}+1}{\sqrt{k_{2}}}\right)-k_{4}\right]
$$

See the derivation of the inflection points in Appendix G.2, Derivation of Equations for Upwind Locations at Inflection Points of Footprint in Kljun et al (2004) (p. G-10).

## Percentage of measured scalar flux from the upwind rand of interest to measurements

As explained previously, the percentage of measured flux coming from an area of interest may be calculated with the datalogger using the following equation:

$$
p_{F}(R)=100 k_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{0.8} \frac{1}{z}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right) \int_{-R_{k 4}}^{R}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{0.8} \frac{x}{z}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right]^{k_{2}} \exp \left\{k_{2}\left[1-\frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{0.8} \frac{x}{z}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right]\right\} d x
$$

where the integral is evaluated using numerical integration.
Within the first integration segment (from $R_{k 4}$ to $x_{I L}$ ), the trapezoidal rule for numerical integration is used with the segment divided into $q$ intervals, where $q$ is an integer selected such that the resolution of the numerical integration
yields reasonable accuracy without a large burden in computation (for example, $q=20$ ).

Within the second segment $\left(x_{I L}\right.$ to $\left.x_{\max }\right)$, the trapezoidal rule is still used with an integration interval of $\left(x_{\text {max }}-x_{I L}\right) / q$.

The third segment also uses the trapezoidal rule and extends from $x_{\max }$ to $x_{I R}+$ $\left(x_{I R}-x_{\text {max }}\right)$ with an integration interval of $\left(x_{I R^{-}} x_{\max }\right) / q$. The fourth and final segment begins at the end of the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ segment. The fourth segment uses integration intervals with a size of $4 z$. Although these intervals may be significantly larger than the intervals used in the other segments, the integration accuracy should still be acceptable since Boole's rule, rather than the trapezoidal rule, is used within this segment and the slope of the footprint should be changing very slowly throughout the segment. The fourth segment initially extends to $200 z$ beyond the segment starting point or until the the cumulative flux reaches $90 \%$. If the distance of interest is not reached at the end of the fourth segment but is within another $100 z$, an additional 25 integration intervals are added to the segment, with the end point being the distance of interest. If the distance of interest is beyond another 100z, it is assumed that the cumulative footprint would be wholly contained within the distance of interest, thus $99 \%$ is reported as the cumulative flux within the distance of interest. If the cumulative flux never reaches $90 \%$, which is possible under certain conditions where the numerical integration is inadequate or the model doesn't truly reflect the real footprint distribution, the distance for $90 \%$ flux will be reported as $N A N$ (not a number).

Scaling the integration intervals within each segment provides a way to have higher integration resolution when the slope of footprint changes more dramatically while still limiting the size of the integration interval when the slope is not changing as much. This approach is successful in converging the percentage flux to a value of one if numerically integrated over the entire domain. An additional advantage of this approach is that because $x_{\max }$ must be used as a segment boundary, the peak value of the function is never missed, which contributes to greater accuracy of the overall numerical integration.

## Upwind range within which the sources/sinks contributes a given percent to measured flux

If this upwind range is denoted using $x_{p}$ where subscript $p$ indicates the given percent, it can be implicitly expressed in an equation as:

$$
p=100 k_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{0.8} \frac{1}{z}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right) \int_{-R_{k 4}}^{x_{p}}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{0.8} \frac{x}{z}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right]^{k_{2}} \exp \left\{k_{2}\left[1-\frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{0.8} \frac{x}{z}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right]\right\} d x
$$

Among the values of $p_{F}\left(x_{p}\right)$ that were found in the process of numerical integration described above, if the value in current iteration is just greater than the target percentage (for example, $p=40$ ), a more precise value of $x_{40}$ [for example, $p_{F}\left(x_{40}\right)=40$ ] can be interpolated using this value along with the value in previous iteration. In this way, $x_{40}, x_{55}$, and $x_{90}$ are found.

## G. 2 Derivation of Equations for Upwind Locations at Inflection Points of Footprint in Kljun et al. (2004)

As described above, integration segment boundaries should be determined by the upwind inflection points of the footprint. Since the footprint is known to be a bell-shaped function, there is one maximum point $\left(x_{\max }\right)$ and two inflection points ( $x_{I L}$ and $x_{I R}$ ) on both sides of the maximum, respectively. Accordingly, $x_{\max }$ may be found by setting the first order derivative of the footprint function to zero. Similarly, $x_{I L}$ and $x_{I R}$ may be found by setting the second order derivative to zero. The following section shows this derivation for these points.

## G.2.1 Footprint Model

The footprint in Kljun et al. (2004) is given in the form of a dimensionless footprint $\left[F_{*}\left(X_{*}\right)\right]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{*}\left(X_{*}\right)=k_{1}\left(\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)^{k_{2}} \exp \left[k_{2}\left(1-\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)\right] \tag{G-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ (subscript $i=1,2,3$, and 4 ) is a parameter, and $X_{*}$ is the dimensionless length. It is a combination of vertical wind standard deviation $\left(\sigma_{w}\right)$, friction velocity $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{*}\right)$, measurement aerodynamic height $\left(z=z_{m}-d\right)$, and the upwind distance $(x)$ from the measurement location given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{*}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{a_{1}} \frac{x}{z} \tag{G-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}$ is a parameter. The dimensionless footprint of $F_{*}$ is a combination of vertical wind standard deviation, friction velocity, planetary boundary-layer height ( $h$ ), measurement aerodynamic height, and stream-wise footprint integrated over cross-wind (i.e., marginal stream-wise footprint) at a field scale [ $f_{y}(x, z)$ in $\left.\mathrm{m}^{-1}\right]$, given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{*}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{a_{2}}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right)^{-1} z f_{y}(x, z) \tag{G-20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2}$ is a parameter.

## G.2.2 Upwind location of maximum footprint

Differentiating both sides of (G-20) with respect to $x$ generates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f_{y}(x, z)}{d x}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{-a_{2}}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right) z^{-1} \frac{d F_{*}}{d X_{*}} \frac{d X_{*}}{d x} \tag{G-21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative of dimensionless length with respective to $x$ is greater than zero and is independent of $x$; therefore:
$\frac{d f_{y}(x, z)}{d x}=0$ at $\frac{d F_{*}}{d X_{*}}=0$
which indicates $f_{y}(x, z)$ and $F_{*}$ reaches the maximum at the same upwind location $\left(x_{\max }\right)$. Therefore, the location of maximum footprint satisfies the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d F_{*}}{d X_{*}}\right|_{x=x_{\max }}=\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{k_{3}}\left[\frac{X_{*}\left(x_{\max }\right)+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right]^{k_{2}-1} \exp \left[k_{2}\left(1-\frac{X_{*}\left(x_{\max }\right)+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)\right]\left[1-\frac{X_{*}\left(x_{\max }\right)+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right]=0 \tag{G-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this equation for $X_{*}\left(x_{\max }\right)>-k_{4}$ generates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\max }=\left(k_{3}-k_{4}\right) z\left(\frac{u_{*}}{\sigma_{w}}\right)^{0.8} \tag{G-24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## G.2.3 Upwind locations of inflection points

Differentiating both sides of (G-21) with respect to $x$ generates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} f_{y}(x, z)}{d x^{2}}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{w}}{u_{*}}\right)^{-a_{2}}\left(1-\frac{z}{h}\right) z^{-1} \frac{d^{2} F_{*}}{d X_{*}^{2}}\left(\frac{d X_{*}}{d x}\right)^{2} \tag{G-25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative of dimensionless length with respective to $x$ is greater than zero and is independent of $x$; therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} f_{y}(x, z)}{d x^{2}}=0 \text { at } \frac{d^{2} F_{*}}{d X_{*}^{2}}=0 \tag{G-26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicates $f_{y}(x, z)$ and $F_{*}$ have inflection points at the same upwind locations, and therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} F_{*}}{d X_{*}^{2}}=0 \tag{G-27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be used to find the upwind locations of the inflection points in the footprint curve.

Referencing (G-23), the first order derivative of dimensionless footprint can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d F_{*}}{d X_{*}}=\frac{k_{2}}{k_{3}}\left[\left(\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)^{-1}-1\right] F_{*} \tag{G-28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this equation, the derivative at the second order can be derived as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2} F_{*}}{d X_{*}^{2}} & =\frac{k_{2}}{k_{3}}\left[-\frac{1}{k_{3}}\left(\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)^{-2} F_{*}+\left(\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)^{-1} \frac{d F_{*}}{d X_{*}}-\frac{d F_{*}}{d X_{*}}\right] \\
& =-\frac{k_{2}}{k_{3}^{2}}\left(\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)^{-2} F_{*}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{k_{3}^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)^{-1}-1\right]^{2} F_{*}  \tag{G-29}\\
& =-\frac{k_{2}}{k_{3}^{2}} F_{*}\left\{\left(\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)^{-2}+k_{2}\left[\left(\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)^{-1}-1\right]^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

In this equation, the term ahead of the curly bracket is not zero. Therefore, in order to satisfy (G-27), the term inside the curly bracket must be zero. If assuming:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left(\frac{X_{*}+k_{4}}{k_{3}}\right)^{-1} \tag{G-30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term inside the curly bracket satisfies (G-27) in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}+k_{2}[X-1]^{2}=0 \tag{G-31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this equation, substituting (G-19) into $X$, and referencing (G-24) leads to an equation for the left inflection point:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{I L}=\frac{x_{\max }}{k_{3}-k_{4}}\left[k_{3}\left(\frac{\sqrt{k_{2}}-1}{\sqrt{k_{2}}}\right)-k_{4}\right] \tag{G-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the equation for the right inflection point is obtained by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{I R}=\frac{x_{\max }}{k_{3}-k_{4}}\left[k_{3}\left(\frac{\sqrt{k_{2}}+1}{\sqrt{k_{2}}}\right)-k_{4}\right] \tag{G-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

## G. 3 Kormann and Meixner (2001) Analytical Footprint Equations

## G.3.1 Footprint

As an alternative to the analytical approach used by Kljun, et al., (2004), which is limited by the conditions presented in (G-2), the footprint was derived by Kormann and Meixner (2001) based on Van Ulden (1978) as [(see the detailed
derivations in Appendix G.4, Derivation of Analytical Footprint in Kormann and Meixner (2001) (p. G-19)]:

1. Two dimensional (2D) marginal stream-wise footprint

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y}(x, z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \xi^{\mu}\left(\frac{z^{m+1}}{x^{\mu+1}}\right) \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right) \tag{G-34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r, \mu$, and $\xi$ are composites of other variables which have been combined for succinctness in the expression. Each of these variables is defined below.
$r$ (shape factor):
$r=2+m-n$
where $m$ is the exponent in a vertical profile of horizontal wind [see (G74) in Appendix G.4, Derivation of Analytical Footprint in Kormann and Meixner (2001) (p. G-19)], and $n$ is the exponent in a vertical profile of eddy diffusivity [see (G-63) in Appendix G.4, Derivation of Analytical Footprint in Kormann and Meixner (2001) (p. G-19).
$\mu:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{m+1}{r} \tag{G-36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\xi:
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{U}{\kappa r^{2}} \tag{G-37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is the wind constant in a vertical profile of horizontal wind [see (G) in74 Appendix G.4, Derivation of Analytical Footprint in Kormann and Meixner (2001) (p. G-19)], and $\kappa$ is the constant in power-law profile of the eddy diffusivity.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\frac{k u_{*} z^{1-n}}{\varphi_{c}(z / L)} \tag{G-38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculations for the variables: $m, n, U$, and $\kappa$ will be given in the following sections.

## G.3.2 Programmatic Approach

The 3D footprint $[f(x, y, z)$ ] can be expressed in terms of a marginal streamwise footprint and a down-wind probability distribution of scalar concentrations from upwind sources in a domain of $x$ and $y[c(x, y)]$ [see model (9) in Horst and Weil, (1992) and model (8) in Kormann and Meixner, (2001)], given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y, z)=c(x, y) f_{y}(x, z) \tag{G-39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x, y)=\frac{\bar{u}(x)}{\sqrt{2 \pi} x \sigma_{y}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y \bar{u}(x)}{x \sigma_{y}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{G-40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $\sigma_{y}$ is the standard deviation of crosswind scalar concentration and $\bar{u}(x)$ is the effective velocity of the scalar plume. This equation is derived from model (10) in Horst and Weil (1992) and model (9) in Kormann and Meixner (2001) after the simple scalar dispersion $(\sigma)$ in the model is replaced with a detailed descriptive dispersion $\left[\sigma_{y} x / \bar{u}(x)\right.$ ], which depends on distance from the station $(x)$ and effective velocity of the scalar plume $[\bar{u}(x)]$. Substituting (G-34) and (G-40) into (G-39) leads to the threedimensional footprint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y, z)=\frac{\bar{u}(x)}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{y} \Gamma(\mu)} \xi^{\mu}\left(\frac{z^{m+1}}{x^{\mu+2}}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{1}{x^{2}}\left(\xi x z^{r}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y \bar{u}(x)}{\sigma_{y}}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \tag{G-41a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(x)=U \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)}\left(\frac{\kappa r^{2}}{U} x\right)^{\frac{m}{r}} \tag{G-41b}
\end{equation*}
$$

See (G-82) to (G-92) in Appendix G.4, Derivation of Analytical Footprint in Kormann and Meixner (2001) (p. G-19), for associated derivations.

## G.3.3 Application of analytical footprint

Unlike the dimensionless footprint in Kljun et al., (2004), the footprint developed by Kormann and Meixner, (2001) explicitly gives the analytical marginal (cross-wind integrated) stream-wise footprint (G-34) and threedimensional footprint (G-41a and G-41b). Both can be directly used to calculate the footprint characteristics.

Percentage of measured scalar flux from the upwind range of interest The percentage of contribution from these sources/sinks, within the upwind range of interest, to the measured flux $\left[p_{F}(R)\right]$ is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{F}(R) & =100 \int_{0}^{R} f_{y}(x, z) d x \\
& =100 \frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} \lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \int_{0+\Delta x}^{R} \frac{1}{x^{\mu+1}} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right) d x \tag{G-42}
\end{align*}
$$

where the Gamma function of $\mu$ can be accurately approximated using Memes (2010):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\mu) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{\mu}}\left[\frac{1}{e}\left(\mu+\frac{1}{12 \mu-\frac{1}{10 \mu}}\right)\right]^{\mu} \tag{G-43}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Location of source/sink that contributes most to the measured flux

1. Approach using 2D marginal stream-wise footprint $\left[f_{\mathrm{y}}(x, z)\right]$

Differentiating (G-34) with respect to $x$ generates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d f_{y}(x, z)}{d x} & =\frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} \frac{d}{d x}\left[\frac{1}{x^{\mu+1}} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\xi)} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)\left\{\frac{-(\mu+1)}{x^{\mu+2}}+\frac{\xi z^{r}}{x^{\mu+3}}\right\}  \tag{G-44}\\
& =\frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)\left[\frac{\xi z^{r}-x(\mu+1)}{x^{\mu+3}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The marginal stream-wise footprint $\left[f_{y}(x, z)\right]$ is a bell-shape function with respect to $x$, with the maximum found at $x_{\max }$, which follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d f_{y}(x, z)}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{\max }}=0 \tag{G-45}
\end{equation*}
$$

All terms except for the term in the square bracket in (G-44) are greater than zero for any real-world case in the field, and therefore setting that term equal to zero results in the solution for $x_{\text {max }}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\max }=\frac{\xi z^{r}}{\mu+1} \tag{G-46}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Approach using 3 D footprint $[f(x, y, z)]$

Differentiating (G-41a) with respect to $x$ at $y=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d f(x, 0, z)}{d x} & =\frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{y} \Gamma(\mu)} \frac{d}{d x}\left[\frac{\bar{u}(x)}{x^{\mu+2}} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{y} \Gamma(\mu)} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)\left\{\frac{1}{x^{\mu+2}} \frac{d \bar{u}(x)}{d x}-\frac{(\mu+2) \bar{u}(x)}{x^{\mu+3}}+\frac{\xi z^{r} \bar{u}(x)}{x^{\mu+4}}\right\} \tag{G-47a}
\end{align*}
$$

The footprint $[f(x, 0, z)]$ is a bell-shape function along $x$, with the maximum found at $x_{\max }$, which follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d f(x, 0, z)}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{\max }}=0 \tag{G-47b}
\end{equation*}
$$

To satisfy this equation, the term inside curly bracket in (G-47a) must be zero, or:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{x^{\mu+2}} \frac{d \bar{u}(x)}{d x}-\frac{(\mu+2) \bar{u}(x)}{x^{\mu+3}}+\frac{\xi z^{r} \bar{u}(x)}{x^{\mu+4}}\right]_{x=x_{\max }}=0 \tag{G-47c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (G-41b), the derivative term is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{u}(x)}{d x}=U \frac{m \Gamma(\mu)}{r \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)}\left(\frac{\kappa r^{2}}{U}\right)^{\frac{m}{r}} x^{\frac{m}{r}-1} \tag{G-47d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this equation along with (G-41b) into (G-47c) leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{m x^{\frac{m}{r}-1}}{r x^{\mu+2}}-\frac{(\mu+2) x^{\frac{m}{r}}}{x^{\mu+3}}+\frac{\xi z^{r} x^{\frac{m}{r}}}{x^{\mu+4}}\right]_{x=x_{\max }}=0 \tag{G-47e}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $x \neq 0$, it can be simplified as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[m x-r(\mu+2) x+r \xi z^{r}\right]_{x=x_{\max }}=0 \tag{G-47f}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this equation is the location of source/sink that contributes most to the measured flux and is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\max }=\frac{r \xi z^{r}}{2 r+1} \tag{G-48}
\end{equation*}
$$

For practical purposes of handling the computation required for the numerical integration, we use $x_{\max }$ from the 2D footprint approach, although, admittedly, in some cases the solution from the 3D footprint may be preferable since the

3D footprint uses the detailed descriptive dispersion [see page 215 in Kormann and Meixner (2001)].

Upwind range within which the sources/sinks contribute 10 or 90 percent to measured flux. According to (G-42), the percentage of contribution to the measured flux from the upwind range of $x_{p}$, where subscript p indicates percent of 0 to 100 , and can be expressed as:
$p_{F}\left(x_{p}\right)=100 \frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} \lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \int_{0+\Delta x}^{x_{p}} \frac{1}{x^{\mu+1}} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right) d x$

This is an increasing monotonic function of $x_{p}$; therefore, for a given value of $p_{F}\left(x_{p}\right)$, there is a unique value of $x_{p}$ that can be found. Similar to the method described for applying the Kljun et al (2004) model, the value of $x_{p}$ may be estimated by performing a numerical integration for intervals of $x$ and then interpolating values of $P_{F}\left(x_{p}\right)$ to find the corresponding value of $x_{p}$ for $p_{F}\left(x_{p}\right)=p$ where $p=40,55$, or 90 .

## G.3.4 Programmatic Approach

## Calculate the individual variables

Find the exponent of vertical profile of eddy diffusivity [see (G-65) Appendix G.4, Derivation of Analytical Footprint in Kormann and Meixner (2001) (p. G-19)]:

$$
n= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{1+5 z / L} & z / L>0  \tag{G-50}\\ \frac{1-24 z / L}{1-16 z / L} & z / L \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

Find the exponent of vertical profile of horizontal wind [see (G-76) Appendix G.4, Derivation of Analytical Footprint in Kormann and Meixner (2001) (p. G-19)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{u_{*}}{k \sqrt{\bar{u}^{2}(z)+\bar{v}^{2}(z)}} \phi_{m}\left(\frac{z}{L}\right) \tag{G-51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{m}(z / L)$ is wind shear, given by:

$$
\phi_{m}\left(\frac{z}{L}\right)= \begin{cases}1+5 z / L & z / L>0  \tag{G-52}\\ (1-16 z / L)^{-\frac{1}{4}} & z / L \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

Find the wind constant [see (G-74) in Appendix G.4, Derivation of Analytical Footprint in Kormann and Meixner (2001) (p. G-19)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{\sqrt{\bar{u}^{2}(z)+\bar{v}^{2}(z)}}{z^{m}} \tag{G-53}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Composite variables

Calculate the shape factor and other composite variables:
$r=2+m-n$
$\mu$ :
$\mu=\frac{m+1}{r}$
$\xi:$
$\xi=\frac{U}{\kappa r^{2}}$

## Calculate the gamma function of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ [see Nemes (2010), verified as accurate]

$\Gamma(\mu) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{\mu}}\left[\frac{1}{e}\left(\mu+\frac{1}{12 \mu-\frac{1}{10 \mu}}\right)\right]^{\mu}$

## Footprint characteristics

Percent of measured scalar flux from upwind range of interest to measurements:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{F}(R)=100 \frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} \lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \int_{0+\Delta x}^{R} \frac{1}{x^{\mu+1}} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right) d x \tag{G-58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the description of applying the Kljun et al (2004) model, the integral is evaluated by the datalogger using four integration segments, each containing scaled integration intervals so as to increase resolution when the slope of the function is changing more and decrease computation when the slope is changing less. The segment boundaries and interval sizes are the same as described previously, except that when applying the Kormann and Mexiner (2001) model, zero instead of $R_{k 4}$ is used as the first segment's lower boundary.

Location of source/sink that contributes most to the measured flux:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\max }=\frac{\xi z^{\prime}}{\mu+1} \tag{G-59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upwind inflection location of footprint, where the Kormann and Meixner (2001) model is a bell-shaped function, there is one maximum point (turning point) and two inflection points. As described previously, these points should be used as boundaries for the numerical integration segments. One of the
inflection points $\left(x_{I L}\right)$ is located at the left side of the maximum point and given by:

$$
x_{I L}=x_{\max }\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu+2}}\right)
$$

and the other is at the right side $\left(x_{I R}\right)$ and given by:
$x_{I L}=x_{\max }\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu+2}}\right)$
See the derivation of these inflection points in Appendix G.5, Upwind Locations at Inflection Points of Footprint in Kormann and Meixner (2001) (p. G-28).

Upwind range within which the sources/sinks contributes a given percent to measured flux:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=100 \frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} \lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \int_{0+\Delta x}^{x_{p}} \frac{1}{x^{\mu+1}} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right) d x \tag{G-60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because an analytical solution for $x_{\mathrm{p}}$ is not available, the value of $x_{\mathrm{p}}$ can be interpolated in the process of numerical integration of (G-58) when $p$ is between two consecutive integrated values. In this way, $x_{40}, x_{55}$, and $x_{90}$ are found.

## G. 4 Derivation of Analytical Footprint in Kormann and Meixner (2001)

## G.4.1 Model Derivation

Following Horst and Weil (1992), the probability distribution of a scalar concentration downwind of a sink/source in three dimensions may be described using a function $c(x, y, z)$, where $x$ and $y$ are horizontal spatial variables with $x$-axis following mean wind direction, and $z$ is a vertical spatial variable. The function is approximated using two independent probability distributions of downwind scalar concentration in two dimensions and the vertical profile of horizontal wind speed $[u(z)]$, given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x, y, z)=\frac{c(x, y) c(x, z)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} u(z) c(x, z) d z}=\frac{c(x, y) c(x, z)}{\bar{u}(x)} \tag{G-61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{u}(x)$ is termed as a plume effective velocity. In probability theory, integration of $c(x, y, z)$ over the entire domain of $y$ is the marginal (crosswind integrated) probability distribution of the downwind scalar concentration in $x$ and $z\left[c_{y}(x, z)\right]$. Applying this integration to both sides of (G-61) generates:
$c_{y}(x, z)=\frac{c(x, z)}{\bar{u}(x)}$
According to K-theory, the product of the vertical profile of eddy diffusivity [ $K(z)]$ and the vertical scalar concentration gradient is the scalar flux. Therefore, the cross-wind integrated footprint $\left[f_{y}(x, z)\right.$, i.e. the cross-wind integrated probability distribution of flux] is given as:
$f_{y}(x, z)=-K(z) \frac{d c_{y}(x, z)}{d z}$
To analytically express $f_{y}(x, z)$ for real-world applications, $K(z)$ and $c_{y}(x, z)$ must be analytically expressed in terms of measured variables.

## G.4.2 Analytical expression: Vertical profile of eddy diffusivity

The vertical profile of eddy diffusivity can be described as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(z)=k z^{n} \tag{G-64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the von Karman constant $(0.41)$, and $n$ is the power exponent depending on the surface layer stability, given by (Huang 1979):

$$
n=\frac{z}{K(z)} \frac{d K(z)}{d z}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{1+5 z / L} & z / L>0  \tag{G-65}\\ \frac{1-24 z / L}{1-16 z / L} & z / L \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

Equations (G-64) and (G-65) express the vertical profile of eddy diffusivity in terms of measured variables.

## G.4.3 Analytical expression: Crosswind integrated scalar concentration distribution

The most common analytic expression for the cross-wind integrated scalar concentration distribution $\left[c_{y}(x, z)\right]$ is a Gaussian plume model (van Ulden 1978, Horst and Weil 1992), given by:
$c_{y}(x, z)=\frac{A}{\bar{u}(x) \bar{z}(x)} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right]$
where $A, B$, and $r$ are parameters. $\bar{u}(x)$ is the effective speed of plume advection along the streamwise wind vector, implicitly defined in (G-61), given explicitly by:
$\bar{u}(x)=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} u(z) c_{y}(x, z) d z}{\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z}$
and $\bar{z}(x)$ is the effective height of plume advection along the wind stream, defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{z}(x)=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} z c_{y}(x, z) d z}{\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z} \tag{G-68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining work is to find parameters of $A, B$, and $r$, and analytically express $\bar{u}(x)$ and $\bar{z}(x)$ in terms of measured variables.

## Parameter estimation: $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$

Examination of equation (G-62) reveals that because $c(x, z)$ is the probability distribution of scalar concentration in two dimensions, we effectively have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z=\frac{1}{\bar{u}(x)} \tag{G-69}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{\bar{z}(x)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d z}=\frac{B}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)}=\frac{B}{\frac{1}{r} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)} \tag{G-70}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to parameter $B$ is needed. Submitting (G-66) into (G-68) generates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{z}(x)=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} z \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)} \tag{G-71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying $B / \bar{z}(x)$ to both sides of this equation leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)} \tag{G-72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (G-70) and (G-72) give:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\frac{r \Gamma(2 / r)}{[\Gamma(1 / r)]^{2}} \\
& B=\frac{\Gamma(2 / r)}{\Gamma(1 / r)} \tag{G-73}
\end{align*}
$$

## Parameter estimation: $r$

This parameter is a shape factor of the plume, given by van Ulden (1978):
$r=2+m-n$
where $n$ is the power exponent of the vertical profile of eddy diffusivity [see (G-64) and (G-65)], and $m$ is the exponent of the vertical profile of horizontal wind, given by:
$u(z)=U z^{m}$
which depends on the surface layer stability, given by [page 16, Kaimal and Finnigan (1994)]:
$m=\frac{z}{u(z)} \frac{d u(z)}{d z}=\frac{u_{*}}{k u(z)} \phi_{m}\left(\frac{z}{L}\right)$
where $\phi_{m}(z / L)$ is wind shear and given by:
$\phi_{m}\left(\frac{z}{L}\right)= \begin{cases}1+5 z / L & z / L>0 \\ (1-16 z / L)^{-\frac{1}{4}} & z / L \leq 0\end{cases}$
The other parameter $U$ in (G-75) is a wind constant and can be calculated using measured $\bar{u}(z)$ and calculated $m$ :
$U=\frac{u(z)}{z^{m}}=\frac{\sqrt{\bar{u}_{x}(z)+\bar{u}_{y}(z)}}{z^{m}}$

## Analytical expression: Effective height of plume advection [ $\bar{z}(x)$ ]

Examining (G-71) reveals that the numerator can be analytically evaluated only if the term $B / \bar{z}(x)$ is multiplied to both sides of the equation. As a result, it becomes a Gamma function; therefore, the effective speed of plume advection cannot be analytically expressed in terms of measured variables using its definition in (G-68). Alternatively, differentiating both sides of (G-68) with respect to $x$ generates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \bar{z}(x)}{d x} & =\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} z \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial x} d z}{\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z}-\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} z c_{y}(x, z) d z}{\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial x} d z}{\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z} \\
& =\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty}[z-\bar{z}(x)] \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial x} d z}{\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z} \tag{G-79}
\end{align*}
$$

Neglecting the stream-wise eddy diffusion, the change in concentration along the wind direction (derivative term) must cause a change in flux due to the continuity in air mass (van Ulden 1978, Horst and Weil 1992), given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z) \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial x}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[-K(z) \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial z}\right] \tag{G-80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Submitting (G-64) and (G-75) into this equation generates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial x}=\frac{k}{U} z^{-m} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[z^{n} \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial z}\right] \tag{G-81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Submitting this equation into (G-79) generates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{z}(x)}{d x}=\frac{k}{U} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{1-m} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^{n} \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial z} d z-\bar{z}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{-m} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^{n} \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial z} d z\right] \tag{G-82}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation can then be evaluated by substituting (G-66) into the three integration terms on the right-hand side. Performing these three substitutions at once becomes overly complex, so substitution and simplication of each integral term is presented separately here:

## Integration term in the denominator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z=\frac{A}{\bar{z}(x) \bar{u}(x)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d z=\frac{A}{B r \bar{u}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \tag{G-83}
\end{equation*}
$$

## The first integration term in the numerator

Assuming that the gradient of scalar concentration at the surface $(z=0)$ and beyond the top of boundary-layer $(z \rightarrow \infty)$ is zero and the concentration beyond the top of boundary-layer is zero, this integration can be evaluated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{1-m} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^{n} \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial z} d z= & \left.z^{1-m+n} \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial z}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}-\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{n} \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial z} d z^{1-m} \\
& =-(1-m)\left[\left.z^{n-m} c_{y}(x, z)\right|_{0} ^{\infty}-\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z^{n-m}\right] \\
& =(1-m)(n-m) \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{n-m-1} \frac{A}{\bar{z}(x) \bar{u}(x)} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d z \\
& =\frac{A(1-m)(n-m) \bar{z}^{n-m-1}(x)}{B^{n-m} \bar{u}(x)} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{n-m-1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right) \\
& =\frac{A(1-m)(n-m) \bar{z}^{n-m-1}(x)}{r B^{n-m} \bar{u}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-m}{r}\right) \\
& =\frac{A(1-m) \bar{z}^{n-m-1}(x)}{B^{n-m} \bar{u}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{r}\right) \tag{G-84}
\end{align*}
$$

The second integration term in the numerator
Using the same derivation approach, the second integration terms in the numerator can be evaluated as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{-m} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^{\frac{\partial}{n}} \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial z} d z=z^{-m+n} & \left.\frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial z}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}-\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{z} \frac{\partial c_{y}(x, z)}{\partial z} d z^{-m} \\
& =m\left[\left.z^{n-m-1} c_{y}(x, z)\right|_{0} ^{\infty}-\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z^{n-m-1}\right] \\
& =-m(n-m-1) \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{n-m-2} \frac{A}{\bar{z}(x) \bar{u}(x)} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d z \\
& =-\frac{A m(n-m-1) \bar{z}^{n-m-2}(x)}{B^{n-m-1} \bar{u}(x)} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{n-m-2} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right) \\
& =-\frac{A m(n-m-1) \bar{z}^{n-m-2}(x)}{r B^{n-m-1} \bar{u}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-m-1}{r}\right) \\
& =-\frac{A m \bar{z}^{n-m-2}(x)}{B^{n-m} \bar{u}(x)} B \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)  \tag{G-85}\\
& =-\frac{A m \bar{z}^{n-m-2}(x)}{B^{n-m} \bar{u}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the evaluated terms in (G-83), (G-84), and (G-85) into (G-82) expresses the derivative of the effective height with respective to $x$ as a fundamental differential equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \bar{z}(x)}{d x} & =\frac{\kappa}{U} \frac{1}{\frac{A}{B r \bar{u}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)}\left\{\frac{A(1-m) \bar{z}^{n-m-1}(x)}{B^{n-m} \bar{u}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)-\bar{z}(x)\left[-\frac{A m \bar{z}^{n-m-2}(x)}{B^{n-m} \bar{u}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{\kappa}{U} r B \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)} \frac{\bar{z}^{n-m-1}(x)}{B^{n-m}} \\
& =\frac{\kappa}{U} r B^{2} \frac{\bar{z}^{n-m-1}(x)}{B^{n-m}}  \tag{G-86}\\
& =\frac{\kappa}{U} r B^{2+m-n-z^{\prime}} \bar{z}^{1-(2+m-n-n)}(x) \\
& =\frac{\kappa}{U} r B^{\prime} \bar{z}^{1-r}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

This fundamental equation can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int r \bar{z}^{r-1}(x) d \bar{z}(x)=\frac{\kappa}{U} r^{2} B^{r} \int d x \tag{G-87}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{z}(x)=B\left(\frac{\kappa r^{2}}{U} x\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \tag{G-88}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Analytical expression: Effective speed of plume advection $[\bar{u}(x)]$

Substituting (G-75) into (G-67) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(x)=\frac{U \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{m} c_{y}(x, z) d z}{\int_{0}^{\infty} c_{y}(x, z) d z} \tag{G-89}
\end{equation*}
$$

The denominator was evaluated in (G-83) and the integration term in the numerator can be evaluated as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{m} c_{y}(x, z) d z= & \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{m} \frac{A}{\bar{z}(x) \bar{u}(x)} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d z \\
& =\frac{A \bar{z}^{m}(x)}{B^{m+1} \bar{u}(x)} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{m} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)^{r}\right] d\left(\frac{B z}{\bar{z}(x)}\right)  \tag{G-90}\\
& =\frac{A \bar{z}^{m}(x)}{r B^{n-m} \bar{u}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{r}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting this equation along with (G-83) into (G-89) generates:
$\bar{u}(x)=\frac{U \frac{A \bar{z}^{m}(x)}{r B^{m+1} \bar{u}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{r}\right)}{\frac{A}{r B \bar{u}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)}=U \frac{\bar{z}^{m}(x)}{B^{m}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)}$

Substituting the analytical expression of effective height of plume advection [ $\bar{z}(x)]$ into this equation generates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(x)=U \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)}\left(\frac{\kappa r^{2}}{U} x\right)^{\frac{m}{r}} \tag{G-92}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting the solved parameters of $A$ and $B$ (G-73), analytically expressed effective height of plume advection [ $\bar{u}(x)$, see (G-88)], and analytical expressed effective speed of plume advection $[\bar{z}(x)$, see (G-92)] into (G-66), finally, the cross-wind integrated scalar concentration distribution can be analytically expressed in terms of spatial variables ( $x$ and $z$ ), the constant in the power-law profile of the eddy diffusivity ( $\kappa$ ), and calculated variables from measurements ( $m, n$, and $U$ ). That is:

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{y}(x, z) & =\frac{r \frac{\Gamma(2 / r)}{\Gamma^{2}(1 / r)}}{U \frac{\Gamma[(m+1) / r]}{\Gamma(1 / r)}\left(\frac{\kappa r^{2}}{U} x\right)^{\frac{m}{r}} B\left(\frac{\kappa r^{2}}{U} x\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{B z}{B\left(\frac{\kappa r^{2}}{U} x\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}}\right)^{r}\right]  \tag{G-93}\\
& =\frac{r}{U \Gamma[(m+1) / r]}\left(\frac{U}{\kappa r^{2} x}\right)^{\frac{m+1}{r}} \exp \left[-\frac{U z^{r}}{\kappa r^{2} x}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

More succinctly, given that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi=\frac{U}{\kappa r^{2}}  \tag{G-94}\\
& \mu=\frac{m+1}{r}
\end{align*}
$$

the cross-wind integrated scalar concentration distribution, in an analytical form, can be presented as:
$c_{y}(x, z)=\frac{r}{U \Gamma(\mu)}\left(\xi \frac{1}{x}\right)^{\mu} \exp \left[-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right]$

Examining equation (G-63) reveals that the cross-wind integrated footprint can be thus derived as:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{y}(x, z) & =-K(z) \frac{d c_{y}(x, z)}{d z} \\
& =-\kappa z^{n} \frac{r}{U \Gamma(\mu)}\left(\xi \frac{1}{x}\right) \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)\left(-\xi \frac{r z^{r-1}}{x}\right)  \tag{G-95}\\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \xi^{\mu}\left(\frac{z^{m+1}}{x^{\mu+1}}\right) \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

## G. 5 Upwind Locations at Inflection Points of Footprint in Kormann and Meixner (2001)

As described previously, integration segment boundaries should be determined by the upwind inflection points of the footprint. Since the footprint is known to be a bell-shaped function, there is one maximum point $\left(x_{\max }\right)$ and two inflection points ( $x_{I L}$ and $x_{I R}$ ) on both sides of the maximum, respectively. Accordingly,
$x_{\max }$ may be found by setting the first order derivative of the footprint function to zero. Similarly, $x_{I L}$ and $x_{I R}$ may be found by setting the second order derivative to zero. The following section shows derivations for these points.

## G.5.1 Footprint Model

The cross-wind integrated footprint $\left[f_{y}(x, z)\right]$ in Kormann and Meixner (2001) is given by:
$f_{y}(x, z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \xi^{\mu}\left(\frac{z^{m+1}}{x^{\mu+1}}\right) \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)$
where $x$ is the upwind distance to the measurement station; $z$ is measurement aerodynamic height; $m$ is the exponent of the vertical profile of horizontal wind velocity; and $r, \mu$, and $\xi$ are composite variables, given by:

## Shape factor:

$r=2+m-n$
where $n$ the exponent of vertical profile of eddy diffusivity.
$\mu:$
$\mu=\frac{m+1}{r}$
$\xi:$
$\xi=\frac{U}{\kappa r^{2}}$
where $U$ is the wind constant.

## G.5.2 Upwind Location of Maximum Footprint

Differentiating (G-96) with respect to $x$ generates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d f_{y}(x, z)}{d x} & =\frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} \frac{d}{d x}\left[\frac{1}{x^{\mu+1}} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)\left[\frac{\xi z^{r}-x(\mu+1)}{x^{\mu+3}}\right] \tag{G-100}
\end{align*}
$$

The marginal stream-wise footprint $\left[f_{y}(x, z)\right]$ is a bell-shape function with respect to $x$, with the maximum found at $x_{\max }$, which follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d f_{y}(x, z)}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{\max }}=0 \tag{G-101}
\end{equation*}
$$

All terms except for the term in the square bracket in (G-100) are greater than zero for any real-world case in the field, and therefore setting that term equal to zero results in the solution for $x_{\max }$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\max }=\frac{\xi z^{r}}{\mu+1} \tag{G-102}
\end{equation*}
$$

## G.5.3 Upwind Location of Inflection Points in Footprint Curve

Differentiating both sides of (G-100) with respect to $x$ generates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2} f_{y}(x, z)}{d x^{2}} & =\frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} \frac{d}{d x}\left\{\exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)\left[\frac{\xi z^{r}-x(\mu+1)}{x^{\mu+3}}\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{\xi^{\mu} z^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} \exp \left(-\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x}\right)\left\{\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x^{2}}\left[\frac{\xi z^{r}-x(\mu+1)}{x^{\mu+3}}\right]-\frac{(\mu+3) \xi z^{r}}{x^{\mu+4}}+\frac{(\mu+1)(\mu+2)}{x^{\mu+3}}\right\} \tag{G-103}
\end{align*}
$$

Because the footprint is a bell-shaped function, it has two inflection points. One is to the left of $x_{\max }\left(x_{I L}\right)$, and the other is to the right of $x_{\max }\left(x_{I R}\right.$. Equation (G-103) must equal zero at the inflection points. In this equation, the term ahead of the curly bracket is never zero. Therefore we can simply set the terms in the curly brackets to zero to find the inflection points:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \frac{z^{r}}{x^{2}}\left[\frac{\xi z^{r}-x(\mu+1)}{x^{\mu+3}}\right]-\frac{(\mu+3) \xi z^{r}}{x^{\mu+4}}+\frac{(\mu+1)(\mu+2)}{x^{\mu+3}}=0 \tag{G-104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging the terms in this equation yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{2} z^{2 r}-2(\mu+2) \xi z^{r} x+(\mu+1)(\mu+2) x^{2}=0 \tag{G-105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the quadratic equation results in:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{\xi z^{r}(\mu+2 \pm \sqrt{\mu+2})}{(\mu+1)(\mu+2)} \tag{G-106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $x_{\max }$ [see equation (G-102)] into (G-106) and then taking the point to the left results in:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{I L}=x_{\max }\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu+2}}\right) \tag{G-107}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking the point to the right gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{I R}=x_{\max }\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu+2}}\right) \tag{G-108}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Appendix H. Surface Energy Flux

Calculation of the soil surface heat flux is done only if all necessary measurements are available, which includes soil heat flux, soil temperature, and soil volumetric water content.

The soil surface heat flux, $G$, typically reported in units $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$, is found by summing the average soil heat flux measured at some depth and the change in heat storage in the layer of soil above that depth over some interval of time:

$$
G=G_{\text {Depth }}+\Delta_{\text {storage }}
$$

$G_{\text {Depth }}$ in the program is found by averaging the heat flux measurements from soil heat flux plates over the averaging interval, e.g., 30 minutes. If there are multiple heat flux plates, an average of the temporal averages of each plate is used.

In the datalogger program calculation of the change in storage is done as follows:

$$
\Delta_{\text {storage }}=\frac{\left[c_{s} \rho_{s}\left(T_{\text {soil }, f}-T_{\text {soil }, i}\right)+c_{w} \rho_{w}\left(T_{\text {soil,f }} q_{v, f}-T_{\text {soil, } i} q_{v, i}\right)\right] D}{\Delta t}
$$

where: $\quad c_{s}=$ the specific heat of dry mineral soil at the site in $\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. This value is among the station configuration variables (see $C_{-} d r y_{-}$soil in TABLE 4-1) entered by the user. If no value was entered, a default of 870 is used.
$\rho_{s}=$ the soil bulk density at the site in $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ and is entered by the user (see Bulk Density in TABLE 4-1). If no value was entered, a default of 1300 is used.
$T_{\text {soil,f }}=$ the soil temperature averaged over the last minute of the current flux averaging interval.
$T_{\text {soil, } i}=$ the soil temperature averaged over the last minute of the previous flux averaging interval.
$c_{w}=$ the specific heat of liquid water in $\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. A value of 4210 is used, which is the specific heat of liquid water at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\rho_{w}=$ the density of liquid water in $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$. A value of 1000 is used.
$q_{v, f}=$ the volumetric water content averaged over the last minute of the current flux averaging interval (e.g., 30 minutes).
$q_{v, i}=$ the volumetric water content averaged over the last minute of the previous flux averaging interval.
$D=$ the depth in $m$ below the surface at which the soil heat flux plates are buried and is entered by the user (see HFP Depth in TABLE 4-1). If no value was entered, a default of 0.08 is used.
$\Delta t=$ the length of time of the flux averaging interval (e.g., 30 minutes.

NOTE

NOTE

NOTE If a CS616 is used, outputs for water content are corrected for temperature as detailed in the CS616 manual. The temperature measurement from the TCAV sensor assumed to be closest to the CS616 is used for this correction.

It is assumed that soil sensors are installed in a manner similar to that presented in the figure below. In many applications, the setup shown in the figure (two heat flux plates, one TCAV, and one CS616) is replicated for better spatial averaging at the site. Accordingly, the program supports up to four soil heat flux plates (HFP01 or HFP01SC), two soil temperature sensors (TCAV or CS65X), and two water content sensors (CS616 or CS65X).


Finally, if a measurement of average net radiation over the flux averaging interval is available, energy closure may be calculated as follows:

$$
E_{\text {closure }}=\frac{L E+H}{R_{n}-G}
$$

Where all variables are in $\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ and LE is latent heat flux, H is sensible heat flux, and $R_{n}$ is net radiation.

# Appendix I. EasyFlux DL Process Flow Diagram 

## Sequence of Program Functions

## Every SCAN_INTERVAL (default 100 ms )

Collect raw data from gas analyzer, sonic anemometer, FW (if applicable), and panel temp
$\Omega$
Align data, accounting for electronic delays
$\Omega$
Parse out diagnostic flags from gas analyzer and sonic data

## $\Omega$

Calculate various variables (e.g., e, rho_d, rho_a, Td, CO2_mixratio, H2O_mixratio, RH)
$\Omega$
Store raw data in multiple datasets, each dataset with a different lag applied to gas analyzer data relative to sonic data (to be used later in cross correlation; lags from -MAX_LAG to +MAX_LAG are used; MAX_LAG default is 2 )
』

If using FW, store raw data in multiple datasets, each dataset with a different lag applied to FW data relative to sonic data (to be used later in cross correlation; lags from -MAX_LAG to +MAX_LAG are used; MAX_LAG default is 2 )
$\Omega$
Write a record to ts_data and diagnostic output tables

## Every SLOWSEQUENCE_SCAN_INTERVAL (default 5 s)

Measure battery voltage


Measure biomet and energy balance (slow response) sensors

If station variables have changed, save new values to memory

## Every 5 Minutes

Do coordinate rotations and find the 5-minute covariances for u with w , v with w , Ts with $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CO} 2$ with w , and H 2 O with w (used later for steady state test for quality grading; see appendix F on Data Quality Grading).

## Every AVERAGING_INTERVAL (default 30 minutes)

Filter out data with diagnostic flags or signal strengths or measurements outside of acceptable ranges, do coordinate rotations (use double coordinate rotation method unless planar fit angles have been entered by user), and recalculate all covariances with rotated wind components. (See Appendix B on Double Coordinate Rotation and Appendix C on Planar Fit Rotation.)
$\Omega$
Use rotated wind components to find turbulent kinetic energy, friction velocity, and preliminary values of Obukhov length and stability
$\Omega$
Calculate frequency correction factors for wTs, wu, and vw to account for block averaging and line averaging. If conditions are stable, iteratively calculate Obukhov length, cospectral equations, and correction factors until factors change by $<0.0001$ or until 10 iterations have completed. (See Appendix D on Frequency Corrections.)
$\checkmark$
Calculate value for steady state test using the 30-minute momentum covariances and the 5 -minute momentum covariances. (see Appendix F on Data Quality Grading.)

$$
\sqrt{\Omega}
$$

Calculate the overall quality grade for momentum flux. (See Appendix F on Data Quality Grading.)
$\Omega$
Calculate and use a new roughness length if 1 ) user didn't enter a fixed value, 2 ) there is neutral stability, and 3 ) wind speed is $>3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. (See Appendix G on Footprint.)

Calculate footprint characteristics using the Kljun et al (2004) model if conditions are appropriate, else use Kormann and Meixner (2001)
model. (See Appendix G on Footprint.)
$\Omega$

Calculate the covariance of CO 2 and rotated wind components for each lagged dataset.
$\Omega$
Find the effective lateral separation distance between gas analyzer and sonic (to use in frequency correction) and the effective separation scan lag (used to constrain which lagged datasets are physically possible).
(See Appendix D on Frequency Corrections.)

$$
\Omega
$$

Find the dataset with the physically possible lag that maximizes the covariance of CO2 and vertical wind. Use this dataset for the FLUX output table. If any results are invalid, continue with lag of zero. (See Appendix D on Frequency Corrections.)

## $\Omega$

Assume the same lag found for CO 2 will also maximize covariance of H 2 O and vertical wind. Calculate covariances of appropriately lagged H 2 O and rotated wind components.

$$
\Omega
$$

Calculate cospectra functions and frequency correction factors for covariances of CO 2 and rotated wind components, taking into account attenuation from block averaging, line averaging, and spatial separation. (See Appendix D on Frequency Corrections.)

## $\Omega$

Calculate cospectra functions and frequency correction factors for covariances of H 2 O and rotated wind components, taking into account attenuation from block averaging, line averaging, and spatial separation. (See Appendix D on Frequency Corrections.)

ת
Calculate final momentum flux from rotated and frequency corrected covariances of $u$ with $w$ and $v$ with $w$.

$$
\Omega
$$

Apply SND correction to the rotated and frequency corrected covariance of $w$ and Ts.


Calculate specific heat of ambient (moist) air and calculate final sensible heat flux.
$\Omega$
Calculate scaling temperature (used for data quality grading). (See Appendix F on Data Quality Grading.)

Apply WPL correction to rotated and frequency corrected covariance of CO2 and vertical wind for final CO2 flux. (See Appendix E on WPL correction.)
$\Omega$
Apply WPL correction to rotated and frequency corrected covariance of H2O and vertical wind. Then multiply result by calculated latent heat of vaporization for final latent heat flux. (See Appendix E on WPL correction.)
$\Omega$
Calculate Bowen Ratio
ת
Calculate the overall quality grades for fluxes of sensible heat, latent heat, and CO2. (See Appendix F on Data Quality Grading.)
$\Omega$
Calculate the covariance of FW temperature and rotated wind components for each lagged dataset.

ת
Find the effective lateral separation distance between FW and sonic (to use in frequency correction) and the effective separation scan lag (used to constrain which lagged datasets are physically possible). (See Appendix D on Frequency Corrections.)

$$
\Omega
$$

Find the dataset with the lag that maximizes the covariance of FW temperature and vertical wind. Use this dataset for the FLUX output table. If any results are invalid, continue with lag of zero.
If FW05, FW1, or FW3 is used

Calculate the time constant for the FW (to be used in frequency corrections). (See Appendix D on Frequency Corrections.)
$\Omega$
Calculate frequency correction factors for covariances of FW temperature and rotated wind components, taking into account attenuation from block averaging, line averaging, spatial separation, and the FW time constant. (See Appendix D on Frequency Corrections.)
$\Omega$
Calculate final fine-wire sensible heat flux


Write a record to the Flux and Flux Notes output tables
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