The Role of Net-Negative CO₂ Emission Scenarios in Stabilizing Earth's Climate

SFU

Tokarska, Katarzyna¹ & Zickfeld, Kirsten¹ ¹Department of Geography, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C V5A 1S6, Canada contact: kbt2@sfu.ca

Introduction

Recent research has shown that CO₂ induced climate change is irreversible on century to millennium time scales. In order to stabilize global temperatures, not only a reduction in CO₂ emissions is needed, but their complete cessation.



Results

Net-negative CO₂ emissions are successful at restoring the global mean temperature to 2°C above the pre-industrial level on centennial timescales after substantial overshoot (**Fig.3**)

 All three scenarios converge to a warming of 2°C above pre-industrial after CO_2 emissions are zeroed

In case of overshoot scenarios, when the pre-determined temperature targets are exceeded, the net-negative emissions technologies that remove CO₂ from the atmosphere provide a solution to reach stabilization of global temperatures in the next few centuries.

The purpose of this research is to examine reversibility of climate system components (temperature, sea level rise) under a set of emission scenarios, which include implementation of net-negative technologies and aim to stabilize global temperature in the long term.

Using the University of Victoria Earth System Climate Model (UVic ESCM) of intermediate complexity (version 2.9), we explore the centennial Earth system response for a range of CO_2 emission scenarios, which consider the implementation of CO_2 net-negative technologies (to remove excess) CO_2 from the atmosphere).

Net-Negative CO₂ Emission Technologies

Net-negative emission technologies aim to remove CO₂ from the atmosphere. Existing net-negative CO₂ emission technologies with their cost estimates, using a full life cycle analysis (McGlashan et al., 2012) are presented below:

- General Direct CO₂ Capture From the Air
- Artificial trees
- \$90/tCO₂ Biomass Energy with Carbon Capture and Storage (bioCCS) \$59-\$111/tCO₂

with respect to the year 1805.



300

- At the time of peak warming, the temperature in the HIGH scenario is up to about 1.5°C warmer than in the ZERO scenario (**Fig. 5**)
- Temperature difference between HIGH and ZERO scenarios becomes negligible by 25th century (**Fig. 6**)
- Warming at year 2500 up to 4°C above the pre-industrial temperature level at high northern latitudes (**Fig.7**)
- Sea level continues to rise (Fig.4) despite substantial removal of CO₂ from the atmosphere

Subsequently, we calculated the cumulative amount of the net-negative CO₂ emissions applied in each of the scenarios and estimated the cost of each of the scenario, based on the full life-cycle cost analysis for the most cost effective net-negative technology (bioCCS), estimated by the research of McGlashan et al. (2012). There results are shown below:

Scenario	Net-Negative emissions [PgC]	Cost Estimate [trillion \$]
HIGH	621	36.6
MED	438	25.8
ZERO	0	0

- Enhancing natural carbon sinks
- Biochar

\$95/tCO₂ \$135/tCO₂

\$155/tCO₂

Primary Advantages of net-negative emissions:

- ability to influence the timing of mitigation and postponing the action to the future (Krey & Riahi, 2009)
- reduction in the overall costs of mitigation (Krey & Riahi, 2009)
- "an insurance policy against low-probability high-impact events" (Lackner et al., 2012, p.13156).

Methodology

- The model used in this research is the University of Victoria Earth System model of intermediate complexity (ESCM 2.9), described in the "Model" section (Weaver et al., 2001; Eby et al., 2009). The future CO₂ emission pathways are shown in **Fig.1** and include three types of scenarios (meeting the same cumulative target of 543PgC, which is the amount of cumulative emissions compatible with the 2°C target):
- HIGH high emission peak, followed by net-negative emissions
- **MED** medium emission peak, followed by net-negative emissions
- ZERO low emission peak, followed by a rapid transition to zeroemission economy; does not include net-negative emissions

The emission data for the historical period (1801-2012), use the fossil fuel and land-use CO₂ emission data from the Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Centre (CDIAC) database.



Fig.5. Difference of surface air temperature between HIGH scenario and ZERO scenario at year 2100.



Fig.6. Difference of surface air temperature between HIGH scenario and ZERO scenario at year 2500.



Longitude

Model Used



- Lackner, K. S., Brennan, S., Matter, J. M., Park, A. A., Wright, A., & van der Zwaan, B. (2012). The urgency of the development of CO2 capture from ambient air. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
- McGlashan, N., Shah, N., Caldecott, B., & Workman, M. (2012) High-level techno-economic assessment of negative emissions technologies. Process Safety and Environmental Protection.
- McGlashan N., Shah N., & Workman M. (2010). The Potential for the Deployment of Negative Emissions Technologies in the UK.





year-2010 constant value for the future years.

Fig.7. Difference of surface air temperature for HIGH scenario

at year 2500, with respect to the year 1900.

Work stream 2, Report 18 of the AVOID programme (AV/WS2/D1/R18). Meissner, K. J., Weaver, A. J., Matthews, H. D., & Cox, P. M. (2003). The role of land surface dynamics in glacial inception: A study with the UVic earth system model. Climate Dynamics, 21(7-8), 515-537. Weaver, A. J., Eby, M., Wiebe, E. C., Bitz, C. M., Duffy, P. B., Ewen, T. L., (...), Yoshimori, M. (2001). The UVic earth system climate model: Model description, climatology, and applications to past, present and future climates. Atmosphere Ocean, 39(4), 361-428.