Soil-stomata-sky: How forests and shrubs control evaporative partitioning in a subarctic, alpine catchment, Yukon Territory, Canada

Erin M. Nicholls & Sean K. Carey

School of Earth, Environment, and Society, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Acknowledgments



Water Resources Branch –Yukon Government Dr. Gordon Drewitt David Barrett Tyler de Jong Arsh Grewal Sean Leipe Joseph Desmarais Fiona Chapman Dr. Mike Treberg Elysia Fuller-Thompson Dr. Chrstoforos Pappas Dr. Nadine Shatilla Alanna Bodo Nia Perron





Watershed Hydrology Group McMaster University





Sensitivity to Air Temperature + VPD





•Tree and shrub migration at increasing altitude and latitude

•Shifts in land classifications

 Increases in shrub height, extent and density





Observed changes Tundra greenness (2000 - 2020) ≥ +7.5% Greening _______ No change ________ _________ Browning

Shrub cover, growth, biomass or reproduction (variable time periods)

| | Field | Remote Sensing | Both |
|-----|------------|-------------------|------------|
| se | \bigcirc | | \diamond |
| • | \bigcirc | | \diamond |
| ase | | | \diamond |
| | | | |

Introduction



(Leipe and Carey, 2021)

•Tree and shrub migration at increasing altitude and latitude

•Shifts in land classifications

•Increases in shrub height, extent and density



(Credit: NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center/Cindy Starr)





We need to improve our ability to predict changes in water yield by understanding and numerically representing the role of vegetation on water cycling and storage.





Wolf Creek Research Basin, Yukon Territory





Increasing Elevation

Sparse Shrub 1450 masl Willow and Birch Shrubs <~0.5m

Buckbrush 1250 masl Willow and Birch Shrubs <~1-3 m

> Forest 750 masl White Spruce ~12-20 m



Increasing interannual variability with decreasing vegetation cover

Treeline advance: Increased May to September ET Shrubification: Similar total May to September ET

Nicholls and Carey, 2021. Journal of Hydrology.

What role does vegetation play in regulating these hydrological shifts?























Outline

Forest

•

Sap Flow

Sensors

Data

Processing

Upscaling

Gap-filling

Granier Style Thermal Dissipation Probes

22 White Spruce
Year round (2019-2020)
20 mm depth

TREX (R) package

- Coniferous calibration
- Pre-dawn determination of T_{max}
- Clearwater et al.(1999) correction applied for sapwood depth
- Assume 0 T during < 10 W/m² K \downarrow and rainfall >0.2 mm
 - Mean J_s x sapwood density
- Allometric equation (DBH vs. sapwood area) from Quiñonez-Piñón and Valeo (2017)



Dynamax EXO Skin Sensors (13-16 mm) diameter

- 3 Willow
- 5 Birch
- ~June to October (2019-2020)



Assume 0 T during
 < 10 W/m² K↓ and
 rainfall >0.2 mm



- Mean J_s x sapwood density
- Sapwood area determined by plot measurements

Mean diurnal variation (3-day) and artificial neural network ($K\downarrow$, T_{air} , VPD)



 $J_s = a(\frac{\Delta T_{max}}{\Delta T} - 1)^b$

- Mean diurnal variation (3-day) and artificial neural network (K \downarrow , T_{air}, VPD)



Methodology

How do T rates vary across the landscape?

- Mean T rates higher in willow and birch shrubs than white spruce forest
- Forest T follows a more seasonal trend with net radiation, beginning earlier in the spring and sustained later in the Fall
- Interannual and seasonal variability in T higher at Buckbrush than Forest





How does T:ET vary across sites and seasons?

•

- Forest: T:ET was highest in the early season, when T had started but ET was still low
- Buckbrush: T:ET was high in the mid-growing season, with distinct shoulder season thresholds
- During the warm, dry growing season of 2019, T:ET was controlled by rainfall (moisture deficit)



Forest:

Oct

Sep

Oct

- Peak growing season (July), T:ET =
 - 53% (2019)
 - 43% (2020)



Buckbrush:

- Peak growing season (July), T:ET =
 - 92% (2019)
 - 68% (2020)



 At Buckbrush, sapwood area of birch (m²/m²) was more than double sapwood area of willow

Willows



| Species | Sapwood Area |
|-----------------|--|
| Forest | |
| White Spruce | 2.4 x 10 ⁻³ (m ² /m ²) |

| Buckbrush | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| Birch | 2.3 x 10 ⁻³ (m ² /m ²) | | |
| Willow | 1.1 x 10 ⁻³ (m ² /m ²) | | |
| Total: | 3.4 x 10 ⁻³ (m ² /m ²) | | |

Speciesspecific response: Does plant composition matter?

- At Buckbrush, sapwood area of birch (m²/m²) was more than double sapwood area of willow
- Mean sap flux density (gH₂O m² s⁻¹) was almost 2 times greater in birch than willows
 - Yes Plant composition and density matters



Speciesspecific response: Does plant composition matter?

- At Buckbrush, sapwood area of birch (m²/m²) was more than double sapwood area of willow
- Mean sap flux density (gH₂O m² s⁻¹) was almost 2 times greater in birch than willows
 - Yes Plant composition and density matters

2019 2020 18 18 White Spruce 16 Sap Flux Density (gH₂O m⁻² S⁻¹) 16 Birch Willow 14 14 12 12 10 10 8 8 6 6 4 4 2 2 0 0 Aug Aug May June July Sept May June July Sept Cool, wet Warm, dry

Forest SFD remains similar between years, while SFD Is suppressed in 2020

What environmental and physiological drivers are these systems sensitive to?

S⁻¹)

m⁻²

Sap Flux Density (gH₂O

What environmental factors are ET and T most sensitive to?

- Sensitivity of ΔT_{air} on total ET differs between forest and shrubs throughout the year







In the warm, dry July of 2019:

At Forest: ET was lower than the mean

•

•

At Buckbrush: ET was higher than mean and followed changes in temperature



Results: Drivers of ET

A Van Case



• Sensitivity of ΔT_{air} on total ET differs between forest and shrubs throughout the year



In the Fall at Buckbrush sensitivity of ET to air temperature decreases when T is low (senescence)



- Net radiation increasingly important throughout year
- T_{air} controls ET in shoulder seasons





- Net radiation increasingly important throughout
- T_{air} controls ET in shoulder seasons
- T_{air} drives ET in mid-growing season
- Surface resistance controls ET in shoulder

What drives T? Species-specific response: Does plant composition matter?

- Forest T controlled by net radiation
- Buckbrush T controlled by T_{air} and VPD
- Willow and birch have different sensitivities to air temperature and VPD





How does water use vary between Forest and shrubs?

- Forest: ET not well coupled to GPP → ET is not physiologically controlled in growing season
- Buckbrush: ET and GPP coupled in June and August when T is a large component of ET



How does water use vary between Forest and shrubs?

- Mid-season WUE higher at Buckbrush than Forest
- Opposite relationship between WUE and air temperature at Forest and Buckbrush



Response to climate change will differ across ecosystems:

- Net radiation controls T and ET
- ET dynamics less variable interannually
- T:ET ~50%

FT

- T less sensitive to changes in air temperature and growing season length
- Timing and magnitude of rainfall influence

• T and ET sensitive to change in air temperature and VPD during the growing season

- T:ET ~80% but sensitive to weather conditions
- Changes to length of growing season will impact ET losses



Thank you!

@Erin_Nicholls_

onclusions

